

Assignment 3: Middle End

15-411: Compiler Design

Jan Hoffmann, Evan Bergeron, Xue An Chuang, Aaron Gutierrez, Shyam Raghavan

Due Thursday, October 13, 2016 (9:00am)

Reminder: Assignments are individual assignments, not done in pairs. The work must be all your own. Hand in your solutions as a PDF file on Autolab. Please read the late policy for written assignments on the course web page.

Problem 1: Stuck in the Middle (40 points)

We generate a *Collatz sequence* c_i , starting from some positive integer n , with the following mathematical definition:

$$a_0 = n$$
$$a_{i+1} = \begin{cases} a_i/2 & \text{if } a_i \text{ is even} \\ 3a_i + 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The *stopping time* of a Collatz sequence is the smallest index i such that $a_i = 1$. It is currently not known if every Collatz sequence reaches 1 (and thereby stops). The following C0 function is intended to compute the *maximum number* in the Collatz sequence for n before it stops.

```
int collatz(int n)
//@requires n >= 1;
{
  int r = 0;
  while (n > 1) {
    if (n > r) r = n;
    if (n % 2 == 0)
      n = n / 2;
    else
      n = 3*n + 1;
  }
  return r;
}
```

- (a) Compile this program to abstract three-address assembly code with infinite temps but without nested expressions. Your code should be arranged into basic blocks.
- (b) Show the control flow graph of the program in (a) pictorially, carefully encapsulating each basic block. Label each basic block with the label from the abstract assembly code.
- (c) Convert the abstract assembly program from (a) into SSA with parameterized labels.
- (d) Rearrange the SSA program to use Φ -functions.
- (e) Transform your SSA code into minimal SSA, removing unnecessary Φ -functions.
- (f) Transform your code out of SSA with Φ -functions and back into SSA with parameterized labels.
- (g) Apply the de-SSA transformation to obtain a program where labels are no longer parameterized.
- (h) Modify this code to use extended basic blocks, where every label is the target of more than one jump. (Aside: you could have transformed your code into extended basic blocks after step (a) or after step (b). How would this have influenced later stages?)
- (i) If we are not interested in the maximum value in the sequence, but just a confirmation that it stopped, we can transform the program by replacing the last line with `return 0`. Apply *neededness analysis* from lecture and show which code will be flagged as *not needed*. Clearly indicate where temps are defined and used, and which temps are needed on which lines. You do not need to show intermediate steps, only your final answer, but it is easier to assign partial credit if you show your work.
- (j) Even if we assume that integers are infinite-precision, the original code for `collatz` has a bug. Describe and fix this bug in the source.

Problem 2: Static Semantics (10 points)

- (a) Write out the rules for proper returns for the L3 language: along each control flow path starting at the beginning of a function, there must be a return statement.
- (b) Extend the the rules for typing of statements and expressions in L2, and explain how they are different; why does the difference between statements and expressions matter to the typechecker?