Lecture 4
Design Philosophy & Applications

David Andersen
School of Computer Science
Carnegie Mellon University

15-441 Networking, Spring 2005
http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~srini/15-441/S05/

1

Lecture Overview

- Last time:
  - Protocol stacks and layering
  - OSI and TCP/IP models
  - Application requirements from transport protocols
- Internet Architecture
- Project information
- Application examples.
  - ftp
  - http
- Application requirements.
  - "ilities"
  - Sharing

2

Internet Architecture

- Background
  - » "The Design Philosophy of the DARPA Internet Protocols" (David Clark, 1988).
- Fundamental goal: Effective network interconnection
- Goals, *in order of priority:*
  1. Continue despite loss of networks or gateways
  2. Support multiple types of communication service
  3. Accommodate a variety of networks
  4. Permit distributed management of Internet resources
  5. Cost effective
  6. Host attachment should be easy
  7. Resource accountability

3

Priorities

- The effects of the order of items in that list are still felt today
  - » E.g., resource accounting is a hard, current research topic
- Let's look at them in detail

4

Survivability

- If network disrupted and reconfigured
  - » Communicating entities should not care!
  - » No higher-level state reconfiguration
  - » Ergo, transport interface only knows "working" and "not working." Not working == complete partition.
- How to achieve such reliability?
  - » Where can communication state be stored?

	Network	Host
Failure handing	Replication	"Fate sharing"
Net Engineering	Tough	Simple
Switches	Maintain state	Stateless
Host trust	Less	More

5

Fate Sharing

- Lose state information for an entity if (and only if?) the entity itself is lost.
- Examples:
  - » OK to lose TCP state if one endpoint crashes
    - – NOT okay to lose if an intermediate router reboots
  - » Is this still true in today's network?
    - – NATs and firewalls
- Survivability compromise: Heterogenous network -> less information available to end hosts and Internet level recovery mechanisms

6

Types of Service

- Recall from last time TCP vs. UDP
  - » Elastic apps that need reliability: remote login or email
  - » Inelastic, loss-tolerant apps: real-time voice or video
  - » Others in between, or with stronger requirements
  - » Biggest cause of delay variation: reliable delivery
    - – Today's net: ~100ms RTT
    - – Reliable delivery can add *seconds*.
- Original Internet model: "TCP/IP" one layer
  - » First app was remote login…
  - » But then came debugging, voice, etc.
  - » These differences caused the layer split, added UDP
- No QoS support assumed from below
  - » In fact, some underlying nets only supported reliable delivery
    - – Made Internet datagram service less useful!
  - » Hard to implement without network support
  - » QoS is an ongoing debate…

7

Varieties of Networks

- Discussed a lot of this last time -
  - » Interconnect the ARPANET, X.25 networks, LANs, satellite networks, packet networks, serial links…
- Mininum set of assumptions for underlying net
  - » Minimum packet size
  - » Reasonable delivery odds, but not 100%
  - » Some form of addressing unless point to point
- Important non-assumptions:
  - » Perfect reliability
  - » Broadcast, multicast
  - » Priority handling of traffic
  - » Internal knowledge of delays, speeds, failures, etc.
- Much engineering then only has to be done once

8

The "Other" goals

- **Management**
  - Today's Internet is decentralized - BGP
  - Very coarse tools. Still in the "assembly language" stage
- **Cost effectiveness**
  - Economies of scale won out
  - Internet cheaper than most dedicated networks
  - Packet overhead less important by the year
- **Attaching a host**
  - Not awful; DHCP and related autoconfiguration technologies helping. A ways to go, but the path is there
- **But…**

9

Accountability

- **Huge problem.**
- **Accounting**
  - Billing? (mostly flat-rate. But phones are moving that way too - people like it!)
  - Inter-provider payments
    - Hornet's nest. Complicated. Political. Hard.
- **Accountability and security**
  - Huge problem.
  - Worms, viruses, etc.
    - Partly a host problem. But hosts very trusted.
  - Authentication
    - Purely optional. Many philosophical issues of privacy vs. security.
- **… Questions before we move on to the project?**

10

Project 1

- Out today, due 2/24
  - » Intermediate validation deadline for basic functions
- Get started early. Get started early. Get …
- Project partners
  - » Choose very soon
  - » Mail to David Craft, dcraft@cs.cmu.edu
- Project is an IRC server (Internet Relay Chat)
  - » Text-based chat protocol. Features, in order:
  1. Basic server (connect, channels, talk, etc.)
     - can do now
  2. Link-state routing to send messages to users across servers
     1. OSPF lecture (2/10). Book: Chapter 4 (4.2)
  3. Multicast routing to let channels span servers
     1. MOSPF lecture (2/15). Paper: Deering "Multicast Routing"

11

Project 1 goals

- Skill with real network applications
  - » Select, dealing with multiple streams of data, remote clients and servers
  - » Protocol "grunge" - headers, layers, packets, etc.
  - » Be able to implement a [whatever] server.
- Meet a real protocol
  - » Create it from the spec
- Familiarity with routing protocols and techniques
- Don't be dismayed by the size of the handout. It breaks down into reasonable chunks.

12

FTP: The File Transfer Protocol



- Transfer file to/from remote host
- Client/server model
  - *Client:* side that initiates transfer (either to/from remote)
  - *Server:* remote host
- ftp: RFC 959
- ftp server: port 21

Ftp: Separate Control, Data Connections

- Ftp client contacts ftp server at port 21, specifying TCP as transport protocol
- Two parallel TCP connections opened:
  - Control: exchange commands, responses between client, server.
    "out of band control"
  - Data: file data to/from server
- Ftp server maintains "state": current directory, earlier authentication



Ftp Commands, Responses

Sample Commands:

- sent as ASCII text over control channel
- `USER` *username*
- `PASS` *password*
- `LIST` return list of files in current directory
- `RETR filename` retrieves (gets) file
- `STOR filename` stores (puts) file onto remote host

Sample Return Codes

- status code and phrase
- `331 Username OK, password required`
- `125 data connection already open; transfer starting`
- `425 Can't open data connection`
- `452 Error writing file`

15

HTTP Basics

- HTTP layered over bidirectional byte stream
  - » Almost always TCP
- Interaction
  - » Client sends request to server, followed by response from server to client
  - » Requests/responses are encoded in text
- Stateless
  - » Server maintains no information about past client requests

16

How to Mark End of Message?

- **Size of message → Content-Length**
  - » **Must know size of transfer in advance**
- **Delimiter → MIME style Content-Type**
  - » **Server must "escape" delimiter in content**
- **Close connection**
  - » **Only server can do this**

17

HTTP Request



18

HTTP Request

- Request line
  - » Method
    - – GET – return URI
    - – HEAD – return headers only of GET response
    - – POST – send data to the server (forms, etc.)
  - » URI
    - – E.g. http://www.intel-iris.net/index.html with a proxy
    - – E.g. /index.html if no proxy
  - » HTTP version

19

HTTP Request

- Request headers
  - » Authorization – authentication info
  - » Acceptable document types/encodings
  - » From – user email
  - » If-Modified-Since
  - » Referrer – what caused this page to be requested
  - » User-Agent – client software
- Blank-line
- Body

20

HTTP Request Example

GET / HTTP/1.1

Accept: */*

Accept-Language: en-us

Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate

User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows NT 5.0)

Host: www.intel-iris.net

Connection: Keep-Alive

21

HTTP Response

- Status-line
  - HTTP version
  - 3 digit response code
    - 1XX – informational
    - 2XX – success
      - 200 OK
    - 3XX – redirection
      - 301 Moved Permanently
      - 303 Moved Temporarily
      - 304 Not Modified
    - 4XX – client error
      - 404 Not Found
    - 5XX – server error
      - 505 HTTP Version Not Supported
  - Reason phrase

22

HTTP Response

- **Headers**
  - Location – for redirection
  - Server – server software
  - WWW-Authenticate – request for authentication
  - Allow – list of methods supported (get, head, etc)
  - Content-Encoding – E.g x-gzip
  - Content-Length
  - Content-Type
  - Expires
  - Last-Modified
- **Blank-line**
- **Body**

23

HTTP Response Example

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 03:49:38 GMT
Server: Apache/1.3.14 (Unix)  (Red-Hat/Linux) mod_ssl/2.7.1
    OpenSSL/0.9.5a DAV/1.0.2 PHP/4.0.1pl2 mod_perl/1.24
Last-Modified: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 17:54:18 GMT
ETag: "7a11f-10ed-3a75ae4a"
Accept-Ranges: bytes
Content-Length: 4333
Keep-Alive: timeout=15, max=100
Connection: Keep-Alive
Content-Type: text/html
.....
```

24

Cookies: Keeping "state"

Many major Web sites use cookies

Four components:

1) Cookie header line in the HTTP response message
2) Cookie header line in HTTP request message
3) Cookie file kept on user's host and managed by user's browser
4) Back-end database at Web site

Example:

- Susan accesses Internet always from same PC
- She visits a specific e-commerce site for the first time
- When initial HTTP requests arrives at site, site creates a unique ID and creates an entry in backend database for ID

Cookies: Keeping "State" (Cont.)



Typical Workload (Web Pages)

- Multiple (typically small) objects per page
- File sizes
  - » Why different than request sizes?
  - » Also heavy-tailed
    - – Pareto distribution for tail
    - – Lognormal for body of distribution
- Embedded references
  - » Number of embedded objects = pareto – $p(x) = ak^a x^{-(a+1)}$

27

HTTP 1.1 - new features

- Newer versions of HTTP add several new features (persistent connections, pipelined transfers) to speed things up.
- Let's detour into some performance evaluation and then look at those features

28

Packet Delay



Prop + xmit

2*(Prop + xmit)

2*prop + xmit

When does cut-through matter?

Next: Routers have finite speed (processing delay)

Routers may buffer packets (queueing delay)

29

Packet Delay

- **Sum of a number of different delay components.**
- **Propagation delay on each link.**
  - **Proportional to the length of the link**
- **Transmission delay on each link.**
  - **Proportional to the packet size and 1/link speed**
- **Processing delay on each router.**
  - **Depends on the speed of the router**
- **Queuing delay on each router.**
  - **Depends on the traffic load and queue size**



30

A Word about Units

- **What does "Kilo" and "Mega" mean?**
  - Depends on context
- **Storage works in powers of two.**
  - 1 Byte = 8 bits
  - 1 KByte = 1024 Bytes
  - 1 MByte = 1024 Kbytes
- **Networks work in decimal units.**
  - Network hardware send bits, not Bytes
  - 1 Kbps = 1000 bits per second
  - To avoid confusion, use 1 Kbit/second
- **Why? Historical: CS versus ECE.**

Application-level Delay



$$\text{Delay}^{*} + \frac{\text{Size}}{\text{Throughput}}$$

Units: seconds + bits/(bits/seconds)

* For minimum sized packet

Some Examples

- How long does it take to send a 100 Kbit file?
  - Assume a perfect world
  - And a 10 Kbit file

Latency \ Throughput	100 Kbit/s	1 Mbit/s	100 Mbit/s
500 μsec			
10 msec			
100 msec			

33

Sustained Throughput

- When streaming packets, the network works like a pipeline.
  - All links forward different packets in parallel
- Throughput is determined by the slowest stage.
  - Called the bottleneck link
- Does not really matter why the link is slow.
  - Low link bandwidth
  - Many users sharing the link bandwidth



34

One more detail: TCP

- **TCP connections need to be set up**
  - **"Three Way Handshake":**



2: TCP transfers start slowly and then ramp up the bandwidth used (so they don't use too much)

35

HTTP 0.9/1.0

- **One request/response per TCP connection**
  - **Simple to implement**
- **Disadvantages**
  - **Multiple connection setups → three-way handshake each time**
    - **Several extra round trips added to transfer**
  - **Multiple slow starts**

36

Single Transfer Example



37

Performance Issues

- **Short transfers are hard on TCP**
  - » **Stuck in slow start**
  - » **Loss recovery is poor when windows are small**
- **Lots of extra connections**
  - » **Increases server state/processing**
- **Servers also hang on to connection state after the connection is closed**
  - » **Why must server keep these?**
  - » **Tends to be an order of magnitude greater than # of active connections, why?**

38

Netscape Solution

- Mosaic (original popular Web browser) fetched one object at a time!
- Netscape uses multiple concurrent connections to improve response time
  - » Different parts of Web page arrive independently
  - » Can grab more of the network bandwidth than other users
- Doesn't necessarily improve response time
  - » TCP loss recovery ends up being timeout dominated because windows are small

39

Persistent Connection Solution

- Multiplex multiple transfers onto one TCP connection
- How to identify requests/responses
  - » Delimiter → Server must examine response for delimiter string
  - » Content-length and delimiter → Must know size of transfer in advance
  - » Block-based transmission → send in multiple length delimited blocks
  - » Store-and-forward → wait for entire response and then use content-length
  - » Solution → use existing methods and close connection otherwise

40

Persistent Connection Solution



41

Persistent HTTP

Nonpersistent HTTP issues:

- Requires 2 RTTs per object
- OS must work and allocate host resources for each TCP connection
- But browsers often open parallel TCP connections to fetch referenced objects

Persistent HTTP

- Server leaves connection open after sending response
- Subsequent HTTP messages between same client/server are sent over connection

Persistent without pipelining:

- Client issues new request only when previous response has been received
- One RTT for each referenced object

Persistent with pipelining:

- Default in HTTP/1.1
- Client sends requests as soon as it encounters a referenced object
- As little as one RTT for all the referenced objects

42

Persistent Connection Performance

- Benefits greatest for small objects
  - » Up to 2x improvement in response time
- Server resource utilization reduced due to fewer connection establishments and fewer active connections
- TCP behavior improved
  - » Longer connections help adaptation to available bandwidth
  - » Larger congestion window improves loss recovery

43

Remaining Problems

- Serialized transmission
  - » Much of the useful information in first few bytes
    - – May be better to get the 1st 1/4 of all images than one complete image (e.g., progressive JPEG)
  - » Can "packetize" transfer over TCP
    - – Could use range requests
- Application specific solution to transport protocol problems. :(
  - » Solve the problem at the transport layer
  - » Could fix TCP so it works well with multiple simultaneous connections
    - – More difficult to deploy

44

Back to performance

- We examined delay,
- But what about throughput?

- Important factors:
  - » Link capacity
  - » *Other traffic*

45

Bandwidth Sharing

- Bandwidth received on the bottleneck link determines end-to-end throughput.
- Router before the bottleneck link decides how much bandwidth each user gets.
  - » Users that try to send at a higher rate will see packet loss
- User bandwidth can fluctuate quickly as flows are added or end, or as flows change their transmit rate.



46

Fair Sharing of Bandwidth

- All else being equal, fair means that users get equal treatment.
  - » Sounds fair
- When things are not equal, we need a policy that determines who gets how much bandwidth.
  - » Users who pay more get more bandwidth
  - » Users with a higher "rank" get more bandwidth
  - » Certain classes of applications get priority



47

But It is Not that Simple



48

Network Service Models

- Set of services that the network provides.
- Best effort service: network will do an honest effort to deliver the packets to the destination.
  - » Usually works
- "Guaranteed" services.
  - » Network offers (mathematical) performance guarantees
  - » Can apply to bandwidth, latency, packet loss, ..
- "Preferential" services.
  - » Network gives preferential treatment to some packets
  - » E.g. lower queuing delay
- Quality of Service is closely related to the question of fairness.

49

Other Requirements

- Network reliability.
  - » Network service must always be available
- Security: privacy, DOS, ..
- Scalability.
  - » Scale to large numbers of users, traffic flows, ...
- Manageability: monitoring, control, ..
- Requirement often applies not only to the core network but also to the servers.
- Requirements imposed by users and network managers.

50

Readings

- "End-to-end arguments in system design", Saltzer, Reed, and Clark, ACM Transactions on Computer Systems, November 1984.
- "The design philosophy of the DARPA Internet Protocols", Dave Clark, SIGCOMM 88.

51