

Recitation Notes: LP Duality and Zero-Sum Games

1 Lecture 16: Linear Programming Duality

- Standard primal/dual pair:

$$\min\{c^\top x : Ax = b, x \geq 0\} \longleftrightarrow \max\{b^\top y : A^\top y \leq c\}.$$

- Weak duality: for any primal-feasible x and dual-feasible y ,

$$b^\top y \leq c^\top x.$$

Equality certifies optimality.

- Primal constraints correspond to dual variables, and primal variables correspond to dual constraints.
- Many problems fit into LP form:
 - shortest path as a flow LP,
 - max independent set as an LP relaxation,
 - max with \leq constraints can be converted using slack variables.
- Max-flow/min-cut comes from LP duality:
 - max-flow can be written as an LP,
 - its dual looks like a cut problem,
 - this gives another proof idea for max-flow = min-cut.

2 Lecture 17: Zero-Sum Games

- A zero-sum game has payoff function $p(a, b)$: player 1 wants to maximize it, player 2 wants to minimize it.
- Mixed strategies are distributions over pure strategies, and the expected payoff is

$$x^\top P y.$$

- Main theorem about zero-sum games is that mixed strategies are optimal.

Theorem 1: Pure Response lemma / Minimax Theorem

To evaluate the minimum expected payoff of a mixed strategy for player 1, it suffices to minimize only over pure strategies for player 2.

This holds because once player 1 fixes a mixed strategy x , the other player has no reason to randomize, as their payoffs would be an average of the payoffs. They can instead pick the column with the best payoff every time.

- The minimax theorem follows from LP duality:

$$\max_{x \in \Delta_A} \min_{b \in B} \sum_a x(a)p(a, b) = \min_{y \in \Delta_B} \max_{a \in A} \sum_b y(b)p(a, b).$$

- Example: in rock-paper-scissors, both players play uniformly, and the game value is 0.

3 Zero-Sum Game Example

Problem: Matrix game

Let

$$A = \{a_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^n$$

be an $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with positive diagonal entries

$$d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$$

and all off-diagonal entries equal to 0. In other words,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & d_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider the zero-sum game in which the row player receives payoff $A_{i,j}$ when row i and column j are chosen. Determine the value V of the game as a function of the nonzero entries d_1, \dots, d_n .

Use a guess-and-bound approach:

1. Analyze the row player's uniform mixed strategy, assigning probability $1/n$ to each row. What is the best response of the column player, and what lower bound does this imply for the game value?
2. Give a stronger mixed strategy for the row player and prove the corresponding lower bound V .
3. Give a matching strategy for the column player and prove the corresponding upper bound V , thereby identifying the value of the game.

Solution For symmetry we may assume that $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$.

1. The column player would just plays strategy n since it has the lowest payoff and the column player is minimizing payoff. This implies a lower bound of d_n/n .
2. Say the row player players (x_1, \dots, x_n) where $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 1$ and $x_i \geq 0$. The value of the game would then be $\min_{i \in [n]} x_i d_i$.

Let

$$\lambda = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d_i} \right)^{-1}.$$

The strategy $x_i = \lambda/d_i$ is valid because the d_i s, and in turn λ , are non-negative, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_i \frac{1}{d_i} \cdot \lambda = \lambda \cdot \left(\sum_i \frac{1}{d_i} \right) = 1.$$

The game value of playing it is then λ since each $d_i x_i = d_i(\lambda/d_i) = \lambda$.

3. The column player plays the same strategy. The game value is the same.