INSTRUCTIONS

- Due: Tuesday, 24 September 2019 at 10:00 PM EDT. Remember that you have NO slip days for Written Homework, but you may turn it in up to 24 hours late with 50% Penalty.
- Format: Submit the answer sheet pdf containing your answers. You should solve the questions on this handout (either through a pdf annotator, or by printing, then scanning). Make sure that your answers (typed or handwritten) are within the dedicated regions for each question/part. If you do not follow this format, we may deduct points.
- How to submit: Submit a pdf with your answers on Gradescope. Log in and click on our class 15-281 and click on the submission titled HW4 and upload your pdf containing your answers.
- Policy: See the course website for homework policies and Academic Integrity.

Name	
Andrew ID	
Hours to complete?	

For staff use only

	I OI Stail	use only	
Q1	Q2	Q3	Total
/45	/25	/30	/100

Q1. [45 pts] Logic Warmup

(a)	[6 pts] Which of the following entailment statements are true? Select all that apply.
	☐ None of the above
(b)	[6 pts] Out of the following statements, select all that are true.
	Any non-empty propositional clause is by itself satisfiable.
	☐ Suppose a propositional clause contains 3 literals, each mentioning a different variable.
	The clause is satisfied in exactly 7 of the 8 possible models for the three variables.
	□ None of the above

(c) [4 pts] We want to convert $A \Leftrightarrow (B \lor C \lor D)$ to conjunctive normal form. For each step, write down which rule is being used.

Step	Rule/Explanation
$A \Leftrightarrow (B \lor C \lor D)$	N/A
$(A \Rightarrow (B \lor C \lor D)) \land ((B \lor C \lor D) \Rightarrow A)$	
$(\neg A \lor (B \lor C \lor D)) \land (\neg (B \lor C \lor D) \lor A)$	
$(\neg A \lor B \lor C \lor D) \land ((\neg B \land \neg C \land \neg D) \lor A)$	

(d) [4 pts] Pacman has lost the meanings for the symbols in his knowledge base! Luckily he still has the list of sentences in the KB and the English description he used to create his KB. For each English sentence on the left, there is a corresponding logical sentence in the knowledge base on the right (not necessarily the one across from it). Your task is to recover this matching. Once you have, please fill in the blanks with the English sentence that matches each symbol.

English	Knowledge Base
There is a ghost at $(0, 1)$.	$(C \vee B) \wedge (\neg C \vee \neg B)$
If Pacman is at $(0, 1)$ and there is a ghost at $(0, 1)$,	$C \wedge \neg D$
then Pacman is not alive.	$\neg A \vee \neg (B \wedge D)$
Pacman is at $(0, 0)$ and there is no ghost at $(0, 1)$.	D
Pacman is at $(0, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$, but not both.	

A =	B =
C =	D =

(e) [5 pts] Consider a world with 6 logical propositions: P, A, T, R, O, and X. How many different models of (P, A, T, R, O, X) satisfy each of the following knowledge bases?

Knowledge Base	# of Models
$P \lor A$	(i)
$T, O \wedge R$	(ii)
$(A \land \neg R) \lor (O \Leftrightarrow \neg P)$	(iv)
$A \land \neg R \land O \land \neg X, (O \Rightarrow X)$	(v)
$P \wedge A \wedge T, R \wedge O \wedge X$	(vi)

(f) [6 pts] The relationship in the Wumpus world between pits and breezes can be expressed as a biconditional. Write down the biconditional for $B_{2,2}$ and $P_{*,*}$ in a 4*4 wumpus world then convert the biconditional to conjunctive normal form.

Biconditional:		
Steps:		
Conjunctive Normal Form:		

For each of the following sentences in propositional logic, indicate whether it is satisfiable or unsatisfiable. If satisfiable, give a model such that the sentence is satisfied. Prove your answer by reducing the sentence to its simplest form. Remember to show all the steps and write down an explanation of each steps. Let T stand for the atomic sentence True and F for the atomic sentence False.

Steps:					
Satisfiable	() Unsatisfiab	ole			
If satisfiable	e, give a model:				
11 Satisfiable	e, give a model.				
$[7 \text{ pts}] \neg (x \lor \neg x)$	$\neg(x \land (z \lor T))) \Rightarrow \neg$	$\neg (y \land (\neg y \lor (T =$	$\Rightarrow F)))$		
$[7 ext{ pts}] \neg (x \lor -$ Steps:	$\neg(x \land (z \lor T))) \Rightarrow \neg$	$\neg (y \land (\neg y \lor (T =$	$\Rightarrow F)))$		
[7 pts] $\neg (x \lor \neg \mathbf{Steps:}$	$\neg(x \land (z \lor T))) \Rightarrow \neg$	$\neg (y \land (\neg y \lor (T = x)))$	$\Rightarrow F)))$		
[7 pts] $\neg (x \lor \cdot $ Steps:	$\neg(x \land (z \lor T))) \Rightarrow \neg$	$\neg (y \land (\neg y \lor (T =$	$\Rightarrow F)))$		
[7 pts] $\neg (x \lor \cdot \mathbf{Steps:}$	$\neg(x \land (z \lor T))) \Rightarrow \neg$	$\neg (y \land (\neg y \lor (T = x)))$	$\Rightarrow F)))$		
$[7 \text{ pts}] \neg (x \lor \cdot \\ \textbf{Steps:}$	$\neg(x \land (z \lor T))) \Rightarrow \neg$	$\neg (y \land (\neg y \lor (T = x)))$	$\Rightarrow F)))$		
[7 pts] $\neg (x \lor \cdot)$ Steps:	$\neg(x \land (z \lor T))) \Rightarrow \neg$	$\neg (y \land (\neg y \lor (T = x)))$	$\Rightarrow F)))$		
$[7 ext{ pts}] \neg (x \lor \cdot \cdot)$ Steps:	$\neg(x \land (z \lor T))) \Rightarrow \neg$	$\neg (y \land (\neg y \lor (T = x)))$	$\Rightarrow F)))$		
$[7 ext{ pts}] \neg (x \lor \cdot \cdot)$ Steps:	$\neg(x \land (z \lor T))) \Rightarrow \neg$	$\neg (y \land (\neg y \lor (T = x)))$	$\Rightarrow F)))$		
[7 pts] $\neg (x \lor \cdot)$ Steps:	$\neg(x \land (z \lor T))) \Rightarrow \neg$	$\neg (y \land (\neg y \lor (T = x)))$	$\Rightarrow F)))$		
[7 pts] $\neg (x \lor \cdot)$ Steps:	$\neg(x \land (z \lor T))) \Rightarrow \neg$	$\neg(y \land (\neg y \lor (T =$	$\Rightarrow F)))$		
[7 pts] $\neg (x \lor \cdot)$ Steps:	$\neg(x \land (z \lor T))) \Rightarrow \neg$	$\neg(y \land (\neg y \lor (T =$	$\Rightarrow F)))$		
$[7 ext{ pts}] ext{ } \neg (x \lor \cdot $	$\neg(x \land (z \lor T))) \Rightarrow \neg$	$\neg (y \land (\neg y \lor (T = x)))$	$\Rightarrow F)))$		
Steps:	$\neg(x \land (z \lor T))) \Rightarrow \neg$ $\bigcirc \text{Unsatisfiab}$		$\Rightarrow F)))$		
Steps:			$\Rightarrow F)))$		

Q2. [25 pts] Resolution

(a)	[20 pts] Given the following propositional logic clauses, show E must be true by adding $\neg E$ and using only the
	resolution inference rule to derive a contradiction. Your answer should be in the form of a graph, where each
	resolvent is connected by lines to its two parent clauses. Use the clauses below as the initial set of nodes in the
	graph.

Note: You do not need to use all the nodes, and you may use a node more than once.

	$B \vee \neg C$	$A \vee \neg B$	$A \vee \neg D$	$E \vee \neg A \vee \neg B$	C	$\neg D$
[5 pts]	Can we use for	ward-chaining or	n the question ab	ove? Please briefly ex	plain why	or why not.
O Yes		· ·	-	Ţ		·
Expla	 ain:					

Q3. [30 pts] DPLL

The DPLL satisfiability algorithm is a backtracking search algorithm with 3 improvements: PURE-SYMBOLS, UNIT-CLAUSES, and EARLY TERMINATION. In this question, we'll ask you to relate these improvements (if possible) to more general CSP techniques used with backtracking search.

(a) [5 pts] The PURE-SYMBOL technique finds a propositional symbol that only occurs with the same "sign" throughout the expression and assigns it the corresponding value. Such symbols are called pure. For the following CNF expression and partial model, which symbols are pure and what value does this process assign to those symbols?

$$(C \vee D) \wedge (C \vee \neg A \vee D) \wedge (\neg D \vee A \vee E) \wedge (\neg B \vee A) \wedge (E \vee B \vee \neg D \vee \neg C)$$

$$\{C = \text{True}, E = \text{False}\}$$

Pure symbols:	Values assigned:

- (b) [5 pts] Which of the following CSP techniques is most closely related to the PURE-SYMBOLS technique when applied to SAT for CNF sentences?
 - MINIMUM-REMAINING-VALUES
 - O FORWARD-CHECKING
 - O LEAST-CONSTRAINING-VALUE
 - BACKTRACKING
 - O No equivalent CSP technique
- (c) [5 pts] The UNIT-CLAUSE technique finds all clauses that contain a single literal and assigns values to those literals. For the following CNF expression and partial model, which clauses are unit clauses?

$$(C \vee D) \wedge (\neg A) \wedge (\neg D \vee A \vee E) \wedge (B) \wedge (E \vee B \vee D \vee C)$$

$${D = False}$$

Unit clauses:			

- (d) [5 pts] Which of the following CSP techniques is most closely related to the UNIT-CLAUSE technique when applied to SAT for CNF sentences?
 - MINIMUM-REMAINING-VALUES
 - FORWARD-CHECKING
 - LEAST-CONSTRAINING-VALUE
 - BACKTRACKING
 - O No equivalent CSP technique

(e)	[5 pts] DPLL performs early termination in two steps: SUCCESS-DETECTION and FAILURE-DETECTION. F SUCCESS-DETECTION checks to see if <i>all</i> clauses evaluate to true. If so, the sentence is satisfiable and unassigned propositional symbols can be assigned arbitrarily. Next, FAILURE-DETECTION checks if <i>any</i> cl evaluates to false. In this case the sentence is unsatisfiable and this branch of the search can be pruned. It does this strategy relate to the early termination strategy used by the general BACKTRACKING algorithm?	any ause How
	O BACKTRACKING does both SUCCESS-DETECTION and FAILURE-DETECTION	
	O BACKTRACKING does only SUCCESS-DETECTION	
	O BACKTRACKING does only FAILURE-DETECTION	
	O BACKTRACKING does neither	
(f)	[5 pts] Assume you have an implementation of DPLL-Satisfiable (sentence) that returns true if sente is satisfiable, false otherwise. Write the pseudocode for Resolution (KB, Query-sentence) that makes of DPLL-Satisfiable.	
	RESOLUTION(KB, QUERY-SENTENCE)	
	input: KB in CNF	
	input: QUERY-SENTENCE in propositional logic Returns true if the KB entails the QUERY-SENTENCE, false otherwise	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	