Warm-up As You Walk In

 $g(\vec{x}) = \|\vec{x}\|_{2}^{2}$ = $x^{2} + x^{2}_{2}$

What does this function look like when you plot is as a surface in 3D (y vs x_1, x_2)? How about as a contour map in 2D (drawn on the x_1, x_2 plane)?



Announcements

HW1

• Grades can be released after second slip day expires

HW2

Out this week

Quiz

Today, last 15 min. of class

Survey

Thanks for the feedback!



Mathematical Foundations for Machine Learning

Lagrange Multipliers & Probability

Instructor: Pat Virtue

Plan

Last time

End class with minimax game

Today

Constrained optimization

- Formulation
- Solving with Lagrange multipliers Probability
- Vocab
- Properties
- Discrete distributions

Constrained Optimization Method of Lagrange multipliers

Exercise

Mini-max game

$$L(x,\lambda) = 2x + 9 - \lambda(x^2 - 2)$$

Two teams

Team λ :

- Goes first
- Chooses a value for λ in attempt to maximize $L(x, \lambda)$

Team *x*:

- Goes second
- Chooses a value for x in attempt to minimize $L(x, \lambda)$

Constrained Optimization

Notation





Step 1: Construct Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = f(\mathbf{x}) - \lambda g(\mathbf{x})$

Step 2: Solve $\rightarrow \min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\lambda} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda)$



 $\nabla \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x},\lambda) = \mathbf{0} \quad \boldsymbol{\leqslant}$

Example

Mini-max game

 $min_{x} 2x + 9$ s.t. $x^{2} = 2$

 $x^{2}-2=0$

Goal

$$\begin{array}{l} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & f(\mathbf{x}) \\ \text{s.t.} & g(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \\ \end{array}$$
Step 1: Construct Lagrangian

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = f(\mathbf{x}) - \lambda g(\mathbf{x}) \\ \end{array}$$
Step 2: Solve

$$\begin{array}{l} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & \max_{\lambda} & \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) \\ \text{(Step 2: Find saddle point)} \\ \nabla \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \mathbf{0} \end{array}$$

 $\mathcal{L}(x,\lambda) = 2x + 9 - \lambda(x^2 - 2)$

Jupyter notebook

Method of Lagrange Multipliers

Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x},\lambda) = f(\mathbf{x}) - \lambda g(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x},\lambda) - \lambda g(\mathbf{x},\lambda) - \lambda g(\mathbf{x},\lambda)$

Find saddle point:

 $\nabla \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \mathbf{0}^{\mathbf{z}}$ $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{1}} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{1}} - \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{1}} = 0$ $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{2}} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{2}} - \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{2}} = 0$

= () $-q(\mathbf{X})$

Method of Lagrange Multipliers

Goal

- $\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x})$
- s.t. $g(\mathbf{x}) = 0$
- Step 1: Construct Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = f(\mathbf{x}) - \lambda g(\mathbf{x})$

Step 2: Solve $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\lambda} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda)$

Find saddle point: $\nabla \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \mathbf{0}$ Equivalent to solving: $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda \nabla g(\mathbf{x})$ and $g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$

Method of Lagrange Multipliers (Inequality)

Goal

 $\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x})$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$

Step 1: Construct Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda g(\mathbf{x})$ Step 2: Solve $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\lambda \ge 0} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda)$

Find saddle point: $\nabla \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \lambda \ge 0$ Equivalent to solving: $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda \nabla g(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \lambda \ge 0 \text{ and } g(\mathbf{x}) = 0$

Method of Lagrange Multipliers (multiple constraints)

Goal

 $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad f(\mathbf{x})$

s.t. $g_1(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ \leftarrow $g_2(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ \leftarrow

Step 1: Construct Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = f(\mathbf{x}) - \lambda_1 g_1(\mathbf{x}) - \lambda_2 g_2(\mathbf{x})$

Step 2: Solve

 $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x},\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$

Find saddle point: $\nabla \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \mathbf{0}$ Vector Norms $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{M}$

p-norm (general)

- $\|\mathbf{u}\|_p = \left(\sum_i^M |u_i^p|\right)^{1/p}$
- L2 norm (Euclidean norm)

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{2} = \left(\sum_{i}^{M} u_{i}^{2}\right)^{1/2} = \left(\mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbf{u}\right)^{1/2}$$

L1 norm

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{M} |u_i|$$
 $\leq \quad \text{sum of abs entries}$
L0 "norm" (not really a norm)
 $\|\mathbf{u}\|_0 = \left(\left|\{u_i \mid u_i \neq 0\}\right|\right)$ Number of non-zero entries

Probability



Probability Vocab

Outcomes

Events

Probability

Random variable

Discrete random variable

Probability mass function



Probability Toolbox

- Algebra
- Three axioms of probability
- Theorem of total probability
- Definition of conditional probability
- Product rule
- Bayes' theorem
- Chain rule
- Independence
- Conditional independence

Three questions: What is the probability of getting a slice with:

1) No mushrooms

2) Spinach and no mushrooms

3) Spinach, when asking for slice with no mushrooms

New information (condition) Adjust sample space



Icons: CC, https://openclipart.org/detail/296791/pizza-slice

Formalize this a bit

- Ω: set of all possible slices
- S: Spinach random variable
 S(no spinach) = s₁
 S(spinach) = s₂
- M: Mushroom random variable
 M(no mushrooms) = m₁
 M(mushrooms) = m₂



Icons: CC, https://openclipart.org/detail/296791/pizza-slice

Formalize this a bit

- Ω: whole pizza
- S: Spinach random variable
 S(no spinach) = s₁
 S(spinach) = s₂
- 1) No mushrooms

 $P(M=m_1)$

- 2) Spinach and no mushrooms $P(S = s_2, M = m_1)$
- M: Mushroom random variable3) Spinach, when asking for slice
 M(no mushrooms) = m_1 with no mushrooms
 M(mushrooms) = m_2 $P(S = s_2 \mid M = m_1)$

Vocab alert!

Probability Vocab

Marginal

Joint

Conditional

More questions: What is the probability of getting a slice with:

- 1) No mushrooms
- 2) Spinach and no mushrooms
- 3) Spinach, when asking for slice with no mushrooms
- Mushrooms
- Spinach
- No spinach
- No spinach and mushrooms
- No spinach when asking for no mushrooms
- No spinach when asking for mushrooms
- Spinach when asking for mushrooms
- No much reasons and no animach



Icons: CC, https://openclipart.org/detail/296791/pizza-slice

You can fill out all of these probability mass functions



Definition of Conditional Probability

Definition:

If P(b) > 0, then the conditional probability of a given b is:

$$P(a|b) = \frac{P(a,b)}{P(b)}$$

Counting: proportions

$$P(a) = \frac{Count(a)}{Count(\Omega)}$$

$$P(a|b) = \frac{Count(a \cap b)}{Count(b)}$$



Apply definition of conditional probability

No mushrooms

 $p(m_1) = \frac{12}{20}$

Spinach and no mushrooms

 $p(s_2, m_1) = \frac{6}{20}$

Conditional Probability:



Æ

R

#

Æ

*

*

20

20

 Spinach, when asking for slice with no mushrooms

$$p(s_2|m_1) = \frac{6}{12}$$

Apply definition of conditional probability

No mushrooms

 $p(m_1) = \frac{12}{20}$

Spinach and no mushrooms

 $p(s_2, m_1) = \frac{6}{20}$

 $p(s_2|m_1) = \frac{6}{12}$

Conditional Probability:

$$p(a|b) = \frac{p(a,b)}{p(b)}$$

 Spinach, when asking for slice with no mushrooms

$$p(s_2|m_1) = \frac{p(s_2, s_1)}{p(s_1)} = \frac{\frac{6}{20}}{\frac{12}{20}} = \frac{6}{12}$$

 n
 n
 I

 n
 n
 I

 n
 n
 I

 n
 n
 I

 n
 n
 I

 n
 I
 I

 n
 I
 I

 n
 I
 I

 n
 I
 I

 n
 I
 I

Definition of Conditional Probability

Definition:

If P(B) > 0, then the conditional probability of A given B is:

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A,B)}{P(B)}$$



http://achievementgen.com/360/

Normalization Trick P(X | Y=0) ?



SELECT the joint probabilities matching the evidence



NORMALIZE the

selection (make it sum to one)



To Normalize

(Dictionary) To bring or restore to a normal condition

All entries sum to ONE

Procedure:

- Step 1: Compute Z = sum over all entries
- Step 2: Divide every entry by Z

Example 1

W	$p_{W,T}$ (w,1)	Normalize	W	p(w)
sun	0.2		sun	0.4
rain	0.3	Z = 0.5	rain	0.6

Example 2

Т	W	Count
hot	sun	20
hot	rain	5
cold	sun	10
cold	rain	15

	Т	W	P(t,w)
Normalize	hot	sun	0.4
	hot	rain	0.1
Z = 50	cold	sun	0.2
	cold	rain	0.3

Sum over all values of a discrete random variable

For all possible discrete real values of a random variable $A: a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ $\sum_{i=1}^n p_A(a_i) = 1.$



Partition given Event, Still Sums to One

For a given value of random variable B = b and all possible discrete real values of a random variable $A: a_1, a_2, ..., a_n, \sum_{i=1}^n p_{A|B}(a_i \mid b) = 1$:



Partition given Event, Still Sums to One

For a given value of random variable B = b and all possible discrete real values of a random variable $A: a_1, a_2, ..., a_n, \sum_{i=1}^n p_{A|B}(a_i \mid b) = 1$:





Icons: CC, https://openclipart.org/detail/296791/pizza-slice

Product Rule and Bayes' Theorem

Reformulations of definition of conditional probability

Product rule:

$$P(A,B) = P(A|B)P(B)$$

= P(B|A)P(A)



Achievement unlocked Product Rule

Bayes' theorem:

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$



Achievement unlocked Bayes' Theorem



http://achievementgen.com/360/

Product Rule: Tree

Product rule: p(a,b) = p(a|b)p(b)





$$p(s_2) p(m_1|s_2) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{6}{10}$$
$$p(m_1, s_2) = \frac{6}{20}$$

Icons: CC, https://openclipart.org/detail/296791/pizza-slice

Exercise: Product Rule: Tree

Demonstrate, using trees, that product rule works both ways: P(A,B) = P(A|B)P(B)

= P(B|A)P(A)



Bayes' Theorem

Bayes' theorem:

$$P(a_1|b) = \frac{P(b|a_1)P(a_1)}{P(b)}$$

Also:

$$P(a_1|b) = \frac{P(b|a_1)P(a_1)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(b|a_i)P(a_i)}$$

Why is this at all helpful?

- Lets us build one conditional from its reverse
- Often one conditional is tricky but the other one is simple
- Describes an "update" step from prior P(a) to posterior $P(a \mid b)$
- Foundation of many probabilistic systems



Inference with Bayes' Theorem

Example: Diagnostic probability from *causal probability:*

$$P(cause \mid effect) = \frac{P(effect \mid cause) P(cause)}{P(effect)}$$

Example:

Your friend has a stiff neck (+s)

Knowledge:

 $P(+m | +s) = \frac{P(+s | +m) P(+m)}{P(+s)}$

 $=\frac{0.8\times0.0001}{0.01}=0.008$

P(+s) = 0.01P(+m) = 0.0001P(+s | + m) = 0.8

What are the chances your friend has meningitis (+m)?

Tools Summary

Adding to our toolbox

- 1. Definition of conditional probability
- 2. Product Rule
- 3. Bayes' theorem
- 4. Chain Rule...

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A,B)}{P(B)}$$
$$P(A,B) = P(A|B)P(B)$$
$$P(B|A) = \frac{P(A|B)P(B)}{P(A)}$$

The Product Rule

Sometimes have conditional distributions but want the joint

$$P(y)P(x|y) = P(x,y) \qquad \longleftarrow \qquad P(x|y) = \frac{P(x,y)}{P(y)}$$



The Product Rule

P(y)P(x|y) = P(x,y)

Example:

P(W)

Ρ

0.8

0.2

R

sun

rain

P([D W])	
D	W	Ρ	
wet	sun	0.1	
dry	sun	0.9	
wet	rain	0.7	
drv	rain	0.3	

D	W	Р
wet	sun	
dry	sun	
wet	rain	
dry	rain	

P(D,W)

The Chain Rule

More generally, can always write any joint distribution as an incremental product of conditional distributions

$$P(x_1, x_2, x_3) = P(x_1)P(x_2|x_1)P(x_3|x_1, x_2)$$

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_i P(x_i | x_1 \dots x_{i-1})$$