

Types and Programming Languages (15-814), Fall 2018

Assignment 8: Proofs and Stages

Contact: [15-814 Course Staff](#)

Due Tuesday, November 20, 2018, 11:59pm

This assignment is due by 11:59pm on the above date and it must be submitted electronically as a PDF file on Canvas. Please use the attached template to typeset your assignment and make sure to include your full name and Andrew ID. As before, problems marked “WB” are subject to the [whiteboard policy](#); all other problems must be done individually.

Task 0 (0 points). How long did you spend on this assignment? Please list the questions that you discussed with classmates using the whiteboard policy.

1 Staging Computation

Recall we defined binary numbers to be $\rho(\alpha. (\epsilon : 1) + (b0 : \alpha) + (b1 : \alpha))$, or equivalently in concrete syntax:

```
data Bin = Eps | B0 Bin | B1 Bin
```

We also implemented multiplication and addition functions:

```
mult : Bin -> Bin -> Bin  
plus : Bin -> Bin -> Bin
```

You can treat these functions as built-in and use them freely below. You are welcome to use the following concrete syntax for **box** e and **case** $e \{ \text{box } u \Rightarrow e' \}$:

```
box e  
case e { box u => e' }
```

You should assume our language supports lazy and eager products, sums, recursive types, general recursion, etc.

Hint. To save time, copy-paste the task statement into the solution environment and fill in the `[]` in the `\fillme in []` occurrences in the template!

Task 5 (10 points, WB). For each of the following proof terms witnessing the proposition $(\top \wedge \top) \supset (\perp \vee \top) \supset (\top \wedge \top)$, give the corresponding natural deduction proof.

1. $\lambda x. \lambda y. \langle x \cdot l, \langle \rangle \rangle$,
2. $\lambda x. \lambda y. \mathbf{case} \ y \ \{ l \cdot w \Rightarrow \mathbf{case} \ w \ \{ \} \mid r \cdot v \Rightarrow \langle v, v \rangle \}$.

Make sure to label all of your inferences with the names of the rule you used ($\supset I^x$, x , $\supset E$, $\wedge I$, $\wedge E_1$, $\wedge E_2$, $\vee I_1$, etc.).

The *most general proposition* witnessed by a proof term x is the proposition G such that

- x is a proof term of G , i.e., $x : G$, and
- for any other proposition P , if $x : P$, then there exists a substitution σ mapping proposition variables in G to propositions such that $P = \sigma G$.

For example, the most general proposition for the proof term $\lambda x. x$ is $A \supset A$, even though $\lambda x. x$ is also a proof term for $\perp \supset \perp$ and $(B \vee C \wedge \top) \supset (B \vee C \wedge \top)$. Similarly, the most general proposition for $\lambda x. \lambda y. \langle x, y \rangle$ is $A \supset B \supset A \wedge B$. The concept of the most general proposition witnessed by a proof term is analogous to the concept of the most general type for a term.

Task 6 (10 points, WB). For each of the following proof terms, give the most general proposition it witnesses:

1. $\lambda x. \lambda y. \langle x \cdot l, \langle \rangle \rangle$,
2. $\lambda x. \lambda y. \mathbf{case} \ y \ \{ l \cdot w \Rightarrow \mathbf{case} \ w \ \{ \} \mid r \cdot v \Rightarrow \langle v, v \rangle \}$.