10: Differential Equations & Differential Invariants

Logical Foundations of Cyber-Physical Systems



André Platzer

Carnegie Mellon University
Computer Science Department

Outline

Outline

Learning Objectives

Differential Equations & Differential Invariants

discrete vs. continuous analogies
rigorous reasoning about ODEs
induction for differential equations
differential facet of logical trinity



understanding continuous dynamics
relate discrete+continuous

semantics of ODEs
operational CPS effects

Differential Facet of Logical Trinity



Syntax defines the notation
What problems are we allowed to write down?

Semantics what carries meaning.
What real or mathematical objects does the syntax stand for?

Axiomatics internalizes semantic relations into universal syntactic transformations.
How does the semantics of $e = \tilde{e}$ relate to the semantics of $e - \tilde{e} = 0$, syntactically? What about derivatives?

Outline

Solutions more complicated than ODE

ODE	Solution
$x' = 1, x(0) = x_0$	$x(t) = x_0 + t$
$x' = 5, x(0) = x_0$	$x(t) = x_0 + 5t$
$x' = x, x(0) = x_0$	$x(t) = x_0 e^t$
$x' = x^2, x(0) = x_0$	$x(t) = \frac{x_0}{1 - t x_0}$
$x' = \frac{1}{x}, x(0) = 1$	$x(t) = \sqrt{1 + 2t} \dots$
$y'(x) = -2xy, y(0) = 1$	$y(x) = e^{-x^2}$
$x'(t) = tx, x(0) = x_0$	$x(t) = x_0 e^{\frac{t^2}{2}}$
$x' = \sqrt{x}, x(0) = x_0$	$x(t) = \frac{t^2}{4} \pm t\sqrt{x_0} + x_0$
$x' = y, y' = -x, x(0) = 0, y(0) = 1$	$x(t) = \sin t, y(t) = \cos t$
$x' = 1 + x^2, x(0) = 0$	$x(t) = \tan t$
$x'(t) = \frac{2}{t^3} x(t)$	$x(t) = e^{-\frac{1}{t^2}}$ non-analytic
$x' = x^2 + x^4$	???
$x'(t) = e^{t^2}$	non-elementary

Descriptive power of differential equations

1. Descriptive power: differential equations characterize continuous evolution only locally by the respective directions.
2. Simple differential equations describe complicated physical processes.
3. Complexity difference between local description and global behavior
4. Analyzing ODEs via their solutions undoes their descriptive power.
5. Let's exploit descriptive power of ODEs for proofs!

$$x'' = -x \qquad x(t) = \sin(t) = t - \frac{t^3}{3!} + \frac{t^5}{5!} - \frac{t^7}{7!} + \frac{t^9}{9!} - \dots$$

$x''(t) = e^{t^2}$ no elementary closed-form solution

You also prefer loop induction to unfolding all loop iterations, globally ...

Descriptive power of differential equations

1. Descriptive power: differential equations characterize continuous evolution only locally by the respective directions.
2. Simple differential equations describe complicated physical processes.
3. Complexity difference between local description and global behavior
4. Analyzing ODEs via their solutions undoes their descriptive power.
5. Let's exploit descriptive power of ODEs for proofs!

$x'' = -x$ $\qquad$ $x(t) = \sin(t) = t - \frac{t^3}{3!} + \frac{t^5}{5!} - \frac{t^7}{7!} + \frac{t^9}{9!} - \dots$

$x''(t) = e^{t^2}$ $\qquad$ no elementary closed-form solution

Intuition for Differential Invariants

Differential Invariant

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash F, \Delta \quad F \vdash ???F \quad F \vdash P}{\Gamma \vdash [x' = f(x)]P, \Delta}$$



$$['] \ [x' = f(x)]P \leftrightarrow \forall t \geq 0 \, [x := y(t)]P$$ (y' =f(y), y(0)=x)

Differential Invariant

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash F, \Delta \quad F \vdash ???F \quad F \vdash P}{\Gamma \vdash [x' = f(x)]P, \Delta}$$



$[']\ [x' = f(x)]P \leftrightarrow \forall t{\geq}0\, [x := y(t)]P$ (y' =f(y), y(0)=x)

Intuition for Differential Invariants

Differential Invariant

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash F, \Delta \quad F \vdash ???F \quad F \vdash P}{\Gamma \vdash [x' = f(x)]P, \Delta}$$



$$['] \; [x' = f(x)]P \leftrightarrow \forall t{\geq}0\,[x := y(t)]P$$ (y' =f(y), y(0)=x)

Differential Invariant

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash F, \Delta \quad F \vdash ???F \quad F \vdash P}{\Gamma \vdash [x' = f(x)]P, \Delta}$$

Want: formula F remains true in the direction of the dynamics





$$[']\ [x' = f(x)]P \leftrightarrow \forall t \geq 0\, [x := y(t)]P \qquad \text{(y' =f(y), y(0)=x)}$$

Next step is undefined for ODEs. But don't need to know where exactly the system evolves to. Just that it remains somewhere in F.
Show: only evolves into directions in which formula F stays true.

Guiding Example

$$v^2+w^2=r^2 \rightarrow [v'=w, w'=-v]\, v^2+w^2=r^2$$

$$v^2+w^2=r^2 \rightarrow [v'=w, w'=-v]v^2+w^2=r^2$$



Guiding Example: Rotational Dynamics

$v^2+w^2=r^2 \rightarrow [v'=w, w'=-v]v^2+w^2=r^2$

$$\rightarrow\mathrm{R}\ \frac{}{\vdash v^2+w^2-r^2=0 \rightarrow [v'=w, w'=-v]v^2+w^2-r^2=0}$$

Outline

Syntax $e ::= x \mid c \mid e+k \mid e-k \mid e \cdot k \mid e/k$

Syntax $e ::= x \mid c \mid e+k \mid e-k \mid e \cdot k \mid e/k$

Derivatives

$$
\begin{aligned}
(e+k)' &= (e)' + (k)' \\
(e-k)' &= (e)' - (k)' \\
(e \cdot k)' &= (e)' \cdot k + e \cdot (k)' \\
(e/k)' &= \big((e)' \cdot k - e \cdot (k)'\big)/k^2 \\
(c())' &= 0 && \text{for constants/numbers } c()
\end{aligned}
$$

Syntax With Primes

Syntax $e ::= x \mid c \mid e+k \mid e-k \mid e\cdot k \mid e/k$

Derivatives

$$
\begin{aligned}
(e+k)' &= (e)' + (k)' \\
(e-k)' &= (e)' - (k)' \\
(e\cdot k)' &= (e)'\cdot k + e\cdot (k)' \\
(e/k)' &= \big((e)'\cdot k - e\cdot (k)'\big)/k^2 && \text{same singularities} \\
(c())' &= 0 && \text{for constants/numbers } c()
\end{aligned}
$$

Syntax With Primes

Syntax $e ::= x \mid c \mid e+k \mid e-k \mid e \cdot k \mid e/k$

Derivatives

$$
\begin{aligned}
(e+k)' &= (e)' + (k)' \\
(e-k)' &= (e)' - (k)' \\
(e \cdot k)' &= (e)' \cdot k + e \cdot (k)' \\
(e/k)' &= \big((e)' \cdot k - e \cdot (k)'\big)/k^2 && \text{same singularities} \\
(c())' &= 0 && \text{for constants/numbers } c()
\end{aligned}
$$

. . . What do these primes mean? . . .

Syntax With Primes

Syntax $e ::= x \mid c \mid e+k \mid e-k \mid e\cdot k \mid e/k \mid (e)'$

internalize primes into dL syntax

Derivatives

$$
\begin{aligned}
(e+k)' &= (e)' + (k)' \\
(e-k)' &= (e)' - (k)' \\
(e\cdot k)' &= (e)'\cdot k + e\cdot (k)' \\
(e/k)' &= \big((e)'\cdot k - e\cdot (k)'\big)/k^2 && \text{same singularities} \\
(c())' &= 0 && \text{for constants/numbers } c()
\end{aligned}
$$

...What do these primes mean? ...

The Meaning of Primes

Semantics

$$\omega[\![(e)']\!] =$$

The Meaning of Primes

Semantics

$$\omega[\![(e)']\!] = \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega[\![e]\!]}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

The Meaning of Primes

Semantics $\omega[\![(e)']\!] = \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega[\![e]\!]}{\mathrm{d}t}$

what's the time derivative?

Semantics $$\omega[\![(e)']\!] = \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega[\![e]\!]}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

what's the time derivative?

what's the time?

Semantics $\omega[\![(e)']\!] = \dfrac{\mathrm{d}\omega[\![e]\!]}{\mathrm{d}t}$ nonsense!



what's the time derivative?

depends on the differential equation?

what's the time?

Semantics $\omega[\![(e)']\!] =$



what's the time derivative?
depends on the differential equation?

what's the time?
Not compositional!

Semantics

$\omega[\![(e)']\!] =$



what's the time derivative? what's the time?

depends on the differential equation? Not compositional!

well-defined in isolated state ω at all?

Semantics $\omega[\![(e)']\!] =$



what's the time derivative? what's the time?

depends on the differential equation? Not compositional!

well-defined in isolated state ω at all? No time-derivative without time!

Semantics $$\omega[\![(e)']\!] = \sum_x \omega(x') \frac{\partial [\![e]\!]}{\partial x}(\omega)$$



$\to \mathbb{R}$

what's the time derivative?	what's the time?
depends on the differential equation?	Not compositional!
well-defined in isolated state ω at all?	No time-derivative without time!
meaning is a function of x and x'.	Differential form!

Semantics $$\omega[\![(e)']\!] = \sum_x \omega(x') \frac{\partial [\![e]\!]}{\partial x}(\omega)$$



Partial $$\frac{\partial [\![e]\!]}{\partial x}(\omega) = \lim_{\kappa \to \omega(x)} \frac{\omega_x^\kappa[\![e]\!] - \omega[\![e]\!]}{\kappa - \omega(x)}$$

what's the time derivative?	what's the time?
depends on the differential equation?	Not compositional!
well-defined in isolated state ω at all?	No time-derivative without time!
meaning is a function of x and x'.	Differential form!

Differential Dynamic Logic dL: Semantics

Definition (Hybrid program semantics) $([\![\cdot]\!] : \mathrm{HP} \to \wp(\mathscr{S} \times \mathscr{S}))$

$$[\![x' = f(x) \,\&\, Q]\!] = \{(\varphi(0)|_{\{x'\}^{\complement}}, \varphi(r)) : \varphi(z) \models x' = f(x) \land Q \text{ for all } 0 \le z \le r$$
$$\text{for a solution } \varphi : [0, r] \to \mathscr{S} \text{ of any duration } r \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$\text{where } \varphi(z)(x') \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)(x)}{\mathrm{d}t}(z)$$



Definition (Hybrid program semantics) $(\llbracket\cdot\rrbracket : \mathrm{HP} \to \wp(\mathscr{S} \times \mathscr{S}))$

$$\llbracket x' = f(x) \,\&\, Q \rrbracket = \{(\varphi(0)|_{\{x'\}^\complement}, \varphi(r)) : \varphi(z) \models x' = f(x) \land Q \text{ for all } 0 \le z \le r$$
$$\text{for a solution } \varphi : [0, r] \to \mathscr{S} \text{ of any duration } r \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$\text{where } \varphi(z)(x') \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)(x)}{\mathrm{d}t}(z)$$



Definition (Hybrid program semantics) $(\llbracket\cdot\rrbracket : \mathrm{HP} \to \wp(\mathscr{S} \times \mathscr{S}))$

$$\llbracket x' = f(x) \,\&\, Q \rrbracket = \{(\omega, \nu) : \varphi(z) \models x' = f(x) \land Q \text{ for all } 0 \le z \le r$$
$$\text{for a solution } \varphi : [0, r] \to \mathscr{S} \text{ of any duration } r \in \mathbb{R}$$
$$\text{with } \varphi(0) = \omega \text{ and } \varphi(r) = \nu\}$$
$$\text{where } \varphi(z)(x') \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)(x)}{\mathrm{d}t}(z)$$



$x' = f(x) \,\&\, Q$

Definition (Hybrid program semantics) $([\![\cdot]\!] : \mathrm{HP} \to \wp(\mathscr{S} \times \mathscr{S}))$

$$[\![x' = f(x) \,\&\, Q]\!] = \{(\omega, \nu) : \varphi(z) \models x' = f(x) \wedge Q \text{ for all } 0 \le z \le r$$
$$\text{for a solution } \varphi : [0, r] \to \mathscr{S} \text{ of any duration } r \in \mathbb{R}$$
$$\text{with } \varphi(0) = \omega \text{ except on } x' \text{ and } \varphi(r) = \nu\}$$
$$\text{where } \varphi(z)(x') \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)(x)}{\mathrm{d}t}(z)$$



Initial value of x' in ω is irrelevant since defined by ODE.
Final value of x' is carried over to the final state ν.

Definition (Hybrid program semantics) ($[\![\cdot]\!] : \mathrm{HP} \to \wp(\mathscr{S} \times \mathscr{S})$)

$[\![x' = f(x) \,\&\, Q]\!] = \{(\omega, \nu) : \varphi(z) \models x' = f(x) \land Q$ for all $0 \le z \le r$
for a solution $\varphi : [0, r] \to \mathscr{S}$ of any duration $r \in \mathbb{R}$
with $\varphi(0) = \omega$ except on x' and $\varphi(r) = \nu\}$
where $\varphi(z)(x') \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{d\varphi(t)(x)}{dt}(z)$



Initial value of x' in ω is irrelevant since defined by ODE.
Final value of x' is carried over to the final state ν.

Differential Dynamic Logic dL: Semantics

Definition (Hybrid program semantics) $([\![\cdot]\!] : \mathrm{HP} \to \wp(\mathscr{S} \times \mathscr{S}))$

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\![x' = f(x) \,\&\, Q]\!] = \{(\omega, \nu) : \ & \varphi(z) \models x' = f(x) \land Q \text{ for all } 0 \le z \le r \\
& \text{for a solution } \varphi : [0, r] \to \mathscr{S} \text{ of any duration } r \in \mathbb{R} \\
& \text{with } \varphi(0) = \omega \text{ except on } x' \text{ and } \varphi(r) = \nu\} \\
& \text{where } \varphi(z)(x') \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)(x)}{\mathrm{d}t}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$



$x' = f(x) \,\&\, Q$

Initial value of x' in ω is irrelevant since defined by ODE.

Final value of x' is carried over to the final state ν.

Differential Substitution Lemmas

Lemma (Differential lemma) (Differential value vs. Time-derivative)

If $\varphi \models x' = f(x) \land Q$ *for duration* $r>0$, *then for all* $0 \le z \le r$, $FV(e) \subseteq \{x\}$:

Syntactic ′ $\quad \varphi(z)[\![(e)']\!] = \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)[\![e]\!]}{\mathrm{d}t}(z) \quad$ Analytic ′

Lemma (Differential assignment) (Effect on Differentials)

If $\varphi \models x' = f(x) \land Q$ *then* $\varphi \models P \leftrightarrow [x' := f(x)]P$

Lemma (Derivations) (Equations of Differentials)

$$
\begin{aligned}
(e+k)' &= (e)' + (k)' \\
(e \cdot k)' &= (e)' \cdot k + e \cdot (k)' \\
(c())' &= 0 && \text{for constants/numbers } c() \\
(x)' &= x' && \text{for variables } x \in \mathcal{V}
\end{aligned}
$$

Differential Substitution Lemmas

Lemma (Differential lemma) (Differential value vs. Time-derivative)

If $\varphi \models x' = f(x) \land Q$ *for duration* $r>0$*, then for all* $0 \le z \le r$*,* $FV(e) \subseteq \{x\}$*:*

$$\varphi(z)[\![(e)']\!] = \frac{d\varphi(t)[\![e]\!]}{dt}(z)$$

Lemma (Differential assignment) (Effect on Differentials)

If $\varphi \models x' = f(x) \land Q$ *then* $\varphi \models P \leftrightarrow [x' := f(x)]P$

Axiomatics

DE $[x' = f(x) \,\&\, Q]P \leftrightarrow [x' = f(x) \,\&\, Q][x' := f(x)]P$

DI $\big([x' = f(x)]e = 0 \leftrightarrow e = 0\big) \leftarrow [x' = f(x)](e)' = 0$

Differential Substitution Lemmas

Lemma (Differential lemma) (Differential value vs. Time-derivative)

If $\varphi \models x' = f(x) \land Q$ *for duration* $r>0$*, then for all* $0 \le z \le r$, $FV(e) \subseteq \{x\}$:

$$\varphi(z)[\![(e)']\!] = \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)[\![e]\!]}{\mathrm{d}t}(z)$$

Lemma (Differential assignment) (Effect on Differentials)

If $\varphi \models x' = f(x) \land Q$ *then* $\varphi \models P \leftrightarrow [x' := f(x)]P$

Axiomatics

DE $[x' = f(x) \,\&\, Q]P \leftrightarrow [x' = f(x) \,\&\, Q][x' := f(x)]P$

DI $\big([x' = f(x)]e = 0 \leftrightarrow e = 0\big) \leftarrow [x' = f(x)](e)' = 0$

rate of change of e along ODE is 0



Differential Invariant

$$\text{dI}\ \frac{\vdash [x' := f(x)](e)' = 0}{e = 0 \vdash [x' = f(x)]e = 0}$$



Differential Invariant

$$\text{dI}\ \frac{\vdash [x' := f(x)](e)' = 0}{e = 0 \vdash [x' = f(x)]e = 0}$$

$$\text{DI}\ \big([x' = f(x)]e = 0 \leftrightarrow e = 0\big) \leftarrow [x' = f(x)](e)' = 0$$

$$\text{DE}\ [x' = f(x)]P \leftrightarrow [x' = f(x)][x' := f(x)]P$$



Differential Invariant

$$\text{dI}\ \frac{\vdash [x' := f(x)](e)' = 0}{e = 0 \vdash [x' = f(x)]e = 0}$$

DI $\big([x' = f(x)]e = 0 \leftrightarrow e = 0\big) \leftarrow [x' = f(x)](e)' = 0$

DE $[x' = f(x)]P \leftrightarrow [x' = f(x)][x' := f(x)]P$

Proof (dI is a derived rule).

$$\text{DI}\ \frac{}{e = 0 \vdash [x' = f(x)]e = 0}$$

$\square$

Differential Invariants for Differential Equations



Differential Invariant

$$\text{dI}\ \frac{\vdash [x' := f(x)](e)' = 0}{e = 0 \vdash [x' = f(x)]e = 0}$$

DI $\big([x' = f(x)]e = 0 \leftrightarrow e = 0\big) \leftarrow [x' = f(x)](e)' = 0$

DE $[x' = f(x)]P \leftrightarrow [x' = f(x)][x' := f(x)]P$

Proof (dI is a derived rule).

$$\text{DI}\ \dfrac{\text{DE}\ \dfrac{}{\vdash [x' = f(x)](e)' = 0}}{e = 0 \vdash [x' = f(x)]e = 0}$$

$\square$

Differential Invariants for Differential Equations



Differential Invariant

$$\text{dI}\ \frac{\vdash [x' := f(x)](e)' = 0}{e = 0 \vdash [x' = f(x)]e = 0}$$

$$\text{DI}\ \big([x' = f(x)]e = 0 \leftrightarrow e = 0\big) \leftarrow [x' = f(x)](e)' = 0$$

$$\text{DE}\ [x' = f(x)]P \leftrightarrow [x' = f(x)][x' := f(x)]P$$

Proof (dI is a derived rule).

$$\cfrac{\text{G}\ \cfrac{}{\vdash [x' = f(x)][x' := f(x)](e)' = 0}}{\text{DE}\ \cfrac{\vdash [x' = f(x)](e)' = 0}{\text{DI}\ e = 0 \vdash [x' = f(x)]e = 0}}$$

$\square$



Differential Invariant

$$\text{dI}\ \frac{\vdash [x' := f(x)](e)' = 0}{e = 0 \vdash [x' = f(x)]e = 0}$$

$$\text{DI}\ \big([x' = f(x)]e = 0 \leftrightarrow e = 0\big) \leftarrow [x' = f(x)](e)' = 0$$

$$\text{DE}\ [x' = f(x)]P \leftrightarrow [x' = f(x)][x' := f(x)]P$$

Proof (dI is a derived rule).

$$\text{DI}\ \dfrac{\text{DE}\ \dfrac{\text{G}\ \dfrac{\vdash [x' := f(x)](e)' = 0}{\vdash [x' = f(x)][x' := f(x)](e)' = 0}}{\vdash [x' = f(x)](e)' = 0}}{e = 0 \vdash [x' = f(x)]e = 0}$$

$$\text{G}\ \frac{P}{[\alpha]P}$$

$\square$

$$v^2+w^2=r^2 \rightarrow [v'=w, w'=-v]v^2+w^2=r^2$$



Guiding Example: Rotational Dynamics

$$v^2 + w^2 = r^2 \rightarrow [v' = w, w' = -v]v^2 + w^2 = r^2$$

$$\rightarrow\text{R}\ \frac{}{\vdash v^2+w^2-r^2=0 \rightarrow [v' = w, w' = -v]v^2+w^2-r^2=0}$$

Guiding Example: Rotational Dynamics

$$v^2 + w^2 = r^2 \rightarrow [v' = w, w' = -v]v^2 + w^2 = r^2$$

$$\dfrac{\mathrm{dI}\ \dfrac{}{v^2+w^2-r^2=0 \vdash [v' = w, w' = -v]v^2 + w^2 - r^2 = 0}}{\vdash v^2+w^2-r^2=0 \rightarrow [v' = w, w' = -v]v^2+w^2-r^2=0}\ \rightarrow\mathrm{R}$$

Guiding Example: Rotational Dynamics

$v^2 + w^2 = r^2 \rightarrow [v' = w, w' = -v]v^2 + w^2 = r^2$

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\vdash [v':=w][w':=-v]2vv' + 2ww' - 2rr' = 0}[:=]}{v^2+w^2-r^2=0 \vdash [v' = w, w' = -v]v^2 + w^2 - r^2 = 0}\text{dI}}{\vdash v^2+w^2-r^2=0 \rightarrow [v' = w, w' = -v]v^2+w^2-r^2=0}\rightarrow\text{R}$$

Guiding Example: Rotational Dynamics

$$v^2+w^2=r^2 \to [v'=w, w'=-v]v^2+w^2=r^2$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{R} & \hline \vdash 2v(w)+2w(-v)=0 \\
[:=] & \hline \vdash [v':=w][w':=-v]2vv'+2ww'-2rr'=0 \\
\text{dI} & \hline v^2+w^2-r^2=0 \vdash [v'=w, w'=-v]v^2+w^2-r^2=0 \\
\to\text{R} & \hline \vdash v^2+w^2-r^2=0 \to [v'=w, w'=-v]v^2+w^2-r^2=0
\end{array}
$$

Guiding Example: Rotational Dynamics

$v^2+w^2=r^2 \rightarrow [v'=w, w'=-v]v^2+w^2=r^2$

$$
\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{*}{\vdash 2v(w)+2w(-v)=0}\ \mathbb{R}}{\vdash [v':=w][w':=-v]2vv'+2ww'-2rr'=0}\ [:=]}{v^2+w^2-r^2=0 \vdash [v'=w, w'=-v]v^2+w^2-r^2=0}\ \mathrm{dI}}{\vdash v^2+w^2-r^2=0 \rightarrow [v'=w, w'=-v]v^2+w^2-r^2=0}\ \rightarrow\mathrm{R}
$$

Guiding Example: Rotational Dynamics

$$v^2+w^2=r^2 \to [v'=w, w'=-v]v^2+w^2=r^2$$

$$
\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{*}{\vdash 2v(w)+2w(-v)=0}\ \mathbb{R}}{\vdash [v':=w][w':=-v]2vv'+2ww'-2rr'=0}\ [:=]}{v^2+w^2-r^2=0 \vdash [v'=w, w'=-v]v^2+w^2-r^2=0}\ \mathrm{dI}}{\vdash v^2+w^2-r^2=0 \to [v'=w, w'=-v]v^2+w^2-r^2=0}\ {\to}\mathrm{R}
$$

Simple proof without solving ODE, just by differentiating

$$\dfrac{}{\vdash x^2 y - 2 = 0 \to [x' = -x^2, y' = 2xy]\, x^2 y - 2 = 0}\ \rightarrow\!R$$



Example Proof

$$
\dfrac{\dfrac{}{x^2y-2=0 \vdash [x'=-x^2, y'=2xy]\, x^2y-2=0}\,\mathrm{dI}}{\vdash x^2y-2=0 \rightarrow [x'=-x^2, y'=2xy]\, x^2y-2=0}\,{\rightarrow}\mathrm{R}
$$



$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\vdash [{\color{red}x'}:=-x^2][{\color{red}y'}:=2xy]\,2x{\color{red}x'}y + x^2{\color{red}y'} - 0 = 0}\;[:=]}{x^2y-2=0 \vdash [x'=-x^2, y'=2xy]\,x^2y-2=0}\;\text{dI}}{\vdash x^2y-2=0 \rightarrow [x'=-x^2, y'=2xy]\,x^2y-2=0}\;\rightarrow\text{R}$$



Example Proof

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{R} & \hline \vdash 2x(-x^2)y + x^2(2xy) = 0 \\
[:=] & \hline \vdash [x' := -x^2][y' := 2xy]\, 2xx'y + x^2y' - 0 = 0 \\
\mathrm{dI} & \hline x^2y - 2 = 0 \vdash [x' = -x^2, y' = 2xy]\, x^2y - 2 = 0 \\
\rightarrow\mathrm{R} & \hline \vdash x^2y - 2 = 0 \rightarrow [x' = -x^2, y' = 2xy]\, x^2y - 2 = 0
\end{array}
$$



Example Proof

$$
{\scriptstyle \to\mathrm{R}}\;\dfrac{{\scriptstyle \mathrm{dI}}\;\dfrac{{\scriptstyle [:=]}\;\dfrac{{\scriptstyle \mathbb{R}}\;\dfrac{*}{\vdash 2x(-x^2)y + x^2(2xy) = 0}}{\vdash [x'{:=}-x^2][y'{:=}2xy]\,2xx'y + x^2y' - 0 = 0}}{x^2y - 2 = 0 \vdash [x' = -x^2, y' = 2xy]\,x^2y - 2 = 0}}{\vdash x^2y - 2 = 0 \to [x' = -x^2, y' = 2xy]\,x^2y - 2 = 0}
$$



Outline

Differential Substitution Lemmas

Lemma (Differential lemma) (Differential value vs. Time-derivative)

If $\varphi \models x' = f(x) \land Q$ *for duration* $r>0$, *then for all* $0 \le z \le r$, $FV(e) \subseteq \{x\}$:

Syntactic ′ $\quad \varphi(z)[\![(e)']\!] = \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)[\![e]\!]}{\mathrm{d}t}(z) \quad$ Analytic ′

Lemma (Differential assignment) (Effect on Differentials)

If $\varphi \models x' = f(x) \land Q$ *then* $\varphi \models P \leftrightarrow [x' := f(x)]P$

Lemma (Derivations) (Equations of Differentials)

$$
\begin{aligned}
(e+k)' &= (e)' + (k)' \\
(e \cdot k)' &= (e)' \cdot k + e \cdot (k)' \\
(c())' &= 0 && \textit{for constants/numbers } c() \\
(x)' &= x' && \textit{for variables } x \in \mathcal{V}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma (Differential lemma) (Differential value vs. Time-derivative)

If $\varphi \models x' = f(x) \land Q$ *for duration* $r>0$, *then for all* $0 \le z \le r$, $FV(e) \subseteq \{x\}$:

$$\varphi(z)[\![(e)']\!] = \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)[\![e]\!]}{\mathrm{d}t}(z)$$

Lemma (Differential assignment) (Effect on Differentials)

If $\varphi \models x' = f(x) \land Q$ *then* $\varphi \models P \leftrightarrow [x' := f(x)]P$

Semantics

$$\omega[\![(e)']\!] = \sum_x \omega(x')\frac{\partial [\![e]\!]}{\partial x}(\omega)$$

Definition (Hybrid program semantics) ($[\![\cdot]\!] : \mathrm{HP} \to \wp(\mathscr{S} \times \mathscr{S})$)

$[\![x' = f(x) \,\&\, Q]\!] = \{(\varphi(0)|_{\{x'\}^\complement}, \varphi(r)) : \varphi(z) \models x' = f(x) \land Q$ for all $0 \le z \le r$
for a $\varphi : [0,r] \to \mathscr{S}$ where $\varphi(z)(x') \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)(x)}{\mathrm{d}t}(z)\}$

Lemma (Differential lemma) (Differential value vs. Time-derivative)

If $\varphi \models x' = f(x) \land Q$ for duration $r>0$, then for all $0 \le z \le r$, $FV(e) \subseteq \{x\}$:

$$\varphi(z)[\![(e)']\!] = \frac{d\varphi(t)[\![e]\!]}{dt}(z)$$

$$\frac{d\varphi(t)[\![e]\!]}{dt}(z)$$

Semantics

$$\omega[\![(e)']\!] = \sum_x \omega(x') \frac{\partial [\![e]\!]}{\partial x}(\omega)$$

Definition (Hybrid program semantics) ($[\![\cdot]\!] : \mathrm{HP} \to \wp(\mathscr{S} \times \mathscr{S})$)

$$[\![x' = f(x) \,\&\, Q]\!] = \{(\varphi(0)|_{\{x'\}^\complement}, \varphi(r)) : \varphi(z) \models x' = f(x) \land Q \text{ for all } 0 \le z \le r$$
$$\text{for a } \varphi : [0,r] \to \mathscr{S} \text{ where } \varphi(z)(x') \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{d\varphi(t)(x)}{dt}(z)\}$$

Lemma (Differential lemma) (Differential value vs. Time-derivative)

If $\varphi \models x' = f(x) \land Q$ for duration $r>0$, then for all $0 \leq z \leq r$, $FV(e) \subseteq \{x\}$:

$$\varphi(z)[\![(e)']\!] = \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)[\![e]\!]}{\mathrm{d}t}(z)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)[\![e]\!]}{\mathrm{d}t}(z) \overset{\text{chain}}{=} \sum_x \frac{\partial [\![e]\!]}{\partial x}(\varphi(z)) \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)(x)}{\mathrm{d}t}(z)$$

Semantics

$$\omega[\![(e)']\!] = \sum_x \omega(x') \frac{\partial [\![e]\!]}{\partial x}(\omega)$$

Definition (Hybrid program semantics) ($[\![\cdot]\!] : \mathrm{HP} \to \wp(\mathscr{S} \times \mathscr{S})$)

$$[\![x' = f(x) \,\&\, Q]\!] = \{(\varphi(0)|_{\{x'\}^\complement}, \varphi(r)) : \varphi(z) \models x' = f(x) \land Q \text{ for all } 0 \leq z \leq r$$
$$\text{for a } \varphi : [0, r] \to \mathscr{S} \text{ where } \varphi(z)(x') \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)(x)}{\mathrm{d}t}(z)\}$$

Lemma (Differential lemma) (Differential value vs. Time-derivative)

If $\varphi \models x' = f(x) \land Q$ for duration $r>0$, then for all $0 \leq z \leq r$, $FV(e) \subseteq \{x\}$:

$$\varphi(z)[\![(e)']\!] = \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)[\![e]\!]}{\mathrm{d}t}(z)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)[\![e]\!]}{\mathrm{d}t}(z) \overset{\text{chain}}{=} \sum_x \frac{\partial [\![e]\!]}{\partial x}(\varphi(z)) \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)(x)}{\mathrm{d}t}(z)$$

Semantics

$$\omega[\![(e)']\!] = \sum_x \omega(x') \frac{\partial [\![e]\!]}{\partial x}(\omega)$$

Definition (Hybrid program semantics) ($[\![\cdot]\!] : \mathrm{HP} \to \wp(\mathscr{S} \times \mathscr{S})$)

$$[\![x' = f(x) \,\&\, Q]\!] = \{(\varphi(0)|_{\{x'\}^\complement}, \varphi(r)) : \varphi(z) \models x' = f(x) \land Q \text{ for all } 0 \leq z \leq r$$
$$\text{for a } \varphi : [0, r] \to \mathscr{S} \text{ where } \varphi(z)(x') \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)(x)}{\mathrm{d}t}(z)\}$$

Soundness Proof

Lemma (Differential lemma) (Differential value vs. Time-derivative)

If $\varphi \models x' = f(x) \land Q$ for duration $r>0$, then for all $0 \le z \le r$, $FV(e) \subseteq \{x\}$:

$$\varphi(z)[\![(e)']\!] = \frac{d\varphi(t)[\![e]\!]}{dt}(z)$$

$$\frac{d\varphi(t)[\![e]\!]}{dt}(z) \overset{\text{chain}}{=} \sum_x \frac{\partial [\![e]\!]}{\partial x}(\varphi(z))\frac{d\varphi(t)(x)}{dt}(z) = \sum_x \frac{\partial [\![e]\!]}{\partial x}(\varphi(z))\varphi(z)(x')$$

Semantics
$$\omega[\![(e)']\!] = \sum_x \omega(x')\frac{\partial [\![e]\!]}{\partial x}(\omega)$$

Definition (Hybrid program semantics) ($[\![\cdot]\!] : \mathrm{HP} \to \wp(\mathscr{S} \times \mathscr{S})$)

$$[\![x' = f(x) \,\&\, Q]\!] = \{(\varphi(0)|_{\{x'\}^\complement}, \varphi(r)) : \varphi(z) \models x' = f(x) \land Q \text{ for all } 0 \le z \le r$$
$$\text{for a } \varphi : [0,r] \to \mathscr{S} \text{ where } \varphi(z)(x') \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{d\varphi(t)(x)}{dt}(z)\}$$

Soundness Proof

Lemma (Differential lemma) (Differential value vs. Time-derivative)

If $\varphi \models x' = f(x) \land Q$ for duration $r>0$, then for all $0 \leq z \leq r$, $FV(e) \subseteq \{x\}$:

$$\varphi(z)[\![(e)']\!] = \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)[\![e]\!]}{\mathrm{d}t}(z)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)[\![e]\!]}{\mathrm{d}t}(z) \overset{\text{chain}}{=} \sum_x \frac{\partial [\![e]\!]}{\partial x}(\varphi(z)) \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)(x)}{\mathrm{d}t}(z) = \sum_x \frac{\partial [\![e]\!]}{\partial x}(\varphi(z))\varphi(z)(x')$$

Semantics

$$\varphi(z)[\![(e)']\!] = \sum_x \varphi(z)(x') \frac{\partial [\![e]\!]}{\partial x}(\varphi(z))$$

Definition (Hybrid program semantics) ($[\![\cdot]\!] : \mathrm{HP} \to \wp(\mathscr{S} \times \mathscr{S})$)

$$[\![x' = f(x) \,\&\, Q]\!] = \{(\varphi(0)|_{\{x'\}^\complement}, \varphi(r)) : \varphi(z) \models x' = f(x) \land Q \text{ for all } 0 \leq z \leq r$$
$$\text{for a } \varphi : [0, r] \to \mathscr{S} \text{ where } \varphi(z)(x') \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)(x)}{\mathrm{d}t}(z)\}$$

Lemma (Differential lemma) (Differential value vs. Time-derivative)

If $\varphi \models x' = f(x) \land Q$ for duration $r>0$, then for all $0 \le z \le r$, $FV(e) \subseteq \{x\}$:

$$\varphi(z)[\![(e)']\!] = \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)[\![e]\!]}{\mathrm{d}t}(z)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)[\![e]\!]}{\mathrm{d}t}(z) \overset{\text{chain}}{=} \sum_x \frac{\partial[\![e]\!]}{\partial x}(\varphi(z)) \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)(x)}{\mathrm{d}t}(z) = \sum_x \frac{\partial[\![e]\!]}{\partial x}(\varphi(z))\varphi(z)(x')$$

Semantics $\varphi(z)[\![(e)']\!] = \sum_x \varphi(z)(x') \frac{\partial[\![e]\!]}{\partial x}(\varphi(z))$

Definition (Hybrid program semantics) ($[\![\cdot]\!] : \mathrm{HP} \to \wp(\mathscr{S} \times \mathscr{S})$)

$$[\![x' = f(x) \,\&\, Q]\!] = \{(\varphi(0)|_{\{x'\}^\complement}, \varphi(r)) : \varphi(z) \models x' = f(x) \land Q \text{ for all } 0 \le z \le r$$
$$\text{for a } \varphi : [0,r] \to \mathscr{S} \text{ where } \varphi(z)(x') \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)(x)}{\mathrm{d}t}(z)\}$$

Outline

Differential Invariants for Differential Equations



Differential Invariant

$$\text{dI}\quad \frac{\vdash [x' := f(x)](e)' = 0}{e = 0 \vdash [x' = f(x)]e = 0}$$

DI $\big([x' = f(x)]e = 0 \leftrightarrow e = 0\big) \leftarrow [x' = f(x)](e)' = 0$

DE $[x' = f(x)]P \leftrightarrow [x' = f(x)][x' := f(x)]P$

Differential Substitution Lemmas

Lemma (Differential lemma) (Differential value vs. Time-derivative)

If $\varphi \models x' = f(x) \land Q$ *for duration* $r>0$, *then for all* $0 \le z \le r$, $FV(e) \subseteq \{x\}$:

Syntactic ′ $\quad \varphi(z)[\![(e)']\!] = \dfrac{\mathrm{d}\varphi(t)[\![e]\!]}{\mathrm{d}t}(z) \quad$ Analytic ′

Lemma (Differential assignment) (Effect on Differentials)

If $\varphi \models x' = f(x) \land Q$ *then* $\varphi \models P \leftrightarrow [x' := f(x)]P$

Lemma (Derivations) (Equations of Differentials)

$$(e+k)' = (e)' + (k)'$$

$$(e \cdot k)' = (e)' \cdot k + e \cdot (k)'$$

$$(c())' = 0 \qquad \text{for constants/numbers } c()$$

$$(x)' = x' \qquad \text{for variables } x \in \mathcal{V}$$

Outline

6 Appendix
- Differential Equations vs. Loops
- Differential Invariant Terms and Invariant Functions

Lemma (Differential equations are their own loop)

$$[\![(x' = f(x))^*]\!] = [\![x' = f(x)]\!]$$

loop α^*	ODE $x' = f(x)$
repeat any number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of times	evolve for any duration $r \in \mathbb{R}$
can repeat 0 times	can evolve for duration 0
effect depends on previous loop iteratior	effect depends on the past solution
local generator is loop body α	local generator $x' = f(x)$
full global execution trace	global solution $\varphi : [0, r] \to \mathscr{S}$
unwinding proof by iteration $[*]$	proof by global solution with $[']$
inductive proof with loop invariant	proof with differential invariant

$$\to\mathrm{R}\ \frac{}{\vdash x^2+y^2=0 \to [x'=4y^3, y'=-4x^3]\, x^2+y^2=0}$$

$$\dfrac{\overline{x^2+y^2=0 \vdash [x'=4y^3, y'=-4x^3]\, x^2+y^2=0}\;\text{cut,MR}}{\vdash x^2+y^2=0 \rightarrow [x'=4y^3, y'=-4x^3]\, x^2+y^2=0}\;\rightarrow\text{R}$$

Generalizing Differential Invariants: Stronger

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{x^4+y^4=0 \vdash [x'=4y^3, y'=-4x^3]\, x^4+y^4=0}\text{dI}}{x^2+y^2=0 \vdash [x'=4y^3, y'=-4x^3]\, x^2+y^2=0}\text{cut,MR}}{\vdash x^2+y^2=0 \rightarrow [x'=4y^3, y'=-4x^3]\, x^2+y^2=0}\rightarrow\text{R}$$

Generalizing Differential Invariants: Stronger

$$
\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\vdash [x':=4y^3][y':=-4x^3](4x^3x'+4y^3y')=0}\;[:=]}{x^4+y^4=0 \vdash [x'=4y^3,y'=-4x^3]\,x^4+y^4=0}\;\mathrm{dI}}{x^2+y^2=0 \vdash [x'=4y^3,y'=-4x^3]\,x^2+y^2=0}\;\mathrm{cut,MR}}{\vdash x^2+y^2=0 \rightarrow [x'=4y^3,y'=-4x^3]\,x^2+y^2=0}\;\rightarrow\mathrm{R}
$$

Generalizing Differential Invariants: Stronger

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{R} & \overline{\vdash 4x^3(4y^3) + 4y^3(-4x^3) = 0} \\
[:=] & \overline{\vdash [x' := 4y^3][y' := -4x^3](4x^3 x' + 4y^3 y') = 0} \\
\mathrm{dI} & \overline{x^4 + y^4 = 0 \vdash [x' = 4y^3, y' = -4x^3]\, x^4 + y^4 = 0} \\
\mathrm{cut,MR} & \overline{x^2 + y^2 = 0 \vdash [x' = 4y^3, y' = -4x^3]\, x^2 + y^2 = 0} \\
\rightarrow\mathrm{R} & \overline{\vdash x^2 + y^2 = 0 \rightarrow [x' = 4y^3, y' = -4x^3]\, x^2 + y^2 = 0}
\end{array}
$$

Generalizing Differential Invariants: Stronger

$$
\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{*}{\vdash 4x^3(4y^3)+4y^3(-4x^3)=0}\ \mathbb{R}}{\vdash [x':=4y^3][y':=-4x^3](4x^3x'+4y^3y')=0}\ [:=]}{x^4+y^4=0 \vdash [x'=4y^3, y'=-4x^3]\, x^4+y^4=0}\ \mathrm{dI}}{x^2+y^2=0 \vdash [x'=4y^3, y'=-4x^3]\, x^2+y^2=0}\ \mathrm{cut,MR}}{\vdash x^2+y^2=0 \rightarrow [x'=4y^3, y'=-4x^3]\, x^2+y^2=0}\ \rightarrow\mathrm{R}
$$

Generalizing Differential Invariants: Stronger

$$
\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{*}{\vdash 4x^3(4y^3) + 4y^3(-4x^3) = 0}\,\mathbb{R}}{\vdash [x' := 4y^3][y' := -4x^3](4x^3x' + 4y^3y') = 0}\,[:=]}{x^4 + y^4 = 0 \vdash [x' = 4y^3, y' = -4x^3]\, x^4 + y^4 = 0}\,\mathrm{dI}}{x^2 + y^2 = 0 \vdash [x' = 4y^3, y' = -4x^3]\, x^2 + y^2 = 0}\,\mathrm{cut,MR}}{\vdash x^2 + y^2 = 0 \to [x' = 4y^3, y' = -4x^3]\, x^2 + y^2 = 0}\,\to\mathrm{R}
$$

Generalizing Differential Invariants: Stronger

$$
\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{*}{\vdash 4x^3(4y^3)+4y^3(-4x^3)=0}\,\mathbb{R}}{\vdash [x':=4y^3][y':=-4x^3](4x^3x'+4y^3y')=0}\,[:=]}{x^4+y^4=0 \vdash [x'=4y^3, y'=-4x^3]\,x^4+y^4=0}\,\mathrm{dI}}{x^2+y^2=0 \vdash [x'=4y^3, y'=-4x^3]\,x^2+y^2=0}\,\mathrm{cut,MR}}{\vdash x^2+y^2=0 \rightarrow [x'=4y^3, y'=-4x^3]\,x^2+y^2=0}\,\rightarrow\mathrm{R}
$$

Theorem (Sophus Lie)

$$
DI_c \quad \frac{Q \vdash [x':=f(x)](e)'=0}{\vdash \forall c\,\big(e=c \rightarrow [x'=f(x)\,\&\,Q]e=c\big)}
$$

premise and conclusion are equivalent if Q is a domain, i.e., characterizing a connected open set.

$$
\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{*}{\vdash 4x^3(4y^3)+4y^3(-4x^3)=0}\ \mathbb{R}}{\vdash [x':=4y^3][y':=-4x^3](4x^3x'+4y^3y')=0}\ [:=]}{x^4+y^4=0 \vdash [x'=4y^3, y'=-4x^3]\, x^4+y^4=0}\ \mathrm{dI}}{x^2+y^2=0 \vdash [x'=4y^3, y'=-4x^3]\, x^2+y^2=0}\ \mathrm{cut,MR}}{\vdash x^2+y^2=0 \to [x'=4y^3, y'=-4x^3]\, x^2+y^2=0}\ {\to}\mathrm{R}
$$

Theorem (Sophus Lie)

$$
DI_c \quad \frac{Q \vdash [x':=f(x)](e)'=0}{\vdash \forall c\,\big(e=c \to [x'=f(x)\,\&\,Q]e=c\big)}
$$

premise and conclusion are equivalent if Q is a domain, i.e., characterizing a connected open set.

Clou: $(e-c)'=(e)'$ independent of additive constants

Stronger Induction Hypotheses

1. As usual in math and in proofs with loops:
2. Inductive proofs may need stronger induction hypotheses to succeed.
3. Differentially inductive proofs may need a stronger differential inductive structure to succeed.
4. Even if $\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \ : \ x^2+y^2=0\} = \{\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \ : \ x^4+y^4=0\}$ have the same solutions, they have different differential structure.

André Platzer.
Logical Foundations of Cyber-Physical Systems.
Springer, Switzerland, 2018.
URL: http://www.springer.com/978-3-319-63587-3,
doi:10.1007/978-3-319-63588-0.

André Platzer.
A complete uniform substitution calculus for differential dynamic logic.
J. Autom. Reas., 59(2):219–265, 2017.
doi:10.1007/s10817-016-9385-1.

André Platzer.
Logical Analysis of Hybrid Systems: Proving Theorems for Complex Dynamics.
Springer, Heidelberg, 2010.
doi:10.1007/978-3-642-14509-4.

André Platzer.
Logics of dynamical systems.
In *LICS*, pages 13–24, Los Alamitos, 2012. IEEE.
doi:10.1109/LICS.2012.13.

André Platzer.
Differential-algebraic dynamic logic for differential-algebraic programs.
J. Log. Comput., 20(1):309–352, 2010.
doi:10.1093/logcom/exn070.

André Platzer.
The structure of differential invariants and differential cut elimination.
Log. Meth. Comput. Sci., 8(4:16):1–38, 2012.
doi:10.2168/LMCS-8(4:16)2012.

André Platzer.
A differential operator approach to equational differential invariants.
In Lennart Beringer and Amy Felty, editors, *ITP*, volume 7406 of *LNCS*, pages 28–48, Berlin, 2012. Springer.
doi:10.1007/978-3-642-32347-8_3.