Principles of Software Construction: Objects, Design, and Concurrency

Part 3: Concurrency

Concurrency, Part 2

Josh Bloch        Charlie Garrod
Administrivia

- Homework 5b due Tuesday night
- Design a framework for consideration as a “best framework!”
  - And let us know that you want your framework considered
- It’s fun, and can lead to good things
Key concepts from last Tuesday
42 Years of Microprocessor Trend Data

Original data up to the year 2010 collected and plotted by M. Horowitz, F. Labonte, O. Shacham, K. Olukotun, L. Hammond, and C. Batten
New plot and data collected for 2010-2017 by K. Rupp
A concurrency bug with an easy fix

```java
public class BankAccount {
    private long balance;

    public BankAccount(long balance) {
        this.balance = balance;
    }

    static void transferFrom(BankAccount source, BankAccount dest, long amount) {
        source.balance -= amount;
        dest.balance += amount;
    }

    public long balance() {
        return balance;
    }
}
```
Concurrency control with Java’s *intrinsic* locks

- **synchronized (lock) { ... }**
  - Synchronizes entire block on object `lock`; cannot forget to unlock
  - Intrinsic locks are *exclusive*: One thread at a time holds the lock
  - Intrinsic locks are *reentrant*: A thread can repeatedly get same lock

- **synchronized** on an instance method
  - Equivalent to `synchronized (this) { ... }` for entire method

- **synchronized** on a static method in class `Foo`
  - Equivalent to `synchronized (Foo.class) { ... }` for entire method

![Diagram showing concurrency control with intrinsic locks]
Another concurrency bug: serial number generation

```java
public class SerialNumber {
    private static long nextSerialNumber = 0;
    
    public static long generateSerialNumber() {
        return nextSerialNumber++;
    }
    
    public static void main(String[] args) throws InterruptedException {
        Thread threads[] = new Thread[5];
        for (int i = 0; i < threads.length; i++) {
            threads[i] = new Thread(() -> {
                for (int j = 0; j < 1_000_000; j++)
                    generateSerialNumber();
            });
            threads[i].start();
        }
        for(Thread thread : threads)
            thread.join();
        System.out.println(generateSerialNumber());
    }
}
```
What went wrong?

- An action is *atomic* if it is indivisible
  - Effectively, it happens all at once
    - No effects of the action are visible until it is complete
    - No other actions have an effect during the action
- Java’s `++` (increment) operator is not atomic!
  - It reads a field, increments value, and writes it back
- If multiple calls to `generateSerialNumber` see the same value, they generate duplicates
A third concurrency bug: cooperative thread termination

```java
public class StopThread {
    private static boolean stopRequested;

    public static void main(String[] args) throws Exception {
        Thread backgroundThread = new Thread(() -> {
            while (!stopRequested)
                /* Do something */;
        });
        backgroundThread.start();

        TimeUnit.SECONDS.sleep(1);
        stopRequested = true;
    }
}
```
What went wrong?

- In the absence of synchronization, there is no guarantee as to when, **if ever**, one thread will see changes made by another.

- JVMs can and do perform this optimization (“hoisting”):
  ```java
  while (!done)
      /* do something */;
  
  becomes:
  ```
  ```java
  if (!done)
      while (true)
          /* do something */;
  ```
Pop quiz – what’s wrong with this “fix”?

```java
public class StopThread {
    private static boolean stopRequested;
    private static synchronized void requestStop() {
        stopRequested = true;
    }

    private static boolean stopRequested() {
        return stopRequested;
    }

    public static void main(String[] args) throws Exception {
        Thread backgroundThread = new Thread(() -> {
            while (!stopRequested())
                /* Do something */
        });
        backgroundThread.start();

        TimeUnit.SECONDS.sleep(1);
        requestStop();
    }
}
```
You **must** lock write and read!

*Otherwise, locking accomplishes nothing*

```java
public class StopThread {
    private static boolean stopRequested;
    private static synchronized void requestStop() {
        stopRequested = true;
    }

    private static synchronized boolean stopRequested() {
        return stopRequested;
    }

    public static void main(String[] args) throws Exception {
        Thread backgroundThread = new Thread(() -> {
            while (!stopRequested())
                /* Do something */;
        });
        backgroundThread.start();

        TimeUnit.SECONDS.sleep(1);
        requestStop();
    }
}
```
Today

• More basic concurrency in Java
  – Some challenges of concurrency

• Still coming soon:
  – Higher-level abstractions for concurrency
  – Program structure for concurrency
  – Frameworks for concurrent computation
A liveness problem: poor performance

```java
public class BankAccount {
    private long balance;

    public BankAccount(long balance) {
        this.balance = balance;
    }

    static synchronized void transferFrom(BankAccount source, BankAccount dest, long amount) {
        source.balance -= amount;
        dest.balance += amount;
    }

    public synchronized long balance() {
        return balance;
    }
}
```
A liveness problem: poor performance

```java
public class BankAccount {
    private long balance;

    public BankAccount(long balance) {
        this.balance = balance;
    }

    static void transferFrom(BankAccount source,
                              BankAccount dest, long amount) {
        synchronized(BankAccount.class) {
            source.balance -= amount;
            dest.balance += amount;
        }
    }

    public synchronized long balance() {
        return balance;
    }
}
```
A proposed fix: *lock splitting*

*Does this work?*

```java
public class BankAccount {
    private long balance;

    public BankAccount(long balance) {
        this.balance = balance;
    }

    static void transferFrom(BankAccount source, BankAccount dest, long amount) {
        synchronized(source) {
            synchronized(dest) {
                source.balance -= amount;
                dest.balance += amount;
            }
        }
    }

    ...
}
```
A liveness problem: deadlock

- A possible interleaving of operations:
  - `bugsThread` locks the `daffy` account
  - `daffyThread` locks the `bugs` account
  - `bugsThread` waits to lock the `bugs` account...
  - `daffyThread` waits to lock the `daffy` account...
A liveness problem: deadlock

```java
public class BankAccount {
    private long balance;

    public BankAccount(long balance) {
        this.balance = balance;
    }

    static void transferFrom(BankAccount source, 
                              BankAccount dest, long amount) {
        synchronized(source) {
            synchronized(dest) {
                source.balance -= amount;
                dest.balance += amount;
            }
        }
    }
    ...
}
```
Avoiding deadlock

• The *waits-for graph* represents dependencies between threads
  – Each node in the graph represents a thread
  – An edge T1->T2 represents that thread T1 is waiting for a lock T2 owns
• Deadlock has occurred iff the waits-for graph contains a cycle
• One way to avoid deadlock: locking protocols that avoid cycles
Avoiding deadlock by ordering lock acquisition

```java
public class BankAccount {
    private long balance;
    private final long id = SerialNumber.generateSerialNumber();

    public BankAccount(long balance) {
        this.balance = balance;
    }

    static void transferFrom(BankAccount source, BankAccount dest, long amount) {
        BankAccount first = (source.id < dest.id) ? source : dest;
        BankAccount second = (first == source) ? dest : source;
        synchronized (first) {
            synchronized (second) {
                source.balance -= amount;
                dest.balance += amount;
            }
        }
    }
}
```
Another subtle problem: The lock object is exposed

```java
public class BankAccount {
    private long balance;
    private final long id = SerialNumber.generateSerialNumber();

    public BankAccount(long balance) {
        this.balance = balance;
    }

    static void transferFrom(BankAccount source, BankAccount dest, long amount) {
        BankAccount first = (source.id < dest.id) ? source : dest;
        BankAccount second = (first == source) ? dest : source;
        synchronized (first) {
            synchronized (second) {
                source.balance -= amount;
                dest.balance += amount;
            }
        }
    }
}
```
An easy fix: Use a private lock

```java
public class BankAccount {
    private long balance;
    private final long id = SerialNumber.generateSerialNumber();
    private final Object lock = new Object();

    public BankAccount(long balance) {
        this.balance = balance;
    }

    static void transferFrom(BankAccount source, BankAccount dest, long amount) {
        BankAccount first = source.id < dest.id ? source : dest;
        BankAccount second = first == source ? dest : source;
        synchronized (first.lock) {
            synchronized (second.lock) {
                source.balance -= amount;
                dest.balance += amount;
            }
        }
    }
}
```
Concurrency and information hiding

• Encapsulate an object’s state – Easier to implement invariants
  – Encapsulate synchronization – Easier to implement synchronization policy
An aside: Java Concurrency in Practice annotations

@ThreadSafe public class BankAccount {
  @GuardedBy("lock") private long balance;
  private final long id = SerialNumber.generateSerialNumber();
  private final Object lock = new Object();

  public BankAccount(long balance) { this.balance = balance; }

  static void transferFrom(BankAccount source, BankAccount dest, long amount) {
    BankAccount first = source.id < dest.id ? source : dest;
    BankAccount second = first == source ? dest : source;
    synchronized (first.lock) {
      synchronized (second.lock) {
        source.balance -= amount;
        dest.balance += amount;
      }
    }
  }
}
An aside: Java Concurrency in Practice annotations

- @ThreadSafe
- @NotThreadSafe
- @GuardedBy
- @Immutable
Interlude – Ye Olde Puzzler
import org.junit.Test;
import static org.junit.Assert.assertEquals;

public class LittleTest {
    int number;

    @Test
    public void test() throws InterruptedException {
        number = 0;
        Thread t = new Thread(() -> {
            assertEquals(2, number);
        });
        number = 1;
        t.start();
        number++;
        t.join();
    }
}
import org.junit.Test;
import static org.junit.Assert.assertEquals;

public class LittleTest {
    int number;

    @Test
    public void test() throws InterruptedException {
        number = 0;
        Thread t = new Thread(() -> {
            assertEquals(2, number);
        });
        number = 1;
        t.start();
        number++;
        t.join();
    }
}
How often does this test pass?

(a) It always fails
(b) It sometimes passes
(c) It always passes – but it tells us nothing
(d) It always hangs

JUnit doesn’t see assertion failures in other threads
import org.junit.*;
import static org.junit.Assert.*;

public class LittleTest {
    int number;

    @Test
    public void test() throws InterruptedException {
        number = 0;
        Thread t = new Thread(() -> {
            assertEquals(2, number); // JUnit never sees exception!
        });
        number = 1;
        t.start();
        number++;
        t.join();
    }
}
// Keep track of assertion failures during test
volatile Exception exception;
volatile Error error;

// Triggers test case failure if any thread asserts failed
@After
public void tearDown() throws Exception {
    if (error != null)
        throw error;        // In correct thread
    if (exception != null)
        throw exception;    // "    "       "
}
How do you fix it? (2)

```java
Thread t = new Thread(() -> {
    try {
        assertEquals(2, number);
    } catch(Error e) {
        error = e;
    } catch(Exception e) {
        exception = e;
    }
});
```

*YMMV (It’s a race condition)*

Now it sometimes passes*
The moral

- JUnit does not well-support concurrent tests
  - You might get a false sense of security
- Concurrent clients beware...
Puzzler: “Ping Pong”

```java
public class PingPong {
    public static synchronized void main(String[] a) {
        Thread t = new Thread(() -> pong());
        t.run();
        System.out.print("Ping");
    }

    private static synchronized void pong() {
        System.out.print("Pong");
    }
}
```

What does it print?

```java
public class PingPong {
    public static synchronized void main(String[] a) {
        Thread t = new Thread(() -> pong());
        t.run();
        System.out.print("Ping");
    }

    private static synchronized void pong() {
        System.out.print("Pong");
    }
}
```

(a) PingPong  
(b) PongPing  
(c) It varies
What does it print?

(a) PingPong
(b) PongPing
(c) It varies

Not a multithreaded program!
Another look

public class PingPong {
    public static synchronized void main(String[] a) {
        Thread t = new Thread(() -> pong());
        t.run();  // An easy typo!
        System.out.print("Ping");
    }

    private static synchronized void pong() {
        System.out.print("Pong");
    }
}
How do you fix it?

```java
public class PingPong {
    public static synchronized void main(String[] a) { 
        Thread t = new Thread(() -> pong());
        t.start();
        System.out.print("Ping");
    }

    private static synchronized void pong() {
        System.out.print("Pong");
    }
}
```

Now prints PingPong
The moral

- Invoke `Thread.start`, not `Thread.run`
  - Can be very difficult to diagnose

- **This is a severe API design bug!**

- **Thread should not have implemented Runnable**
  - This confuses is-a and has-a relationships
  - Thread’s `Runnable` should have been private

- **Thread violates the “Minimize accessibility” principle**
Summary

- Concurrent programming can be hard to get right
  - Easy to introduce bugs even in simple examples
- Coming soon:
  - Higher-level abstractions for concurrency
  - Program structure for concurrency
  - Frameworks for concurrent computation