

## Sum-of-Squares and Max-Cut

Def (Max Cut): Given unweighted, undirected graph  $G=(V,E)$ , find  $U \subseteq V$  maximizing  $|E(U, \bar{U})|$ .

Fact: Max-Cut is NP-hard.

Thm: there is a randomized  $\frac{1}{2}$ -approx for Max Cut.

Proof: Let  $U \subseteq V$  be a random subset, i.e.  $v \in U$  with prob  $\frac{1}{2} \forall v \in V$ .

Each edge is cut (i.e. joins  $E(u, \bar{u})$ ) with prob  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

So  $|E(u, \bar{u})| \geq \frac{1}{2} |E| \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{OPT}$ .

LP Relaxation:  $\max \sum_{ij \in E} d_{ij}$   
 s.t.  $\{d_{ij} : i, j \in V\}$  form a metric  
 $d_{ij} \in [0, 1] \forall i, j \in V$

Fact: LP Relaxation has integrality gap 2. So cannot beat 2-approx.

Thm [Goemans-Williamson] 0.878-approx for Max-Cut by semi-definite programming.

This lecture: "modern" view of GW algo through Sum-of-Squares.

Consider the following polynomial optimization problem:

$$\max \sum_{ij \in E} (x_i - x_j)^2$$

$$\text{s.t. } x_i^2 = x_i \quad \forall i \in V$$

forces  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$

= 0 if  $x_i = x_j$

= 1 if  $x_i \neq x_j$

So maximum is exactly OPT (no relaxation).

$$= 1 \text{ if } x_i \neq x_j$$

So maximum is exactly OPT (no relaxation).

Cannot solve this program (NP-hard). How to relax?

### Sum-of-Squares (SoS) Relaxation:

$$\max \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \sum_{i,j \in E} (x_i - x_j)^2 \right]$$

s.t.  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$  is a degree- $d$  pseudo-expectation for Max-Cut

Def (Pseudo-expectation):

Let  $\mathcal{P}_n^{\leq d}$  be the set of degree  $\leq d$  polynomials over  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

A degree- $d$  pseudo-expectation  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$  is an operator  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}: \mathcal{P}_n^{\leq d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  s.t.

$$\textcircled{1} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \text{ is linear: } \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[c_1 p_1 + c_2 p_2] = c_1 \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[p_1] + c_2 \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[p_2]$$

$$\textcircled{2} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[1] = 1$$

$$\textcircled{3} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[p^2] \geq 0 \text{ for any } p \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\leq d/2} \text{ (to ensure } p^2 \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\leq d} \text{)}$$

A degree- $d$  pseudo-expectation for Max-Cut has the additional property

$$\textcircled{4} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[x_i^2 p] = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[x_i p] \text{ for any } p \in \mathcal{P}_n^{d-2}$$

$\uparrow$  makes sense since  $x_i^2 = x_i$  for ideal  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$

Examples:

- For a fixed vector  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[p] = p(y)$  is a pseudo-expectation.

- For vectors  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  and scalars  $a_1, \dots, a_m \geq 0$ ,

$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[p] = a_1 p(y_1) + a_2 p(y_2) + \dots + a_m p(y_m)$  is a pseudo-expectation.

Note that  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[p^2] = a_1 p(y_1)^2 + \dots + a_m p(y_m)^2$ , hence "sum of squares"

Claim: SoS Relaxation is a relaxation.

Proof: Consider optimal  $U \subseteq V$  and define  $y \in \{0, 1\}^n$ :  $y_i = 1$  if  $i \in U$   
 $y_i = 0$  if  $i \notin U$ .

$$\text{D-Exp } \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \text{ as } \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[p] = p(y).$$

$$\text{2- } \leftarrow \text{ } \rightarrow \text{ } \rightarrow^2 \text{ - OPT}$$

Define  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$  as  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[p] = p(y)$ .  
 Then, objective value  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[\sum_{i,j \in E} (x_i - x_j)^2\right] = \sum_{i,j \in E} (y_i - y_j)^2 = \text{OPT}$ .

### Rounding an SoS Relaxation

MainThm: There is a rounding algorithm that outputs (random)  $U \subseteq V$  s.t.  
 $\mathbb{E}[|E(U, \bar{u})|] \geq \alpha \cdot \text{SoS}$  where  $\alpha \approx 0.878$ .

Claim: There exists optimal  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$  in SoS relaxation with  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[x_i] = 1/2 \forall i$ .

Proof: Given  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$ , construct  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}'$  as

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}'[p(x_1, \dots, x_n)] = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[p(x_1, \dots, x_n)] + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[p(1-x_1, \dots, 1-x_n)],$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \tilde{\mathbb{E}}'[x_i] &= \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[x_i] + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[1-x_i] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[x_i] + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[1] - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[x_i] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Define vector  $\mu = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[x] = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[x_1] \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[x_n] \end{bmatrix}$  and matrix  $\Sigma = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[(x-\mu)(x-\mu)^T] = \begin{bmatrix} & & & j \\ & & & \\ & & \square & \\ i & & \uparrow & \\ & & & \end{bmatrix}$   
 $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[(x_i - \mu_i)(x_j - \mu_j)]$

Fact: For any positive semidefinite matrix  $\Sigma$ ,  
 can sample  $n$  (dependent) Gaussians  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  s.t.

$$\mathbb{E}[y] = \mu \text{ and } \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[(y-\mu)(y-\mu)^T]}_{\text{covariance matrix}} = \Sigma$$

Matrix  $\Sigma$  is positive semidefinite because for each  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} v^T \Sigma v &= v^T \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[(x-\mu)(x-\mu)^T] v = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[v^T (x-\mu)(x-\mu)^T v] \\ &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\langle x-\mu, v \rangle^2] \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The algorithm samples  $y$  as above and performs threshold rounding:

The algorithm samples  $y$  as above and performs threshold rounding.  
 $U = \{i \in V : y_i \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$ .

We now analyze the approximation factor.

Define  $\rho_{ij} = 4 \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[x_i x_j] - 1 \quad \forall ij \in E$ .

Claim:  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[(x_i - x_j)^2] = \frac{1 - \rho_{ij}}{2}$ .

Proof: 
$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[(x_i - x_j)^2] &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[x_i^2] - 2\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[x_i x_j] + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[x_j^2] \\ &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[x_i] - 2\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[x_i x_j] + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[x_j] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1 + \rho_{ij}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{1 - \rho_{ij}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Claim:  $\Pr[(i, j) \in E(U, \bar{U})] = \frac{\arccos(\rho_{ij})}{\pi}$ .

Proof: 
$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[y_i] &= \mathbb{E}[(x_i - \mu_i)^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[x_i^2] - 2\mu_i \mathbb{E}[x_i] + \mu_i^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}[y_i, y_j] &= \mathbb{E}[(x_i - \mu_i)(x_j - \mu_j)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[x_i x_j] - \mu_i \mathbb{E}[x_j] - \mu_j \mathbb{E}[x_i] + \mathbb{E}[\mu_i \mu_j] \\ &= \frac{\rho_{ij} - 1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{\rho_{ij}}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Fact: given two Gaussians with covariance  $\frac{\rho}{4}$ ,  
 $\Pr[\text{sign}(y_i - \mu_i) \neq \text{sign}(y_j - \mu_j)] = \frac{\arccos \rho}{\pi}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Thus, } \mathbb{E}[|E(u, \bar{u})|] &= \sum_{ij \in E} \Pr[\text{sign}(y_i - \frac{1}{2}) \neq \text{sign}(y_j - \frac{1}{2})] \\
&= \sum_{ij \in E} \frac{\arccos p}{\pi} \\
&= \sum_{ij \in E} \frac{\arccos p}{\pi} \cdot \frac{2}{1-p} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[(x_i - x_j)^2] \\
&\geq \min_{|p| \leq 1} \frac{2 \arccos p}{(1-p)\pi} \cdot \text{SoS.}
\end{aligned}$$