

Announcements



Assignments


- HW7: Thu, 11/19, 11:59 pm

Schedule change

- Friday: Lecture in all three recitation slots
- Monday: Recitation in both lecture slots

Final exam scheduled

Study groups

An abstract graphic on the left side of the slide, featuring a sphere-like shape composed of a dense grid of intersecting red, green, and blue lines. The lines are curved and follow the contour of the sphere, creating a complex, woven pattern. The sphere is set against a dark gray background.

Introduction to Machine Learning

Markov Decision Processes

Instructor: Pat Virtue

Plan

Last time

- Applications of sequential decision making (and Gridworld 😊)
- Minimax and expectimax trees
- MDP setup


Markov Decision Processes

An MDP is defined by:

- A **set of states** $s \in S$
- A **set of actions** $a \in A$
- A **transition function** $T(s, a, s')$
 - Probability that a from s leads to s' , i.e., $P(s' | s, a)$
 - Also called the model or the dynamics
- A **reward function** $R(s, a, s')$
 - Sometimes just $R(s)$ or $R(s')$
- A **start state**
- Maybe a **terminal state**

MDPs are non-deterministic search problems

- One way to solve them is with expectimax search
- We'll have a new tool soon



What is Markov about MDPs?

“Markov” generally means that given the present state, the future and the past are independent

For Markov decision processes, “Markov” means action outcomes depend only on the current state

$$P(S_{t+1} = s' | S_t = s_t, A_t = a_t, \underbrace{S_{t-1} = s_{t-1}, A_{t-1}, \dots, S_0 = s_0}_{\text{past}})$$

=

$$P(S_{t+1} = s' | S_t = s_t, A_t = a_t)$$



Andrey Markov
(1856-1922)

Policies

We don't just want an optimal plan, or sequence of actions, from start to a goal


For MDPs, we want an optimal **policy** $\pi^*: S \rightarrow A$

- A policy π gives an action for each state
- An optimal policy is one that maximizes expected utility if followed

Expectimax didn't compute entire policies

- It computed the action for a single state only

$$\uparrow \pi(s = (0, 2)) = \text{East}$$



Optimal policy when $R(s, a, s') = -0.03$
for all non-terminals s

Plan

Last time


- MDP setup

Today


- Rewards and Discounting
- Finding optimal policies: Value iteration and Bellman equations
- How to use optimal policies

Next time


- What happens if we don't have $P(s' | s, a)$ and $R(s, a, s')$??




Optimal Policies




$R(s) = -0.01$



$R(s) = -0.03$




$R(s) = -0.4$



$R(s) = -2.0$

Example: Racing




Example: Racing

A robot car wants to travel far, quickly

Three states: **Cool**, **Warm**, Overheated


Two actions: **Slow**, **Fast**

Going faster gets double reward




Racing Search Tree

$\uparrow(\text{cool}) = \text{fast?}$
 slow?




MDP Search Trees

Each MDP state projects an expectimax-like search tree




Recursive Expectimax

$$V(s) = \max_a \sum_{s'} \left[P(s'|s, a) V(s') \right]$$



Recursive Expectimax

$$V(s) = \max_a \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) [\underbrace{R(s, a, s')}_{r} + V(s')]$$






Simple Deterministic Example

- Actions: B, C, D: East, West
- Actions: A, E: Exit
- Transitions: deterministic
- Rewards only for transitioning to terminal state

$$V(s) = \max_a [R(s, a, s') + V(s')]$$

$$v(A) = 10$$





Simple Deterministic Example

- Actions: B, C, D: East, West
- Actions: A, E: Exit
- Transitions: deterministic
- Rewards only for transitioning to terminal state


$$V_{k+1}(s) = \max_a [R(s, a, s') + \underline{V_k(s')}]$$

$$V_0(s) = 0 \quad \forall s$$



	T	A	B	C	D	E
V_0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V_1	0	10	0	0	0	1
V_2	0	10	10	0	1	1
V_3	0	10	10	10	1	1
V_4	0	10	10	10	10	1

Utilities of Sequences




Utilities of Sequences

What preferences should an agent have over reward sequences?

More or less? $[1, 2, 2]$ or $[2, 3, 4]$

Now or later? $[0, 0, 1]$ or $[1, 0, 0]$



Discounting

It's reasonable to maximize the sum of rewards

It's also reasonable to prefer rewards now to rewards later

One solution: values of rewards decay exponentially



1

Worth Now



$\gamma = 0.8$

Worth Next Step



$\gamma^2 = 0.64$

Worth In Two Steps


Discounting

How to discount?

- Each time we descend a level, we multiply in the discount once

Why discount?

- Sooner rewards probably do have higher utility than later rewards
- Also helps our algorithms converge



Discounting




- Actions: B, C, D: East, West
- Actions: A, E: Exit
- Transitions: deterministic
- Rewards only for transitioning to terminal state

$$V_{k+1}(s) = \max_a [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s')] \leftarrow$$

For $\gamma = 1$, what is the optimal policy?

For $\gamma = 0.1$, what is the optimal policy?

For which γ are West and East equally good when in state d?



Infinite Utilities?!

- Problem: What if the game lasts forever? Do we get infinite rewards?


- Solutions:

- Finite horizon: (similar to depth-limited search)
 - Terminate episodes after a fixed T steps (e.g. life)
 - Gives nonstationary policies (π depends on time left)

- Discounting: use $0 < \gamma < 1$


$$U([r_0, \dots, r_\infty]) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t \leq R_{\max}/(1 - \gamma)$$

- Smaller γ means smaller “horizon” – shorter term focus
- Absorbing state: guarantee that for every policy, a terminal state will eventually be reached (like “overheated” for racing)




$R_{\max} R_{\max}$
 $r_1 r_2 r_3$
 $\gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma$

Solving MDPs



Value Iteration




Value Iteration

Start with $V_0(s) = 0$: no time steps left means an expected reward sum of zero

Given vector of $V_k(s)$ values, do one ply of expectimax from each state:

$$V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_a \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[R(s, a, s') + \gamma \underline{V_k(s')} \right]$$

Repeat until convergence




$k=0$



Handwritten notes:

- 0.0
- +1
- 1
- 80%
- 15%
- 10%
- Noise = 0.2
- Discount = 0.9
- Living reward = 0

$k=1$



Noise = 0.2
Discount = 0.9
Living reward = 0

$k=2$



Noise = 0.2
Discount = 0.9
Living reward = 0

$k=3$



Noise = 0.2
Discount = 0.9
Living reward = 0

$k=4$



Noise = 0.2
Discount = 0.9
Living reward = 0

k=5



Noise = 0.2
Discount = 0.9
Living reward = 0

k=6



Noise = 0.2
Discount = 0.9
Living reward = 0

$k=7$



Noise = 0.2
Discount = 0.9
Living reward = 0

$k=8$



$k=9$



k=10



Noise = 0.2
Discount = 0.9
Living reward = 0


k=11



k=12




k=100




Noise = 0.2
Discount = 0.9
Living reward = 0

Exercise

As we moved from $k=1$ to $k=2$ to $k=3$, how did we get these specific values for $s=(2,2)$?




Racing Tree Example



Example: Value Iteration

			
V_2	3.5	2.5	0
V_1	2	1	0
V_0	0	0	0



Assume no discount! $\gamma = 1$

$$V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_a \sum_{s'} \underline{T(s, a, s')} [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s')]$$


Value Iteration

Start with $V_0(s) = 0$: no time steps left means an expected reward sum of zero

Given vector of $V_k(s)$ values, do one ply of expectimax from each state:

$$V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_a \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s')]$$

Repeat until convergence



Piazza Poll 1

What is the complexity of each iteration in Value Iteration?

S -- set of states; A -- set of actions

I: $O(|S||A|)$ 25%


II: $O(|S|^2|A|)$ 33%

III: $O(|S||A|^2)$

IV: $O(|S|^2|A|^2)$

V: $O(|S|^2)$

$$V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_a \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s')]$$



Piazza Poll 1

What is the complexity of each iteration in Value Iteration?

S -- set of states; A -- set of actions

I: $O(|S||A|)$


II: $O(|S|^2|A|)$

III: $O(|S||A|^2)$

IV: $O(|S|^2|A|^2)$

V: $O(|S|^2)$

$$V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_a \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s')]$$



Value Iteration

Start with $V_0(s) = 0$: no time steps left means an expected reward sum of zero

Given vector of $V_k(s)$ values, do one ply of expectimax from each state:


$$V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_a \sum_{s'} \underline{T(s, a, s')} \left[\underline{R(s, a, s')} + \underline{\gamma} V_k(s') \right]$$

Repeat until convergence

Complexity of each iteration: $O(S^2A)$

Theorem: will converge to unique optimal values

- Basic idea: approximations get refined towards optimal values
- Policy may converge long before values do



Optimal Quantities

- The value (utility) of a state s :


$V^*(s)$ = expected utility starting in s and acting optimally

- The value (utility) of a q-state (s,a) :


$Q^*(s,a)$ = expected utility starting out having taken action a from state s and (thereafter) acting optimally

- The optimal policy:

$\pi^*(s)$ = optimal action from state s




Snapshot of Demo – Gridworld V Values



Noise = 0.2
Discount = 0.9
Living reward = 0

Snapshot of Demo – Gridworld Q Values



$$Q(s, a)$$

Noise = 0.2
Discount = 0.9
Living reward = 0

Values of States

Fundamental operation: compute the (expectimax) value of a state


- Expected utility under optimal action
- Average sum of (discounted) rewards
- This is just what expectimax computed!

Recursive definition of value:


$$V^*(s) = \max_a Q^*(s, a)$$

$$Q^*(s, a) = \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^*(s')]$$

$$V^*(s) = \max_a \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^*(s')]$$




The Bellman Equations



The Bellman Equations


Definition of “optimal utility” via expectimax recurrence gives a simple one-step lookahead relationship amongst optimal utility values



The Bellman Equations

Definition of “optimal utility” via expectimax recurrence gives a simple one-step lookahead relationship amongst optimal utility values

$$V^*(s) = \max_a Q^*(s, a)$$




The Bellman Equations

Definition of “optimal utility” via expectimax recurrence gives a simple one-step lookahead relationship amongst optimal utility values

$$V^*(s) = \max_a Q^*(s, a)$$

$$Q^*(s, a) = \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^*(s')]$$




The Bellman Equations

Definition of “optimal utility” via expectimax recurrence gives a simple one-step lookahead relationship amongst optimal utility values

$$V^*(s) = \max_a Q^*(s, a)$$

$$Q^*(s, a) = \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^*(s')]$$

$$V^*(s) = \max_a \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^*(s')]$$



These are the Bellman equations, and they characterize optimal values in a way we'll use over and over

MDP Notation

Standard expectimax:

$$V(s) = \max_a \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) V(s')$$

Bellman equations:

$$V^*(s) = \max_a \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^*(s')]$$

Value iteration:

$$V_{k+1}(s) = \max_a \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s')], \quad \forall s$$

MDP Notation

Standard expectimax:

$$V(s) = \max_a \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) V(s')$$

Bellman equations:

$$V^*(s) = \max_a \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) [\underbrace{R(s, a, s')} + \underbrace{\gamma V^*(s')}]$$

Value iteration:

$$V_{k+1}(s) = \max_a \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s')], \quad \forall s$$

Synchronous vs Asynchronous Value Iteration

Synchronous Value iteration

$k \leftarrow 0$

for s in $\mathcal{S}$

$$V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_a \sum_{s'} P(s' | s, a) [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s')]$$

$k \leftarrow k + 1$

synchronous

updates: compute all the fresh values of $V(s)$ from all the stale values of $V(s)$, then update $V(s)$ with fresh values

Asynchronous Value iteration

$k \leftarrow 0$

for s in $\mathcal{S}$

$$V(s) \leftarrow \max_a \sum_{s'} P(s' | s, a) [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V(s')]$$

$k \leftarrow k + 1$


asynchronous

updates: compute and update $V(s)$ for each state one at a time

Solved MDP! Now what?

What are we going to do with these values??

$$V^*(s)$$



$$Q^*(s, a)$$

