

Proofs “Я” Us

Frank Pfenning

Workshop on Logosphere and Infer!

SRI International, October 2003

Outline

- Logical Frameworks
- The LF Logical Framework
- The Twelf Implementation
- Extensions and Efficiency Improvements
- Questions for Logosphere

Logical Frameworks: The Vision

- De Bruijn's AUTOMATH [1968]:
foundationally uncommitted framework for formally checking mathematics
- Step 1: define logic
- Step 2: present theory
- In this talk:
theory = definitions + theorems + proofs
- Theory (incl. proofs) checked in AUTOMATH
- Reject set theory or type theory as foundation

Logical Frameworks: The Reality

- De Bruijn's dream has been realized!
- But: also many more specialized systems
- Proof checking vs. proof search
- Applications in mathematics vs. applications in computer science

Approaches to Logical Frameworks

- Rewriting logic [Maude, ELAN]
 - judgments as terms
 - deduction as rewriting
 - proofs as traces
 - no well-developed theory or practice of proof representation and checking (as yet)
 - efficient support for equational reasoning

Approaches to Logical Frameworks

- Meta-logic [Isabelle, λ Prolog]
 - judgments as propositions
 - no intrinsic proofs
- Type theory [AUTOMATH, LF]
 - judgments as types
 - proofs as objects
 - proof-checking as type-checking
 - simple (fixed) intrinsic equality

Logical Framework for Logosphere

- Desiderata
 - Express logics naturally
 - Represent theories compactly
 - Check proofs efficiently
 - Translate between logics/theories
 - Verify properties of logics/theories
 - Tested in the battlefield
- LF (implemented in Twelf) is close

The LF Logical Framework

- Second generation [Harper et al.'87,'93]
- Direct descendant of AUTOMATH
- Supports
 - Variable binding and substitution
 - Parametric and hypothetical judgments
 - Higher-level judgments
- Based on dependent types
- Representing judgments as types

The Twelf Implementation

- Second generation [Schürmann & Pf'98]
- Implements LF
- In addition, offers:
 - Type reconstruction
 - Meta-programming as logic programming
 - Meta-level reasoning
 - Constraint domains
- Unification and matching are central
- Tutorials, User's Guide, etc.

Twelf Applications

- Foundational PCC [Appel et al.]
 - Represent higher-order logic (HOL) in Twelf
 - Develop theory of machine code in HOL
 - ~100K lines of Twelf source
- Typed Assembly Language [Crary et al.]
 - Represent typed assembly language in Twelf
 - Prove soundness as meta-theorem
 - ~60K lines of Twelf source
- Many smaller examples

Related LF Implementations

- LF_i [Necula & Lee]
 - Redundancy elimination on fragment of LF
 - Used in Touchstone certifying compiler
 - Used in Ginseng certifying Java compiler
 - Used with certifying decision procedures
 - Also: oracle strings [Necula et al.]
- Flea and Flit [Stump et al.]
 - More efficient checking of proofs
 - Used in foundational PCC [Appel et al.]
 - Used with CVC [Barrett, Stump et al.]

The Practice of LF

- *LF is foundationally uncommitted*

We can encode as much or as little of the semantics as we wish

- Allows inconsistent theories
- Allows typed or untyped theories
- The more is captured, the higher the benefits

Some Logics in LF

- First- and higher order logic [Harper et al.'87]
- Calculus of constructions [Pf'93]
- Martin-Löf type theory [Murthy]
- Modal and temporal logics [Bernard'02]
- Linear logic [Pf'94]

Framework Extensions

- Constraint domains
 - Now: integers, word32, rationals, strings
 - Generate and check proofs
 - Richer equational reasoning [future]
- Module system [ongoing: Watkins & Pf'01]
 - Designed but not yet implemented
 - Semantics as elaboration into LF

Efficiency Improvements

- Tabled logic programming [ongoing: Pientka'03]
- Proof irrelevance [ongoing: Pf'01, Reed'03]
 - Omit some proofs in decidable theories
- Redundancy elimination [ongoing: Watkins'02, Reed'03]
 - More compact representations
 - More efficient checking

Summary

- Logical frameworks: Proofs “Я” Us
- Foundationally uncommitted
 - Step 1: encode logic
 - Step 2: encode theories
(= definitions + theorems + proofs)
- LF logical framework
 - Mature implementation in Twelf
 - Significant applications (FPCC, TALT, etc.)
 - Further work: modularity, efficiency

More Information

- <http://www.twelf.org>
 - Sources (SML: SML/NJ, PolyML, MLton)
 - Binaries (Windows, Linux, MacOS X)
 - Emacs support
- Documentation and examples
 - Tutorial
 - User's Guide
 - Handbook article
 - Course notes *Computation & Deduction*

Question for Logosphere

- Representing PVS logic and proofs in LF?
- Representing other relevant logics?
- XML and/or OMDOC interfaces to Twelf?
- Total and partial translations as higher-level judgments on proofs?
- Requirements on module system?
- Requirements on constraint domains?
- Requirements on space and time efficiency?