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Abstract
Creating mathematical diagrams is essential for both developing one’s intuition and conveying it to others. However, formalizing diagrams in most general-purpose tools requires painstaking low-level manipulation of shapes and positions. We report on early work on PENROSE, a system we are building to automatically visualize mathematics from notation. PENROSE comprises two languages: SUBSTANCE, a domain-specific language that mimics the declarativeness of mathematical notation, and STYLE, a styling language that concisely specifies the visual semantics of the notation. Our system can automatically visualize set theory expressions with user-defined styles, and it can visualize abstract definitions of functions by producing concrete examples. We plan to extend the system to more domains of math. [1]

1. Separating SUBSTANCE and STYLE
To formalize a mathematical diagram in a general-purpose tool, one must lower one’s high-level domain-specific understanding of the diagram’s semantics into the weeds of styling details. A general-purpose illustration tool like TikZ has no notion of the domain a user is trying to illustrate, so it exposes total control over display attributes such as shape, color, and position. At this level, it is very difficult to illustrate multiple objects in a domain without duplicating code, and difficult to cleanly illustrate an object in different ways.

To raise the level of abstraction for creating illustrations, users often define parametrized macros or write libraries to translate domain-level descriptions to diagrams. For example, TikZ users have created thousands of ad-hoc domain-specific languages to model domains like commutative diagrams and Bayesian networks. However, these DSLs lack the affordances of full-blown programming languages, such as domain-level type-checking and consistent syntax.

PENROSE aims to provide a principled, extensible domain-specific illustration environment for mathematics. To enable users to create and edit diagrams at a semantic level, PENROSE enforces a clean separation between substance and style. This separation takes the form of two languages, SUB-

Figure 1. Two Styles visualizing the same Substance.
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STANCe and STYLE, akin to HTML and CSS. SUBSTANCE models mathematical notation, and STYLE defines the visual semantics of the notation: how the objects and relationships declared in SUBSTANCE are translated into images.

Figure 1 shows how one might use PENROSE in the domain of set theory. Here, we illustrate one set theory expression with two visual representations. The SUBSTANCE program at the top of Figure 1 specifies the set objects and their relationships. The two STYLE programs emphasize different aspects of the relationship $A \subset B$, which is commonly interpreted either as “$A$ is spatially contained in $B$” or “$A$ implies $B$” (because if a point lies in $A$, then it lies in $B$). The styles are generic, and thus reusable: they apply to any SUBSTANCE program that includes sets and relationships.
3. Illustrating abstract function definitions

Understanding functions is crucial to understanding mathematics. Many of the first definitions a learner encounters in elementary discrete math involve properties of functions, and these definitions continue to show up in more advanced domains like topology and category theory.

One common object of study is the injective function. A function is injective, or “one-to-one,” if every element of its codomain is mapped to at most one element of the domain. That is, a function \( f : X \to Y \) is injective if:

\[
\forall x, x' \in X, f(x) = f(x') \to x = x'.
\]

People often illustrate abstract definitions by example. In this case, one common “cartoon,” which appears in textbooks and on Wikipedia, stylizes \( f \) as a set of mappings between elements of discrete and finite sets.

![Figure 3. Visualizing injective and surjective functions.](image)

Given only this abstract definition of an injective function, written in \textsc{Substance}, \textsc{Penrose} can automatically visualize injective functions in the same style (Figure 3). In addition, \textsc{Penrose} can automatically generate many concrete examples of injective functions, giving the reader a better intuition for the definition (e.g., bijections are injections).

\textsc{Penrose} can automatically visualize any function definition on discrete, finite sets that is written in first-order logic, such as function composition. The runtime finds concrete instances by calling the external tool \textsc{Alloy} [2].

We plan to design principled mechanisms for users to extend \textsc{Penrose} with domain knowledge. Users should be able to incorporate external tools, like \textsc{Alloy}, and external libraries, such as existing graph layout libraries, in every stage of creating a visualization. For more information on \textsc{Penrose}, visit \url{http://www.penrose.ink}.
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