



Empirical Evaluation of the Protocol Specification Language MSR 2

Rishav Bhowmick, Iliano Cervesato
Carnegie Mellon University - Qatar

iliano@cmu.edu

Background

➤ MSR 2

- Protocol specification language
 - Strongly typed multiset rewriting with constraints
- Designed in 2001
- Used extensively in Kerberos project
 - F. Butler, A. Jaggard, A. Scedrov, J. Tsay, ...
 - Experts
- Implemented in 2004
 - M.-O. Stehr, S. Reich
 - Type-checking with type reconstruction
 - Execution (incl. limited search, tracking)
 - Constraints

➤ How usable is it by non-experts?

Project

- Student with no prior exposure
 - CMU-Q undergrad (sophomore)
 - No knowledge of security protocols
 - Programming experience in Java and C++
 - Otherwise rather sharp
 - Brief introduction on basic security
- Acquaintance to MSR 2
 - How hard is it to learn the paradigm?
- Encoding of the Clark-Jacob library
 - How hard is it to figure out the techniques?
 - How is the implementation performing?

Outcomes

➤ MSR language

- Paradigm is easy to grasp (3 hours)
- Techniques
 - Harder to figure out
 - Once figured out, sensible and easily replicable

➤ MSR implementation

- Makes all the difference
- Found several bugs
 - Type reconstruction is underpowered
 - Error messages are unhelpful
 - Lack of robustness
 - Inessential changes make unsolvable constraints solvable

Future Work

- Fix implementation
- Low level protocol specification
- Explore linguistic feature to facilitate description of optional behaviors