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Interview

Josh Gardner!
BS in Computer Science from University of Buffalo
Developer at SPAWAR 4 years
Server Lead at Mobiquity Inc. 5 years

Mobiquity is a software services company, meaning we sell our skills in building software (mobile apps, web apps and now Alexa skills) and building cloud infrastructure to other companies. That covers the whole range of activities, visual design, project management, and dragging what they actually want out of them ('gathering requirements'), and then building the system.

My personal role has become a combination of actually writing node.js code, and managing a pack of fellow server devs on one of our large health care projects. Previously I was a rank and file developer for a few consumer services type apps, and then was a full stack lead on a smaller Healthcare app (Novartis Heart Partner). Software services is interesting in that you have to frequently deal with different customers and different types of work (both technically and managerially) so I have sometimes a fairly different view of the business than folks who work in a more product company type setup, where the vision can often extend years in advance.
Administrativa

• Homework 1 due tonight
• Teamwork assessment survey
• Homework 2 out today
Learning Goals

• Understand the abstraction level of architectural reasoning
• Approach software architecture with quality attributes in mind
• Distinguish software architecture from (object-oriented) software design
• Use notation and views to describe the architecture suitable to the purpose
• Document architectures clearly, without ambiguity
• Understand the benefits and challenges of traceability.
About You

I am familiar with how to design distributed, high-availability, or high-performance systems

- No
- Theory Only
- Yes
Requirements

Architecture

Implementation
Quality Requirements, now what?

• "should be highly available"
• "should answer quickly, accuracy is less relevant"
• "needs to be extensible"
• "should efficiently use hardware resources"
Software Architecture
The software architecture of a program or computing system is the structure or structures of the system, which comprise software elements, the externally visible properties of those elements, and the relationships among them.

[Bass et al. 2003]

Note: this definition is ambivalent to whether the architecture is known, or whether it’s any good!
Why Architecture? [BCK03]

- Represents earliest design decisions.
- Aids in communication with stakeholders
  - Shows them “how” at a level they can understand, raising questions about whether it meets their needs
- Defines constraints on implementation
  - Design decisions form “load-bearing walls” of application
- Dictates organizational structure
  - Teams work on different components
- Inhibits or enables quality attributes
  - Similar to design patterns
- Supports predicting cost, quality, and schedule
  - Typically by predicting information for each component
- Aids in software evolution
  - Reason about cost, design, and effect of changes
- Aids in prototyping
  - Can implement architectural skeleton early
Beyond functional correctness

- Quality matters, e.g.,
  - Performance
  - Availability
  - Modifiability, portability
  - Scalability
  - Security
  - Testability
  - Usability
  - Cost to build, cost to operate
Case Study: Architecture and Quality at Twitter
Twitter is over capacity.
Too many tweets! Please wait a moment and try again.
Inspecting the State of Engineering

• Running one of the world’s largest Ruby on Rails installations
• 200 engineers
• Monolithic: managing raw database, memcache, rendering the site, and presenting the public APIs in one codebase
• Increasingly difficult to understand system; organizationally challenging to manage and parallelize engineering teams
• Reached the limit of throughput on our storage systems (MySQL); read and write hot spots throughout our databases
• Throwing machines at the problem; low throughput per machine (CPU + RAM limit, network not saturated)
• Optimization corner: trading off code readability vs performance
Caching

- Api
- Web

- Page cache
- Fragment cache
- Row cache
- Vector cache

Memcached

DB  DB  DB
Twitter's Quality
Requirements/Redesign goals??

• Improve median latency; lower outliers
• Reduce number of machines 10x
• Isolate failures
• "We wanted cleaner boundaries with “related” logic being in one place"
  – encapsulation and modularity at the systems level (rather than at the class, module, or package level)
• Quicker release of new features
  – "run small and empowered engineering teams that could make local decisions and ship user-facing changes, independent of other teams"
JVM vs Ruby VM

• Rails servers capable of 200-300 requests / sec / host
• Experience with Scala on the JVM; level of trust
• Rewrite for JVM allowed 10-20k requests / sec / host
Programming Model

• Ruby model: Concurrency at process level; request queued to be handled by one process
• Twitter response aggregated from several services – additive response times
• "As we started to decompose the system into services, each team took slightly different approaches. For example, the failure semantics from clients to services didn’t interact well: we had no consistent back-pressure mechanism for servers to signal back to clients and we experienced “thundering herds” from clients aggressively retrying latent services."
• Goal: Single and uniform way of thinking about concurrency
  – Implemented in a library for RPC (Finagle), connection pooling, failover strategies and load balancing
Independent Systems

• "In our monolithic world, we either needed experts who understood the entire codebase or clear owners at the module or class level. Sadly, the codebase was getting too large to have global experts and, in practice, having clear owners at the module or class level wasn’t working. Our codebase was becoming harder to maintain, and teams constantly spent time going on “archeology digs” to understand certain functionality. Or we’d organize “whale hunting expeditions” to try to understand large scale failures that occurred."

• From monolithic system to multiple services
  – Agree on RPC interfaces, develop system internals independently
  – Self-contained teams
Storage

• Single-master MySQL database bottleneck despite more modular code
• Temporal clustering
  – Short-term solution
  – Skewed load balance
  – One machine + replications every 3 weeks
• Move to distributed database (Glizzard on MySQL) with "roughly sortable" ids
• Stability over features – using older MySQL version
Data-Driven Decisions

• Many small independent services, number growing
• Own dynamic analysis tool on top of RPC framework
• Framework to configure large numbers of machines
  – Including facility to expose feature to parts of users only
On Saturday, August 3 in Japan, people watched an airing of *Castle in the Sky*, and at one moment they took to Twitter so much that we hit a one-second peak of 143,199 Tweets per second.
Key Insights: Twitter Case Study

• Architectural decisions affect entire systems, not only individual modules
• Abstract, different abstractions for different scenarios
• Reason about quality attributes early
• Make architectural decisions explicit
• Question: Did the original architect make poor decisions?
Further Readings