

Properties of Terms in Continuation-Passing Style in an Ordered Logical Framework

Jeff Polakow and Frank Pfenning

LFM'00, Santa Barbara, CA
June 25, 2000

1. Introduction
2. A-normal form, ordering, and evaluation
3. Ordered Logical Framework
4. Continuation-passing style
5. Stack-based evaluation
6. Conclusion

Logical Frameworks

- Meta-languages for deductive systems
(logics, type systems, operational semantics, ...)
- Some design goals
 - *Specification*: direct, elegant, concise
 - *Implementation*: simple, correct, usable
 - *Meta-Theory*: feasible, automated
- Support common concepts as directly as possible!

The Logical Framework LF

- Based on dependent types (Π , \rightarrow)
- Supports:
 - bound variables, substitution
 - parametric and hypothetical judgments
 - unification, logic programming, constraints (Elf)
 - meta-level induction, regular worlds (Twelf)
- [Harper, Honsell & Plotkin'87,'93] [Pf'89,'91]
[Schürmann'00]

Linear Logical Framework (LLF)

- Conservative extension of LF
- Adds \multimap , $\&$, \top to support:
 - linear and strict variables, “contexts”
 - linear hypothetical judgments
 - state and resources
 - meta-level induction, reachable worlds (?)
- [Cervesato & Pf '96,'97,'00]

Ordered Logical Framework (OLF)

- Conservative extension of LF and LLF
- Adds \rightarrow (right ordered implication) and \multimap (left ordered implication) to support:
 - variable order restrictions
 - ordered hypothetical judgments
 - sequentiality constraints
 - stacks and queues
- [Polakow & Pf'99][Polakow'00]
- *Disclaimer:* Design and theory in progress!

This Talk

- A-normal forms, stacks, and ordering
- Ordered Logical Framework (OLF)
- Representing CPS terms in OLF
- Ordering properties of CPS known
[Lawall'94] [Danvy & Pf'95] [Danvy, Dzafic & Pf'99]
- Simplified and unified here

A-Normal Forms

- Sequentialize computation
- Name intermediate results
- No explicit continuations
- Used in modern compilers
- Results extend to continuation-passing style

Translation to A-Normal Form

- For simplicity, source is untyped
- Source (pure λ -calculus)

Serious Exps $E ::= E_1 E_2 \mid T$

Trivial Exps $T ::= \underline{\lambda}x. E \mid x$

- Target (A-normal forms)

Serious Exps $e ::= \mathbf{let} \ v = t_1 t_2 \ \mathbf{in} \ e \mid \mathbf{return} \ t$

Trivial Exps $t ::= \underline{\lambda}x. e \mid x \mid v$

- Distinguish λ -bound variables x (function parameters) from **let**-bound variables v (intermediate results)

Example Translation

- Using call-by-value, left-to-right evaluation
- Show translation $|\cdot|$ of trivial expression

$|\underline{\lambda}x. f x (g x x)|$

$= \underline{\lambda}x. \text{let } v_1 = f x \text{ in}$

$\text{let } v_2 = g x \text{ in}$

$\text{let } v_3 = v_2 x \text{ in}$

$\text{let } v_4 = v_1 v_3 \text{ in}$

$\text{return } v_4$

Stackability of Intermediate Values

- Intermediate values can be allocated on a stack

$|\underline{\lambda}x. f x (g x x)|$

$= \underline{\lambda}x. \text{ let } v_1 = f x \text{ in}$	v_1
$\quad \text{let } v_2 = g x \text{ in}$	v_1, v_2
$\quad \text{let } v_3 = v_2 x \text{ in}$	v_1, v_3
$\quad \text{let } v_4 = v_1 v_3 \text{ in}$	v_4
$\quad \text{return } v_4$	\cdot

- Function parameters x do not participate
- Compile all v_i as **pop**

Order as a Judgment

- Stacks $\Phi ::= \cdot \mid \Phi, v$
- Judgments
 - $\Phi \Vdash e$ e is ordered in Φ
 - $\Phi \vdash t$ t is ordered in Φ

$$\frac{\Phi, v \Vdash e \quad \Phi_1 \vdash t_1 \quad \Phi_2 \vdash t_2}{\Phi, \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \Vdash \mathbf{let} \ v = t_1 \ t_2 \ \mathbf{in} \ e}$$

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash t}{\Phi \Vdash \mathbf{return} \ t}$$

$$\frac{\cdot \Vdash e}{\cdot \vdash \underline{\lambda}x. e}$$

$$\frac{}{\cdot \vdash x}$$

$$\frac{}{v \vdash v}$$

Some Theorems

[Lawall'94] [Danvy & Pf'95] [Danvy, Dzafic & Pf'99]

- Results of translation will be ordered
- Ordered expressions can be evaluated with a stack
- Left-to-right and right-to-left give rise to symmetric orders
- Ordered expressions can be translated back

Representation in a Logical Framework

- First: higher-order abstract syntax
- Second: refine to capture order
- Third: evaluation (judgments about terms)
- $\ulcorner e \urcorner$ for serious expressions
- $\ulcorner t \urcorner$ for trivial expressions

Representing A-Normal Forms, Take I

exp : type

triv : type

$\ulcorner v \urcorner = v$

$\ulcorner \mathbf{let} \ v = t_1 \ t_2 \ \mathbf{in} \ e \urcorner = \mathbf{let} \ (\lambda v:\mathbf{triv}. \ulcorner e \urcorner) \ \ulcorner t_1 \urcorner \ \ulcorner t_2 \urcorner$

let : (triv \rightarrow exp) \rightarrow triv \rightarrow triv \rightarrow exp

$\ulcorner \mathbf{return} \ t \urcorner = \mathbf{return} \ \ulcorner t \urcorner$

return : triv \rightarrow exp

$\ulcorner x \urcorner = x$

$\ulcorner \underline{\lambda} x. e \urcorner = \mathbf{lam} \ (\lambda x:\mathbf{triv}. \ulcorner e \urcorner)$

lam : (triv \rightarrow exp) \rightarrow triv

Adequacy of LF Representations

- $\Gamma = x_1:\text{triv}, \dots, x_n:\text{triv}$ function parameters in e or t
- $\Omega = v_1:\text{triv}, \dots, v_k:\text{triv}$ temporary variables in e or t
- $\Gamma, \Omega \vdash \llbracket e \rrbracket : \text{exp}$ and $\llbracket e \rrbracket$ is canonical
- $\Gamma, \Omega \vdash \lceil t \rceil : \text{triv}$ and $\lceil t \rceil$ is canonical
- If $\Gamma, \Omega \vdash M : \text{exp}$, M canonical then $M = \llbracket e \rrbracket$ for some e
- If $\Gamma, \Omega \vdash M : \text{triv}$, M canonical then $M = \lceil t \rceil$ for some t
- $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ and $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ are compositional bijections.

Ordered Adequacy

- Representations of ordered terms are well-typed
- Goal: all well-typed canonical terms represent ordered terms
- Refine framework to express order invariant
- Proceed from LF via LLF to OLF

Unrestricted Functions $A \rightarrow B$ (LF)

- Hypothetical judgment $\Gamma \vdash M : A$
- Context Γ satisfies exchange, weakening, and contraction

$$\overline{\Gamma, x:A, \Gamma' \vdash x : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x:A \vdash M : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x:A. M : A \rightarrow B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Gamma \vdash M N : B}$$

Linear Functions $A \multimap B$ (LLF)

- Linear hypothetical judgment $\Delta \vdash M : A$
- Linear context Δ satisfies exchange

$$\overline{y:A \vdash y : A}$$

$$\frac{\Delta, y:A \vdash M : B}{\Delta \vdash \hat{\lambda}y:A. M : A \multimap B}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_1 \vdash M : A \multimap B \quad \Delta_2 \vdash N : A}{\Delta_1 \bowtie \Delta_2 \vdash M \hat{\ } N : B}$$

- $\Delta_1 \bowtie \Delta_2$ allows interleaving merge

Right Ordered Functions $A \multimap B$ (OLF)

- Ordered hypothetical judgment $\Omega \vdash M : A$
- Ordered context Ω satisfies no structural rules

$$\frac{}{z:A \vdash z : A}$$

$$\frac{\Omega, z:A \vdash M : B}{\Omega \vdash \lambda^>_{z:A}. M : A \multimap B}$$

$$\frac{\Omega_1 \vdash M : A \multimap B \quad \Omega_2 \vdash N : A}{\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \vdash M^> N : B}$$

- Ω_1, Ω_2 concatenates ordered contexts
- Ordered variables are also linear

Left Ordered Functions $A \multimap B$ (OLF)

- Same ordered context Ω

$$\overline{z:A \vdash z : A}$$

$$\frac{z:A, \Omega \vdash M : B}{\Omega \vdash \lambda^{\prec}_{z:A}. M : A \multimap B}$$

$$\frac{\Omega_1 \vdash M : A \multimap B \quad \Omega_2 \vdash N : A}{\Omega_2, \Omega_1 \vdash M^{\prec} N : B}$$

Joint Propositional System

- Judgment $\Gamma; \Delta; \Omega \vdash M : A$
- Γ , Δ , and Ω interact straightforwardly

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta; \Omega \vdash M : A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma; \cdot; \cdot \vdash N : A}{\Gamma; \Delta; \Omega \vdash M N : B}$$

- Additives $\&$ and \top permitted
- Substitution and subject reduction hold
- Unique canonical forms exist [Polakow & Pf'99]

Representing A-Normal Forms, Take II

- LF Representation

$$\ulcorner v \urcorner = v$$

$$\ulcorner \text{let } v = t_1 t_2 \text{ in } e \urcorner = \text{let } (\lambda v:\text{triv}. \ulcorner e \urcorner) \urcorner t_1 \urcorner \urcorner t_2 \urcorner$$

$$\text{let} : (\text{triv} \rightarrow \text{exp}) \rightarrow \text{triv} \rightarrow \text{triv} \rightarrow \text{exp}$$

- Ordering of let

$$\frac{\Phi, v \Vdash e \quad \Phi_1 \vdash t_1 \quad \Phi_2 \vdash t_2}{\Phi, \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \Vdash \text{let } v = t_1 t_2 \text{ in } e} \quad \frac{}{v \vdash v}$$

- Ordered Representation

$$\ulcorner v \urcorner = v$$

$$\ulcorner \text{let } v = t_1 t_2 \text{ in } e \urcorner = \text{let}^> (\lambda v:\text{triv}. \ulcorner e \urcorner)^> \urcorner t_1 \urcorner^> \urcorner t_2 \urcorner$$

$$\text{let} : (\text{triv} \twoheadrightarrow \text{exp}) \twoheadrightarrow \text{triv} \twoheadrightarrow \text{triv} \twoheadrightarrow \text{exp}$$

Representing A-Normal Forms, Take II Ctd.

- LF representation

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \mathbf{return } t \rrbracket &= \mathbf{return } \llbracket t \rrbracket \\ \mathbf{return} &: \mathbf{triv} \rightarrow \mathbf{exp} \end{aligned}$$

- Ordering of **return**

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash t}{\Phi \Vdash \mathbf{return } t}$$

- Ordered representation

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \mathbf{return } t \rrbracket &= \mathbf{return } \llbracket t \rrbracket \\ \mathbf{return} &: \mathbf{triv} \rightarrow \mathbf{exp} \end{aligned}$$

Representing A-Normal Forms, Take II Ctd.

- LF representation

$$\lceil x \rceil = x$$

$$\lceil \underline{\lambda}x. e \rceil = \text{lam} (\lambda x:\text{triv}. \lceil e \rceil)$$

$$\text{lam} : (\text{triv} \rightarrow \text{exp}) \rightarrow \text{triv}$$

- Ordering of $\underline{\lambda}$

$$\frac{\cdot \Vdash e}{\cdot \vdash \underline{\lambda}x. e} \qquad \frac{}{\cdot \vdash x}$$

- Ordered representation

$$\lceil \underline{\lambda}x. e \rceil = \text{lam} (\lambda x:\text{triv}. \lceil e \rceil)$$

$$\text{lam} : (\text{triv} \rightarrow \text{exp}) \rightarrow \text{triv}$$

Summary Signature

let : (triv \rightarrow exp) \rightarrow triv \rightarrow triv \rightarrow exp
return : triv \rightarrow exp
lam : (triv \rightarrow exp) \rightarrow triv

Ordered Adequacy

- $\Gamma = x_1:\text{triv}, \dots, x_n:\text{triv}$
- $\Omega = v_1:\text{triv}, \dots, v_k:\text{triv}$
- $\Phi = v_1, \dots, v_k$
- $\Gamma; \cdot; \Omega \vdash \ulcorner e \urcorner : \text{exp}$ and $\ulcorner e \urcorner$ is canonical for $\Phi \Vdash e$
- $\Gamma; \cdot; \Omega \vdash \ulcorner t \urcorner : \text{triv}$ and $\ulcorner t \urcorner$ is canonical for $\Phi \vdash t$
- If $\Gamma; \cdot; \Omega \vdash M : \text{exp}$, M canonical then $M = \ulcorner e \urcorner$ for some e with $\Phi \Vdash e$
- If $\Gamma; \cdot; \Omega \vdash M : \text{triv}$, M canonical then $M = \ulcorner t \urcorner$ for some t with $\Phi \vdash t$
- $\ulcorner \cdot \urcorner$ and $\ulcorner \cdot \urcorner$ are compositional bijections

Continuation-Passing Style

- Generalize from A-normal form
- Make continuation explicit
- Target (CPS terms)

Serious Exps $e ::= t_1 t_2 (\underline{\lambda}v. e) \mid k t$

Trivial Exps $t ::= \underline{\lambda}x. \underline{\lambda}k. e \mid x \mid v$

- Distinguish λ -bound variables x (function parameters)
from let-bound variables v (intermediate results)
from continuation parameters k

Example Translation

- Using call-by-value, left-to-right evaluation
- Instead of returning, call continuation
- Pass continuation to every function

$$\begin{aligned} & |\underline{\lambda}x. f x (g x x)| \\ & = \underline{\lambda}x. \underline{\lambda}k. f x (\underline{\lambda}v_1. \\ & \quad g x (\underline{\lambda}v_2. \\ & \quad v_2 x (\underline{\lambda}v_3. \\ & \quad v_1 v_3 (\underline{\lambda}v_4. \\ & \quad k v_4)))) \end{aligned}$$

Ordered CPS Encoding

- Joint stack for intermediate values and continuations
- app corresponds to let
- return M becomes $k M$ for bound k .
- Representation is order-adequate as before
- Uses third-order constructors

app : (triv \twoheadrightarrow exp) \twoheadrightarrow triv \twoheadrightarrow triv \twoheadrightarrow exp

lam : (triv \rightarrow (triv \twoheadrightarrow exp) \twoheadrightarrow exp) \rightarrow triv

Dependent Types in OLF

- Dependent function type $\Pi x:A. B$ plausible
- Construct in analogy with linear LF
- Paper: 2-level (first-order) system
- Meta-theory simple
- Used for judgments on ordered terms

Some Judgments on Ordered Terms

- Requires 2-level dependent types
- A-normal form translation, generates only ordered terms
- CPS translation, generates only ordered terms
- Evaluation (direct and with stack-based machine)
- Preserves order (guaranteed by OLF)
- (see paper for details)

Example: Stack Abstract Machine

- Evaluation stack $\phi ::= \cdot \mid \phi, \underline{\lambda}v. e \mid \phi, t$
- Mixes continuations and values
- Evaluation judgments

$\phi \Vdash e \hookrightarrow a$ e evaluates to answer a

$\phi \vdash t \hookrightarrow t'; \phi'$ t evaluates to t' and stack ϕ'

$$\frac{\phi \vdash t_2 \hookrightarrow t'_2; \phi' \quad \phi' \vdash t_1 \hookrightarrow \underline{\lambda}x. \underline{\lambda}k. e_1; \phi'' \quad \phi'', \underline{\lambda}v. e \Vdash [t'_2/x]e_1 \hookrightarrow a}{\phi \Vdash t_1 t_2 (\underline{\lambda}v. e) \hookrightarrow a}$$

$$\frac{\phi \vdash t \hookrightarrow t'; \phi', \underline{\lambda}v. e \quad \phi', t' \Vdash e \hookrightarrow a}{\phi \Vdash kt \hookrightarrow a}$$

$$\frac{}{\phi \vdash \underline{\lambda}x. \underline{\lambda}k. e \hookrightarrow \underline{\lambda}x. \underline{\lambda}k. e; \phi}$$

$$\frac{}{\phi, t \vdash v \hookrightarrow t; \phi}$$

Machine Properties

- Correct for ordered terms
[Danvy & Pf'95] [Danvy, Dzafic & Pf'99]
- Paper: proof with the aid of OLF
- Temporary variables v treated as **pop**
- Continuation parameters k treated as return.

Representation in OLF

- Add

pop : triv

ret : triv \rightarrow exp

cont : (triv \rightarrow exp) \rightarrow type

var : triv \rightarrow type

eval : exp \rightarrow triv \rightarrow type

tval : triv \rightarrow triv \rightarrow type

- Represent evaluation stack ϕ as ordered context with

$$\ulcorner \cdot \urcorner = \cdot$$

$$\ulcorner \phi, \underline{\lambda}v. e \urcorner = \ulcorner \phi \urcorner, k_i:\text{cont } (\lambda^>v:\text{triv}. \ulcorner e \urcorner)$$

$$\ulcorner \phi, t \urcorner = \ulcorner \phi \urcorner, v_i:\text{var } \ulcorner t \urcorner$$

Computation Judgment

evapp : eval (app[>] (λ[>]v. e[>]v)[>] t₁[>] t₂) a
 ← tval t₂ t'₂
 ← tval t₁ (lam (λx. λ[>]k. e₁ x[>]k))
 ← (cont (λ[>]v. e[>]v) → eval (e₁ t'₂[>] ret) a)

evret : eval (ret[>] t) a
 ← tval t t'
 ← cont (λ[>]v. e[>]v)
 ← (var t' → eval (e[>] pop) a)

evlam : tval (lam (λx. λ[>]k. e x[>]k)) (lam (λx. λ[>]k. e x[>]k))

evpop : tval (pop) t

 ← var t

Remarks on Implementation

- Only right implication required
- Quantifiers omitted
- Adequacy (see paper)
- Preservation of order guaranteed by OLF typing
- Object language types can be added

Other Applications

- Computational linguistics [Lambek'58] (word order)
- Abstract machines (stacks)
- De Bruijn indices (variable order)
- Ordered logic programming [Polakow'00]
- Stack and queue data structures

Related Work

- Non-commutative logic [Ruet'97, ...]
Classical, no proof terms, based on non-commutative and commutative conjunction and disjunction
- Natural deduction and sequent calculus for full ordered logic [Polakow & Pf'99]
- Ordering judgment explicitly in LF [Dzafic'99]

Future Work

- Efficient logic programming search [Polakow'00]
- Ordered higher-order unification?
- Fully dependent type theory?
- Meta-theorem proving?
- Further examples