


Neural Networks

Aarti Singh

Machine Learning 10-315
Sept 27, 2021




MACHINE LEARNING DEPARTMENT



Carnegie Mellon.
School of Computer Science


Logistic function as a Graph

$$\text{Output, } o(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(w_0 + \sum_i w_i X_i) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(w_0 + \sum_i w_i X_i))}$$




Neural Networks to learn $f: X \rightarrow Y$

- f can be a **non-linear** function
- X (vector of) continuous and/or discrete variables
- Y (**vector** of) continuous and/or discrete variables
- Neural networks - Represent f by network of sigmoid (more recently ReLU – next lecture) units :




Multilayer Networks of Sigmoid Units

Neural Network trained to distinguish vowel sounds using 2 formants (features)




Two layers of logistic units




Highly non-linear decision surface

Neural Network
trained to drive a
car!



Weights to output units from one hidden unit



Weights of each pixel for one hidden unit

Connectionist Models

Consider humans:

- Neuron switching time $\sim .001$ second
 - Number of neurons $\sim 10^{10}$
 - Connections per neuron $\sim 10^{4-5}$
 - Scene recognition time $\sim .1$ second
 - 100 inference steps doesn't seem like enough
- much parallel computation

Properties of artificial neural nets (ANN's):

- Many neuron-like threshold switching units
- Many weighted interconnections among units
- Highly parallel, distributed process

Prediction using Neural Networks

Prediction – Given neural network (hidden units and weights), use it to predict the label of a test point

Forward Propagation –

Start from input layer

For each subsequent layer, compute output of sigmoid unit

Sigmoid unit:

$$o(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i)$$

1-Hidden layer,
1 output NN:

$$o(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma \left(w_0 + \sum_h w_h \underbrace{\sigma \left(w_0^h + \sum_i w_i^h x_i \right)}_{o_h} \right)$$

Training Neural Networks – l2 loss

$$W \leftarrow \arg \min_W E[W]$$

$$W \leftarrow \arg \min_W \sum_l (y^l - \hat{f}(x^l))^2$$

Learned neural
network

Where $\hat{f}(x^l) = o(x^l)$, output of neural network for training point x^l

Train weights of all units to minimize sum of squared errors of predicted network outputs

Minimize using Gradient Descent

**For Neural Networks,
 $E[w]$ no longer convex in w**

Gradient

$$\nabla E[\vec{w}] \equiv \left[\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_0}, \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_n} \right]$$

Training rule:

$$\Delta \vec{w} = -\eta \nabla E[\vec{w}]$$

i.e.,

$$\Delta w_i = -\eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_i}$$

Incremental (Stochastic) Gradient Descent

Batch mode Gradient Descent:

Do until satisfied

1. Compute the gradient $\nabla E_D[\vec{w}]$ Using all training data D
2. $\vec{w} \leftarrow \vec{w} - \eta \nabla E_D[\vec{w}]$

$$E_D[\vec{w}] \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \in D} (y^l - o^l)^2$$

Incremental mode Gradient Descent:


Do until satisfied

- For each training example l in D
 1. Compute the gradient $\nabla E_l[\vec{w}]$
 2. $\vec{w} \leftarrow \vec{w} - \eta \nabla E_l[\vec{w}]$

$$E_l[\vec{w}] \equiv \frac{1}{2} (y^l - o^l)^2$$

Incremental Gradient Descent can approximate
Batch Gradient Descent arbitrarily closely if η
made small enough

Training Neural Networks



$\sigma(x)$ is the sigmoid function

$$\frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$$

Nice property: $\frac{d\sigma(x)}{dx} =$

Differentiable


A. $\sigma(x)(1 - \sigma(x))$

C. $-\sigma(x)$

B. $\sigma(x) \sigma(-x)$

D. $\sigma(x)^2$

Training Neural Networks



$\sigma(x)$ is the sigmoid function


$$\frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$$

Nice property: $\frac{d\sigma(x)}{dx} = \sigma(x)(1 - \sigma(x))$ Differentiable

We can derive gradient decent rules to train

- One sigmoid unit
- *Multilayer networks* of sigmoid units $\rightarrow$ Backpropagation

Gradient Descent for 1 sigmoid unit




$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \in D} (y^l - o^l)^2 = \sum_l (y^l - o^l) \left(-\frac{\partial o^l}{\partial w_i} \right)$$

Gradient of the sigmoid function output wrt its input $\frac{\partial \sigma(net)}{\partial net} = \sigma(net)(1 - \sigma(net)) = o(1 - o)$

Gradient of the sigmoid unit output wrt input weights $\frac{\partial o}{\partial w_i} =$

Gradient Descent for 1 sigmoid unit



$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \in D} (y^l - o^l)^2 = \sum_l (y^l - o^l) \left(-\frac{\partial o^l}{\partial w_i} \right)$$

Gradient of the sigmoid function output wrt its input $\frac{\partial \sigma(net)}{\partial net} = \sigma(net)(1 - \sigma(net)) = o(1 - o)$

Gradient of the sigmoid unit output wrt input weights $\frac{\partial o}{\partial w_i} = \frac{\partial o}{\partial net} \cdot \frac{\partial net}{\partial w_i} = o(1 - o)x_i$

Gradient descent for training NNs

Gradient descent via Chain rule for computing gradients
= Back-propagation algorithm for training NNs

Backpropagation Algorithm (MLE) using Stochastic gradient descent

1 final output unit

Initialize all weights to small random numbers.
Until satisfied, Do

- For each training example, Do

1. Input the training example to the network
and compute the network outputs

→ Using Forward propagation

- 2.

$$\delta \leftarrow o(1 - o)(y - o)$$

y = label of current
training example

- 3.

4. Update each network weight $w_{i,j}$

$$w_{i,j} \leftarrow w_{i,j} + \Delta w_{i,j}$$


where

$$\Delta w_{i,j} = \eta \delta_j o_i$$

w_{ij} = wt from i to j

Note: if i is input variable,
 $o_i = x_i$

Gradient Descent for 1 hidden layer 1 output NN



$$o = \sigma(w_0 + \sum_h w_h o_h) \equiv \sigma(\sum_h w_h o_h)$$


$$o_h = \sigma(w_0^h + \sum_i w_i^h x_i) \equiv \sigma(\sum_i w_i^h x_i)$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \in D} (y^l - o^l)^2 = \sum_l (y^l - o^l) \left(-\frac{\partial o^l}{\partial w_i} \right)$$

Gradient of the output with
respect to w_h

$$\frac{\partial o}{\partial w_h} = o(1 - o)o_h$$

Gradient Descent for 1 hidden layer 1 output NN



$$o = \sigma(w_0 + \sum_h w_h o_h) \equiv \sigma(\sum_h w_h o_h)$$

$$o_h = \sigma(w_0^h + \sum_i w_i^h x_i) \equiv \sigma(\sum_i w_i^h x_i)$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \in D} (y^l - o^l)^2 = \sum_l (y^l - o^l) \left(-\frac{\partial o^l}{\partial w_i} \right)$$

Gradient of the output with respect to input weights w_i^h

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial o}{\partial w_i^h} &= \frac{\partial o}{\partial o_h} \cdot \frac{\partial o_h}{\partial w_i^h} \\ &= o(1 - o) o_h(1 - o_h) w_h x_i \end{aligned}$$

Backpropagation Algorithm (MLE) using Stochastic gradient descent

1 final output unit

Initialize all weights to small random numbers.
Until satisfied, Do

- For each training example, Do

1. Input the training example to the network
and compute the network outputs

→ Using Forward propagation

- 2.

$$\delta \leftarrow o(1 - o)(y - o)$$

3. For each hidden unit h

$$\delta_h \leftarrow o_h(1 - o_h)w_h\delta$$

4. Update each network weight $w_{i,j}$

$$w_{i,j} \leftarrow w_{i,j} + \Delta w_{i,j}$$

where

$$\Delta w_{i,j} = \eta \delta_j o_i$$

y = label of current
training example

$o_{(h)}$ = unit output
(obtained by forward
propagation)

w_{ij} = wt from i to j

Note: if i is input variable,
 $o_i = x_i$

Backpropagation Algorithm (MLE) using Stochastic gradient descent

Initialize all weights to small random numbers.
Until satisfied, Do

- For each training example, Do

1. Input the training example to the network and compute the network outputs

→ Using Forward propagation

2. For each output unit k

$$\delta_k \leftarrow o_k(1 - o_k)(y_k - o_k)$$

3. For each hidden unit h


$$\delta_h \leftarrow o_h(1 - o_h) \sum_{k \in \text{outputs}} w_{h,k} \delta_k$$

4. Update each network weight $w_{i,j}$

$$w_{i,j} \leftarrow w_{i,j} + \Delta w_{i,j}$$

where

$$\Delta w_{i,j} = \eta \delta_j o_i$$



y_k = label of current training example for output unit k

$o_{k(h)}$ = unit output (obtained by forward propagation)

w_{ij} = wt from i to j

Note: if i is input variable,
 $o_i = x_i$

HW2

- Classification – cross-entropy error metric
- Can implement backpropagation with matrix-vector products – uses matrix-vector calculus heavily

Note on numerator vs denominator layout

More on Backpropagation

- Gradient descent over entire *network* weight vector
- Easily generalized to arbitrary directed graphs
- Will find a local, not necessarily global error minimum
 - In practice, often works well (can run multiple times)
- Minimizes error over *training* examples
 - Will it generalize well to subsequent examples?
- Training can take thousands of iterations → slow!
- Using network after training is very fast

Objective/Error no longer convex in weights