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Graphical Models II

## Conditional Independence

- We now look at the concept of conditional independence.
- $a$ is independent of $b$ given $c$ :

$$
p(a \mid b, c)=p(a \mid c)
$$

- Equivalently:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(a, b \mid c) & =p(a \mid b, c) p(b \mid c) \\
& =p(a \mid c) p(b \mid c)
\end{aligned}
$$

- We will use the notation:

$$
a \Perp b \mid c
$$

- An important feature of graphical models is that conditional independence properties of the joint distribution can be read directly from the graph without performing any analytical manipulations
- The general framework for achieving this is called d-separation, where d stands for 'directed' (Pearl 1988).


## Markov Blanket in Directed Models

- The Markov blanket of a node is the minimal set of nodes that must be observed to make this node independent of all other nodes
- In a directed model, the Markov blanket includes parents, children and co-parents (i.e. all the parents of the node's children) due to explaining away.


Factors independent of $x_{i}$ cancel between numerator and denominatob

## Directed Graphs as Distribution Filters

- We can view the graphical model as a filter.

- The joint probability distribution $p(x)$ is allowed through the filter if and only if it satisfies the factorization property.
- Note: The fully connected graph exhibits no conditional independence properties at all.
- The fully disconnected graph (no links) corresponds to a joint distribution that factorizes into the product of marginal distributions.


## Popular Models

Latent Dirichlet Allocation


- One of the popular models for modeling word count vectors. We will see this model later.

Bayesian Probabilistic Matrix Factorization


- One of the popular models for collaborative filtering applications.


## Undirected Graphical Models

Directed graphs are useful for expressing causal relationships between random variables, whereas undirected graphs are useful for expressing soft constraints between random variables

- The joint distribution defined by the graph is given by
 the product of non-negative potential functions over the maximal cliques (connected subset of nodes).

$$
p(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{C} \phi_{C}\left(x_{C}\right) \quad \mathcal{Z}=\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \prod_{C} \phi_{C}\left(x_{C}\right)
$$

where the normalizing constant $\mathcal{Z}$ is called a partition function.

- For example, the joint distribution factorizes:

$$
p(A, B, C, D)=\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \phi(A, C) \phi(C, B) \phi(B, D) \phi(A, D)
$$

- Let us look at the definition of cliques.


## Cliques

- The subsets that are used to define the potential functions are represented by maximal cliques in the undirected graph.
- Clique: a subset of nodes such that there exists a link between all pairs of nodes in a subset.
- Maximal Clique: a clique such that it is not possible to include any other nodes in the set without it ceasing to be a clique.
- This graph has 5 cliques:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\},\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}\right\},\left\{x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}, \\
& \left\{x_{4}, x_{2}\right\},\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$



- Two maximal cliques:

$$
\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\},\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}
$$

## Using Cliques to Represent Subsets

- If the potential functions only involve two nodes, an undirected graph has a nice representation.
- If the potential functions involve more than two nodes, using a different factor graph representation is much more useful.
- For now, let us consider only potential functions that are defined over two nodes.



## Markov Random Fields (MRFs)



$$
p(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{C} \phi_{C}\left(x_{C}\right)
$$

- Each potential function is a mapping from the joint configurations of random variables in a clique to non-negative real numbers.
- The choice of potential functions is not restricted to having specific probabilistic interpretations.

Potential functions are often represented as exponentials:

$$
p(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{C} \phi_{C}\left(x_{C}\right)=\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \exp \left(-\sum_{C} E\left(x_{c}\right)\right)=\underbrace{\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \exp (-E(\mathbf{x})})
$$

where $E(x)$ is called an energy function.
Boltzmann distribution

## MRFs with Hidden Variables

For many interesting real-world problems, we need to introduce hidden or latent variables.


- Our random variables will contain both visible and hidden variables $\mathrm{x}=(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{h})$.

$$
p(\mathbf{v})=\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \sum_{\mathbf{h}} \exp (-E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}))
$$

- In general, computing both partition function and summation over hidden variables will be intractable, except for special cases.
- Parameter learning becomes a very challenging task.


## Conditional Independence

- Conditional Independence is easier compared to directed models:

- Observation blocks a node.
- Two sets of nodes are conditionally independent if the observations block all paths between them.


## Markov Blanket

- The Markov blanket of a node is simply all of the directly connected nodes.

Markov Blanket


- This is simpler than in directed models, since there is no explaining away.
- The conditional distribution of $x_{i}$ conditioned on all the variables in the graph is dependent only on the variables in the Markov blanket.


## Conditional Independence and Factorization

- Consider two sets of distributions:
- The set of distributions consistent with the conditional independence relationships defined by the undirected graph.
- The set of distributions consistent with the factorization defined by potential functions on maximal cliques of the graph.
- The Hammersley-Clifford theorem states that these two sets of distributions are the same.


$$
p(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{C} \phi_{C}\left(x_{C}\right)
$$

## Interpreting Potentials

- In contrast to directed graphs, the potential functions do not have a specific probabilistic interpretation.


$$
p(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{C} \phi_{C}\left(x_{C}\right)=\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \exp \left(-\sum_{C} E\left(x_{c}\right)\right)
$$

- This gives us greater flexibility in choosing the potential functions.
- We can view the potential function as expressing which configuration of the local variables are preferred to others.
- Global configurations with relatively high probabilities are those that find a good balance in satisfying the (possibly conflicting) influences of the clique potentials.
- So far we did not specify the nature of random variables, discrete or ${ }_{14}$ continuous.


## Discrete MRFs

- MRFs with all discrete variables are widely used in many applications.
- MRFs with binary variables are sometimes called Ising models in statistical mechanics, and Boltzmann machines in machine learning

- Denoting the binary valued variable at node j by $x_{j} \in\{0,1\}$, the Ising model for the joint probabilities is given by:

$$
P_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}(\theta)} \exp \left(\sum_{i j \in E} x_{i} x_{j} \theta_{i j}+\sum_{i \in V} x_{i} \theta_{i}\right)
$$

- The conditional distribution is given by logistic:
$P_{\theta}\left(x_{i}=1 \mid \mathbf{x}_{-i}\right)=\frac{1}{1+\exp \left(-\theta_{i}-\sum_{i j \in E} x_{j} \theta_{i j}\right)}$,
where $\mathrm{x}_{-\mathrm{i}}$ denotes all nodes except for $i$.

Hence the parameter $\theta_{\mathrm{ij}}$ measures the dependence of $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ on $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}$, conditional on the other nodes.

## Example: Image Denoising

- Let us look at the example of noise removal from a binary image.
- Let the observed noisy image be described by an array of binary pixel values: $y_{j} \in\{-1,+1\}, \mathbf{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{D}$.
- We take a noise-free image $x_{j} \in\{-1,+1\}$, and randomly flip the sign of pixels with some small probability.

Neighboring pixels
Bias term are likely to have the same sign
$E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=h \sum_{i} x_{i}-\beta \sum_{\{i, j\}} x_{i} x_{j}$

$p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\frac{1}{Z} \exp \{-E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\}$
Noisy and clean pixels are likely to have the same sign

## Iterated Conditional Modes

- Iterated conditional modes: coordinate-wise gradient descent.
- Visit the unobserved nodes sequentially and set each $x$ to whichever of its two values has the lowest energy.
- This only requires us to look at the Markov blanket, i.e. the connected nodes.
- Markov blanket of a node is simply all of the directly connected nodes.


Original Image


Noisy Image


ICM

## Gaussian MRFs

- We assume that the observations have a multivariate Gaussian distribution with mean $\mu$ and covariance matrix $\Sigma$.

$$
\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{D / 2}} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1 / 2}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right\}
$$

- Since the Gaussian distribution represents at most second-order relationships, it automatically encodes a pairwise MRF. We rewrite:


$$
P(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^{T} J \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{g}^{T} \mathbf{x}\right)
$$

where

$$
J=\Sigma^{-1}, \quad \mu=J^{-1} \mathbf{g}
$$

- The positive definite matrix $J$ is known as the information matrix and is sparse with respect to the given graph: $\mathbf{x}^{T} J \mathbf{x}=\sum_{i} J_{i i} x_{i}^{2}+2 \sum_{i j \in E} J_{i j} x_{i} x_{j}$,
if $(i, j) \neq E$, then $J_{i j}=0$.
- The information matrix is sparse, but the covariance matrix is not spårse.


## Restricted Boltzmann Machines

- For many real-world problems, we need to introduce hidden variables.
- Our random variables will contain visible and hidden variables $x=(v, h)$.


Stochastic binary visible variables $\mathbf{v} \in\{0,1\}^{D}$ are connected to stochastic binary hidden variables $\mathbf{h} \in\{0,1\}^{F}$.

The energy of the joint configuration:
$E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h} ; \theta)=-\sum_{i j} W_{i j} v_{i} h_{j}-\sum_{i} b_{i} v_{i}-\sum_{j} a_{j} h_{j}$
$\theta=\{W, a, b\}$ model parameters.

Probability of the joint configuration is given by the Boltzmann distribution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\theta}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h})=\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}(\theta)} \exp (-E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h} ; \theta))=\underbrace{\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}(\theta)}}_{\text {partition function }} \prod_{\text {potential functions }} \underbrace{e^{W_{i j} v_{i} h_{j}}} \prod_{i} e^{b_{i} v_{i}} \prod_{j} e^{a_{j} h_{j}} \\
& \mathcal{Z}(\theta)=\sum_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v}} \exp (-E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h} ; \theta)) \quad
\end{aligned}
$$

## Restricted Boltzmann Machines



Restricted: No interaction between hidden variables

Inferring the distribution over the hidden variables is easy:

$$
P(\mathbf{h} \mid \mathbf{v})=\underbrace{\prod_{j} P\left(h_{j} \mid \mathbf{v}\right)} \quad P\left(h_{j}=1 \mid \mathbf{v}\right)=\frac{1}{1+\exp \left(-\sum_{i} W_{i j} v_{i}-a_{j}\right)}
$$

Similarly:
Factorizes: Easy to compute

$$
P(\mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{h})=\prod_{i} P\left(v_{i} \mid \mathbf{h}\right) \quad P\left(v_{i}=1 \mid \mathbf{h}\right)=\frac{1}{1+\exp \left(-\sum_{j} W_{i j} h_{j}-b_{i}\right)}
$$

Markov random fields, Boltzmann machines, log-linear models.

## Restricted Boltzmann Machines

## Observed Data

Subset of 25,000 characters


New Image: $\quad p\left(h_{7}=1 \mid v\right)$

$$
\leftrightarrows=\sigma(0.99 \times
$$

$$
\sigma(x)=\frac{1}{1+\exp (-x)}
$$

Learned W: "edges"
Subset of 1000 features


Logistic Function: Suitable for
modeling binary images
Represent:


## Gaussian-Bernoulli RBMs

Gaussian-Bernoulli RBM:


$$
P_{\theta}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h})=\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}(\theta)} \exp (-E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h} ; \theta))
$$

Define energy functions for various data modalities:
$E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h} ; \theta)=\sum_{i} \frac{\left(v_{i}-b_{i}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{i}^{2}}-\sum_{i j} W_{i j} h_{j} \frac{v_{i}}{\sigma_{i}}-\sum_{j} a_{j} h_{j}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(v_{i}=x \mid \mathbf{h}\right) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma_{i}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(x-b_{i}-\sigma_{i} \sum_{j} W_{i j} h_{j}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{i}^{2}}\right) \\
P\left(h_{j}=1 \mid \mathbf{v}\right) & =\frac{1}{1+\exp \left(-\sum_{i} W_{i j} \frac{v_{i}}{\sigma_{i}}-a_{j}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Gaussian

Bernoulli

## Gaussian-Bernoulli RBMs

## Images: Gaussian-Bernoulli RBM

4 million unlabelled images


Learned features (out of 10,000 )


Text: Multinomial-Bernoulli RBM
REUTERS: :
1P Associated Press
Reuters dataset: 804,414 unlabeled newswire stories Bag-of-Words


## Relation to Directed Graphs

- Let us try to convert directed graph into an undirected graph:



## Directed vs. Undirected

- Directed Graphs can be more precise about independencies than undirected graphs.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { undirected graphs. } \\
& p(\mathbf{x})=p\left(x_{1}\right) p\left(x_{2}\right) p\left(x_{3}\right) p\left(x_{4} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \quad p(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Moralize: Marry the parents


- All the parents of $x_{4}$ can interact to determine the distribution over $\mathrm{x}_{4}$.
- The directed graph represents independencies that the undirected graph cannot model.
- To represent the high-order interaction in the directed graph, the undirected graph needs a fourth-order clique.
- This fully connected graph exhibits no conditional independence properties


## Undirected vs. Directed

- Undirected Graphs can be more precise about independencies than directed graphs
- There is no directed graph over four variables that represents the same set of conditional independence properties.


$$
\begin{gathered}
A \not \Perp B \mid \emptyset \\
A \Perp B \mid C \cup D \\
C \Perp D \mid A \cup B
\end{gathered}
$$

## Directed vs. Undirected

- If every conditional independence property of the distribution is reflected in the graph and vice versa, then the graph is a perfect map for that distribution.

- Venn diagram:
- The set of all distributions P over a given set of random variables.
- The set of distributions $D$ that can be represented as a perfect map using directed graph.
- The set of distributions $U$ that can be represented as a perfect map using undirected graph.
- We can extend the framework to graphs that include both directed and undirected graphs.

