

Rademacher Complexity and VC Dimension

Hanxiao Liu

January 13, 2015

Notations

- Data $z_i = (x_i, y_i) \sim D$, $S = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m\} \sim D^m$
- Mapping from data to loss: $g(z_i) = L(h(x_i), y_i) \in [0, 1]$
- Rademacher RVs: $\sigma_i \stackrel{Unif}{\sim} \{-1, +1\}$

Empirical Rademacher Complexity

$$\hat{\mathfrak{R}}_S(G) = \mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \left[\sup_{g \in G} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i g(z_i) \right]$$

Rademacher Complexity

$$\mathfrak{R}_m(G) = \mathbb{E}_{S \sim D^m} \left[\hat{\mathfrak{R}}_S(G) \right]$$

Rademacher Generalization Bound

With probability $> 1 - \delta$

$$\underbrace{\sup_{g \in G} \left(\mathbb{E} [g(z)] - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m g(z_i) \right)}_{\Phi(z_1, \dots, z_m)} \leq 2\mathfrak{R}_m(G) + \sqrt{\frac{\log \frac{2}{\delta}}{2m}}$$

Theorem (McDiarmid's Inequality)

If $|\Phi(z_1, \dots, z_i, \dots, z_m) - \Phi(z_1, \dots, z'_i, \dots, z_m)| \leq \frac{1}{m}$

$$\Phi(z_1, \dots, z_m) \leq \mathbb{E}[\Phi(z_1, \dots, z_m)] + \sqrt{\frac{\log \frac{2}{\delta}}{2m}}$$

Therefore, it suffices to show $\mathbb{E}[\Phi(z_1, \dots, z_m)] = 2\mathfrak{R}_m(G)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_S [\Phi(z_1, \dots, z_m)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_S \left[\sup_{g \in G} \mathbb{E}(g) - \hat{\mathbb{E}}_S(g) \right] = \mathbb{E}_S \left[\sup_{g \in G} \mathbb{E}_{S'} \left[\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{S'}(g) - \hat{\mathbb{E}}_S(g) \right] \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{S, S'} \left[\sup_{g \in G} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{S'}(g) - \hat{\mathbb{E}}_S(g) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{S, S'} \left[\sup_{g \in G} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (g(z'_i) - g(z_i)) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\sigma, S, S'} \left[\sup_{g \in G} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i (g(z'_i) - g(z_i)) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{\sigma, S'} \left[\sup_{g \in G} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i g(z'_i) \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\sigma, S} \left[\sup_{g \in G} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m -\sigma_i g(z_i) \right] \\ &= 2\mathfrak{R}_m(G) \end{aligned}$$

Data-dependent Bound

From McDiarmid's

$$\mathfrak{R}_m(G) \leq \hat{\mathfrak{R}}_S(G) + \sqrt{\frac{\log \frac{2}{\delta}}{2m}}$$

$$\implies \sup_{g \in G} \left(\mathbb{E}[g(z)] - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m g(z_i) \right) \leq 2\hat{\mathfrak{R}}_S(G) + 3\sqrt{\frac{\log \frac{2}{\delta}}{2m}}$$

When $h \in H$ is binary, we can get bound w.r.t. H instead of G

$$\sup_{h \in H} \left(R(h) - \hat{R}(h) \right) \leq \mathfrak{R}_m(H) + \sqrt{\frac{\log \frac{2}{\delta}}{2m}}$$

$$\sup_{h \in H} \left(R(h) - \hat{R}(h) \right) \leq \hat{\mathfrak{R}}_S(H) + 3\sqrt{\frac{\log \frac{2}{\delta}}{2m}}$$

Growth function

In Rademacher complexity, $\sup_{g \in G} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i g(x_i)$ can be hard to compute

R complexity is bounded by another quantity called the growth function (a.k.a. shattering number), which is easier to deal with

Definition (Growth function)

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \Pi_H(m) = \max_S |\{h(x_1), \dots, h(x_m)\} : h \in H|$$
$$\stackrel{\Delta}{=} \max_S |H|_S$$

$\Pi_H(m)$: maximum number of distinct ways in which m points can be classified. Hence $\Pi_H(m) \leq 2^m$.

From Massart's lemma

$$\mathfrak{R}_m(H) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2 \log \Pi_H(m)}{m}}$$

What if we want to further get rid of “ m ” in growth function $\Pi_H(m)$? —VC-dimension

Given H , as m grows, it becomes more and more unlikely that the data points can be classified in 2^m ways by $h \in H$

Definition (VC Dimension)

$$VCdim(H) = \max \{m : \Pi_H(m) = 2^m\}$$

E.g.: $VCdim(\text{intervals}) = 2$, $VCdim(\text{hyperplanes in } \mathbb{R}^2) = 3$, ...

Why VC-dimension? $\Pi_H(m) = O(m^{VCdim(H)})$

- can be derived from Sauer's lemma

Theorem (Sauer's lemma)

Let $VCdim(H) = d, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\Pi_H(m) \leq \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{m}{i} \stackrel{def}{=} \kappa(m, d)$$

Assume the lemma holds for $(m-1, d-1)$ and $(m-1, d)$. Let $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}, S' = \{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}\}$.

We can close the proof if $\forall H|_S, \exists H_1, H_2$ s.t.

- $|H|_S| = |H_1|_{S'}| + |H_2|_{S'}|$
- $VCdim(H_1) \leq d, VCdim(H_2) \leq d-1$.

Why? Because in this case

$$\begin{aligned} |H|_S| &= |H_1|_{S'}| + |H_2|_{S'}| \leq \Pi_{H_1}(m-1) + \Pi_{H_2}(m-1) \\ &\leq \kappa(m-1, d) + \kappa(m-1, d-1) \\ &\equiv \kappa(m, d) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \kappa(m-1, d) + \kappa(m-1, d-1) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{m-1}{i} + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{m-1}{i} \\
 &= \binom{m-1}{0} + \sum_{i=1}^d \binom{m-1}{i} + \sum_{i=1}^d \binom{m-1}{i-1} \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^d \left[\binom{m-1}{i} + \binom{m-1}{i-1} \right] \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^d \binom{m}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{m}{i} = \kappa(m, d)
 \end{aligned}$$

Sauer's Lemma

	H						H_1					H_2			
	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	\rightarrow	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	\rightarrow	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4
h_1	0	1	1	0	0	\rightarrow	0	1	1	0					
h_2	0	1	1	0	1						\rightarrow	0	1	1	0
h_3	0	1	1	1	0	\rightarrow	0	1	1	1					
h_4	1	0	0	1	0	\rightarrow	1	0	0	1					
h_5	1	0	0	1	1						\rightarrow	1	0	0	1
h_6	1	1	0	0	1	\rightarrow	1	1	0	0					

Construction procedure ¹

- H_1 : ignore the behavior on x_5
- H_2 : dichotomies that “collapsed” in H_1

Check

- $|H|_S = |H_1|_{S'} + |H_2|_{S'}$
- $VCdim(H_1) \leq VCdim(H) = d$
- Notice if S' is shattered by H_2 , then $S' \cup \{x_5\}$ can always be shattered by $H \implies VCdim(H_2) \leq d - 1$

¹thanks to http://www.cs.princeton.edu/courses/archive/spr08/cos511/scribe_notes/0220.pdf

VC Generalization Bound

Sauer's lemma implies²

$$\Pi_H(m) \leq \left(\frac{em}{d}\right)^d$$

Further recall that

$$\mathfrak{R}_m(H) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2 \log \Pi_H(m)}{m}}$$

Therefore, from Rademacher generalization bound

Theorem (VC-dimension Generalization Bound)

With probability $> 1 - \delta$,

$$R(h) \leq \hat{R}(h) + \sqrt{\frac{2d \log \frac{em}{d}}{m}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log \frac{2}{\delta}}{2m}}$$

²see also <http://www.svms.org/vc-dimension/> for a visualization

VC Generalization Bound

We can directly achieve a similar VC bound (of the same order) without using Rademacher complexity

Theorem (Vapnik and Chervonenkis)

$$\mathbb{P} \left(|R(h) - \hat{R}(h)| > \epsilon \right) \leq 4\Pi_H(2m) \exp \left(-\frac{m\epsilon^2}{8} \right)$$

The proof relies on the following lemma ³

Lemma (Symmetrization)

$\forall \epsilon > \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}}$, let $S' = \{x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_m\}$ be a ghost sample

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{h \in H} |R(h) - \hat{R}_S(h)| > \epsilon \right) \leq 2\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{h \in H} |\hat{R}_{S'}(h) - \hat{R}_S(h)| > \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right)$$

i.e. if samples are concentrated, then they are all close to the mean.

³thanks to <http://www.stat.cmu.edu/~larry/=sml/Concentration.pdf>

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{h \in H} |R(h) - \hat{R}_S(h)| > \epsilon \right) \\
 & \leq 2\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{h \in H} |\hat{R}_{S'}(h) - \hat{R}_S(h)| > \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) \\
 & = 2\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{v \in \{H_{|S} \cup H_{|S'}\}} |\hat{R}_{S'}(v) - \hat{R}_S(v)| > \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) \\
 & \leq 2 \sum_{v \in \{H_{|S} \cup H_{|S'}\}} \mathbb{P} \left(|\hat{R}_{S'}(v) - \hat{R}_S(v)| > \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) \\
 & \leq 2 \sum_{v \in \{H_{|S} \cup H_{|S'}\}} 2 \exp \left(-\frac{m\epsilon^2}{8} \right) \\
 & \leq 4\Pi_H(2m) \exp \left(-\frac{m\epsilon^2}{8} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

2-sample Hoeffding's: $\mathbb{P} \left(\hat{R}_{S'}(v) - \hat{R}_S(v) > \epsilon \right) \leq \exp \left(-\frac{n\epsilon^2}{2} \right)$

Theorem (Lower bound, realizable case)

For $d > 1$, \exists a "bad" distribution D and target function f , s.t.

$$\mathbb{P}_{S \sim D^m} \left[R_D(h_S, f) > \frac{d-1}{32m} \right] \geq \frac{1}{100}$$

Theorem (Lower bound, non-realizable case)

For $d > 1$, \exists a "bad" distribution D , s.t.

$$\mathbb{P}_{S \sim D^m} \left(R_D(h_S) > \inf_{h \in H} R_D(h) + \sqrt{\frac{d}{320m}} \right) \geq \frac{1}{64}$$

- realizable: $x \sim D$, $\exists f : y = f(x)$; non-realizable: $(x, y) \sim D$.
- h_S : hypothesis learned based on S using any algorithm
- $R_D(h_S, f)$ and $R_D(h_S)$: the best we can do
- $\inf_{h \in H} R_D(h)$: the true optimal



Bartlett, P. L. and Mendelson, S. (2003).
Rademacher and gaussian complexities: Risk bounds and structural results.
The Journal of Machine Learning Research, 3:463–482.



Feng, Y. and Schapire, R. (2008).
Theoretical Machine Learning.
http://www.cs.princeton.edu/courses/archive/spr08/cos511/scribe_notes/0220.pdf.
[Online; accessed 27-Dec-2014].



Mohri, M., Rostamizadeh, A., and Talwalkar, A. (2012).
Foundations of machine learning.
MIT press.



Wasserman, L. (2008).
Concentration of Measure.
<http://www.stat.cmu.edu/~larry/=sml/Concentration.pdf>.
[Online; accessed 27-Dec-2014].