Lecture 2 Protocol Stacks

David Andersen
School of Computer Science
Carnegie Mellon University

15-441 Networking, Spring 2005 http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~srini/15-441/S05/

1

Last Tuesday

- The Big Picture
 - » Goals:
 - Efficiency
 - "ilities" (scalability, manageability, availability),
 - Ease of creating applications
 - » Challenges:
 - Scale
 - Geography
 - Heterogeneity (** today's focus!)
- A few specific details:
 - » Circuits vs. packets
 - » Little bit about routing
 - » Service model and how to construct services (** today!)

Today's Lecture

- Last time: "Big picture"
- Today:
 - » General architectural principles for networks
 - » Introduces a few concrete models & examples
- Where we are going:
 - » Thursday: Application examples (still high level)
 - » After that: Burrowing into the details, ground up
- Today's specifics:
 - » What is a protocol.
 - » Protocol stacks.
 - » Some history.
 - » Standards organizations.
 - » Application layer.


3

Protocols

- Recall goals:
 - » Interoperability
 - » Reuse
 - » Hiding underlying details

Protocols

- An agreement between parties on who communication should take place.
- Protocols may have to define many aspects of the communication.
- Syntax:
 - » Data encoding, language, etc.
- Semantics:
 - » Error handling, termination, ordering of requests, etc.
- Protocols at hardware, software, all levels!
- Example: Buying airline ticket by typing.
- Syntax: English, ascii, lines delimited by "\n"



More on Protocols

- Protocols are the key to interoperability.
 - » Networks are very heterogenous:

Computer: x86 Hardware
Ethernet: 3com Hardware/link
Routers: cisco, etc. Network
App: Email Application


- » The hardware/software of communicating parties are often not built by the same vendor
- » Yet they can communicate because they use the same protocol
- Protocols exist at many levels.
 - » Application level protocols, e.g. access to mail, distribution of bboards, web access, ..
 - Protocols at the hardware level allow two boxes to communicate over a link, e.g. the Ethernet protocol

Interfaces

- Each protocol offers an interface to its users, and expects one from the layers on which it builds
 - » Syntax and semantics strike again
 - Data formats
 - Interface characteristics, e.g. IP service model
- Protocols build upon each other
 - » Add value
 - E.g., a reliable protocol running on top of IP
 - » Reuse
 - E.g., OS provides TCP, so apps don't have to rewrite

7

Too Many Network Components



Too many components 2


- Links: copper, fiber, air, carrier pidgeon
- Running ethernet, token ring, SONET, FDDI
- Routers speaking BGP, OSPF, RIP, ...
- Hosts running FreeBSD, Linux, Windows, MacOS, ...
- People using Mozilla, Explorer, Opera, ...
- and it changes all the time
- Phew!
- Protocols hide this stuff with simple abstractions.


9

Looking at protocols

- Hop by hop / link protocols
 - » Ethernet
- End-to-end protocols
 - » TCP, apps, etc.
- Management / "control plane" protocols
 - » Routing, etc.
 - Can be either link or e2e themselves
 - Definition somewhat vague.
- Standards
 - » File formats, etc.
 - E.g., JPEG, MPEG, MP3, ...

Categories not solid / religious, just a way to view things.





OSI Motivation


- Standard way of breaking up a system in a set of components, but the components are organized as a set of layers.
 - » Only horizontal and vertical communication
 - » Components/layers can be implemented and modified in isolation
- Each layer offers a service to the higher layer, using the services of the lower layer.
- "Peer" layers on different systems communicate via a protocol.
 - » higher level protocols (e.g. TCP/IP, Appletalk) can run on multiple lower layers
 - » multiple higher level protocols can share a single physical network
- "It's only a model!" TCP/IP has been crazy successful, and it's not based on a rigid OSI model. But the OSI model has been very successful at shaping thought.


13

OSI Functions

- (1) Physical: transmission of a bit stream.
- (2) Data link: flow control, framing, error detection.
- (3) Network: switching and routing.
- (4) Transport: reliable end to end delivery.
- (5) Session: managing logical connections.
- (6) Presentation: data transformations.
- (7) Application: specific uses, e.g. mail, file transfer, telnet, network management.


Multiplexing takes place in multiple layers





Multiplexing and Demultiplexing

- There may be multiple implementations of each layer.
 - How does the receiver know what version of a layer to use?
- Each header includes a demultiplexing field that is used to identify the next layer.
 - » Filled in by the sender
 - » Used by the receiver
- Multiplexing ooccurs at multiple layers. E.g., IP, TCP, ...



17

Different Sources of Components

- Application: web server/browser, mail, distributed game,...
- Presentation/session.
 - » Often part of application
 - » Sometimes a library
- Transport/network.
 - Typically part of the operating system
- Datalink.
 - Often written by vendor of the network interface hardware
- Physical.
 - » Hardware: card and link


Application Presentation Session **Transport Network Data link Physical**


Limitations of the Layered Model


- Some layers are not always cleanly separated.
 - » Inter-layer dependencies in implementations for performance reasons
 - » Some dependencies in the standards (header checksums)
- Higher layers not always well defined.
 - » Session, presentation, application layers
- Lower layers have "sublayers".
 - » Usually very well defined (e.g., SONET protocol)
- Interfaces are not really standardized.
 - » It would be hard to mix and match layers from independent implementations, e.g., windows network apps on unix (w/out compatability library)
 - » Many cross-layer assumptions, e.g. buffer management


19

The TCP/IP Model









Some History: The Early Days

- Early packet switching networks (61-72).
 - » Definition of packet switching
 - » Early DARPA net: up to tens of nodes
 - single network
 - discovery of "interesting" applications
- Internetworking (72-80).
 - » Multiple networks with inter-networking: networks are independent, but need some rules for interoperability
 - » Key concepts: best effort service, "stateless" routers, decentralized control (very different from telephones!)
 - » Basis for Internet: TCP, IP, congestion control, DNS, ...
 - » Rapid growth: 10 to 100000 hosts in 10 years
 - Driven by NSF net, research communigy

Recent History: Commercialization

- Industry interest in networking encourages first commercial network deployment.
 - » In part also encouraged by NSFNET policies
- Introduction of the Web makes networks more accessible.
 - » Killer application
 - » Good user interface that is accessible to anybody
 - » Network access on every desktop and in every home
 - » Shockingly recent 1989, caught on in '92 or so

25

Standardization

- Key to network interoperability.
- A priori standards.
 - » Standards are defined first by a standards committee
 - » Risk of defining standards that are untested or unnecessary
 - » Standard may be available before there is serious use of the technology
- De facto standards.
 - » Standards is based on an existing systems
 - » Gives the company that developed the base system a big advantage
 - » Often results in competing "standards" before the official standard is established

Relevant Standardization Bodies

- ITU-TS Telecommunications Sector of the International Telecommunications Union.
 - » government representatives (PTTs/State Department)
 - » responsible for international "recommendations"
- T1 telecom committee reporting to American National Standards Institute.
 - » T1/ANSI formulate US positions
 - » interpret/adapt ITU standards for US use, represents US in ISO
- IEEE Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers.
 - » responsible for many physical layer and datalink layer standards
- ISO International Standards Organization.
 - » covers a broad area

27

The Internet Engineering Task Force

- The Internet society.
 - » Oversees the operations of the Internet
- Internet Engineering Task Force.
 - » decides what technology will be used in the Internet
 - » based on working groups that focus on specific issues
 - » encourages wide participation
- Request for Comments.
 - » document that provides information or defines standard
 - » requests feedback from the community
 - » can be "promoted" to standard under certain conditions
 - consensus in the committee
 - interoperating implementations
 - » Project 1 will look at the Internet Relay Chat (IRC) RFC

Higher Level Standards

- Many session/application level operations are relevant to networks.
 - » encoding: MPEG, encryption, ...
 - » services: electronic mail, newsgroups, HTTP, ...
 - » electronic commerce,
- Standards are as important as for "lowerlevel" networks: interoperability.
 - » defined by some of the same bodies as the low-level standards, e.g. IETF

29

How do you select protocols?

- Application layer
 - » Client-server
 - » Application requirements
- Application level communication
 - » TCP vs. UDP
 - » Addressing
- Application examples (Lecture 4).
 - » ftp, http
 - » End-to-end argument discussion


Applications and Application-Layer Protocols

•Application: communicating, distributed processes

- » Running in network hosts in "user space"
- » Exchange messages to implement app
- » e.g., email, file transfer, the Web

Application-layer protocols

- » One "piece" of an app
- » Define messages exchanged by apps and actions taken
- » Use services provided by lower layer protocols
- Saw sockets API last time



31

Client-Server Paradigm


Typical network app has two pieces: client and server

Client:

- Initiates contact with server ("speaks first")
- Typically requests service from server,
- For Web, client is implemented in browser; for e-mail, in mail reader

Server

- Provides requested service to client
- e.g., Web server sends requested Web page, mail server delivers email
- (We'll cover p2p at semester end)



What Transport Service Does an Application Need?

Data loss

- Some applications (e.g., audio) can tolerate some loss
- Other applications (e.g., file transfer, telnet) require 100% reliable data transfer

Timing

 Some applications (e.g., Internet telephony, interactive games) require low delay to be "effective"

Bandwidth

- Some applications (e.g., multimedia) require a minimum amount of bandwidth to be "effective"
- Other applications ("elastic apps") will make use of whatever bandwidth they get

33

User Datagram Protocol(UDP): An Analogy

UDP

- Single socket to receive messages
- No guarantee of delivery
- Not necessarily in-order delivery
- Datagram independent packets
- Must address each packet

Postal Mail

- Single mailbox to receive letters
- Unreliable ©
- Not necessarily in-order delivery
- Letters sent independently
- Must address each reply

Example UDP applications Multimedia, voice over IP

Protocol (TCP): An Analogy

TCP

- Reliable guarantee delivery
- Byte stream in-order delivery
- Connection-oriented single socket per connection
- Setup connection followed by data transfer

Telephone Call

- Guaranteed delivery
- In-order delivery
- Connection-oriented
- Setup connection followed by conversation

Example TCP applications
Web, Email, Telnet

35

Transport Service Requirements of Common Applications


Application	Data loss	Bandwidth	Time Sensitive
file transfer	no loss	elastic	no
e-mail	no loss	elastic	no
web documents	no loss	elastic	no
real-time audio/	loss-tolerant	audio: 5Kb-1Mb	yes, 100's msec
video		video:10Kb-5Mb	
stored audio/video	loss-tolerant	same as above	yes, few secs
interactive games	loss-tolerant	few Kbps	yes, 100's msec
financial apps	no loss	elastic	yes and no
,			

•Interactions between layers are important.

- »persistent HTTP
- »encryption and compression
- »MPEG frame types. Loss & real-time video.

Server and Client

Server and Client exchange messages over the network through a common Socket API



Readings

- Read two papers on the motivations for the Internet architecture:
 - "End-to-end arguments in system design", Saltzer, Reed, and Clark, ACM Transactions on Computer Systems, November 1984.
 - "The design philosophy of the DARPA Internet Protocols", Dave Clark, SIGCOMM 88.
- In-class discussion:
 - » Briefly next Thursday
 - » Revisit the topic in the second half of the semester