

Faster Algorithms for Binary Matrix Factorization

Ravi Kumar, Rina Panigrahy, Ali Rahimi, David P. Woodruff
Google and CMU

Binary Matrix Factorization

- Given A in $\{0,1\}^{m \times n}$, find $U \in \{0,1\}^{m \times k}$ and $V \in \{0,1\}^{k \times n}$ to minimize

$$\|U \cdot V - A\|_p^p$$

- For an $m \times n$ matrix C , $\|C\|_p^p = \sum_{i,j} |C_{i,j}|^p$
- $U \cdot V$ can be
 - Integer product: $\langle U_{i*}, V_{*j} \rangle = \sum_{\ell=1,\dots,k} U_{i,\ell} \cdot V_{\ell,j}$
 - Mod 2 product: $\langle U_{i*}, V_{*j} \rangle = \sum_{\ell=1,\dots,k} U_{i,\ell} \cdot V_{\ell,j} \pmod{2}$
 - Boolean product: $\langle U_{i*}, V_{*j} \rangle = \vee_{(\ell=1,\dots,k} U_{i,\ell} \wedge V_{\ell,j})$

Approximation Algorithms

- All variants are NP-hard for any p-norm
- What about randomized $O(1)$ -approximation algorithms?
- Output $U \in \{0,1\}^{m \times k}$ and $V \in \{0,1\}^{k \times n}$ for which
$$|U \cdot V - A|_p^p \leq O(1) \cdot \min_{U' \in \{0,1\}^{m \times k}, V' \in \{0,1\}^{k \times n}} |U' \cdot V' - A|_p^p$$
- $f(k) \cdot \text{poly}(mn)$ randomized $O(1)$ -approximation algorithms
 - $f(k) = 2^{2^{\Theta(k)}}$ [BBBKLW, FGLPS]
 - Doubly-exponential running time is prohibitive

Complexity Analysis

- A in $\{0,1\}^{m \times n}$ is a bipartite incidence matrix
 - $A_{i,j} = 1$ iff i -th left vertex incident to j -th right vertex
- If $U \cdot V$ is Boolean product, the 1-entries of $U \cdot V$ are the edges in a union of k bipartite cliques (“bicliques”)
 - the i -th biclique has left vertex set $\text{support}(U^i)$ and right vertex set $\text{support}(V^i)$
- Under the Exponential Time Hypothesis (ETH), 2^{2^k} time is needed to decide if biclique covering number is k
 - Rules out $2^{2^{o(k)}}$ time for any multiplicative approximation and for any p norm
- What about integer product and mod 2 product?

Integer Product

- 2^{2^k} time lower bound does not apply to integer product!
- If $U \cdot V = A$ for integer product $U \cdot V$, the 1-entries of $U \cdot V$ are the edges in a multiset union of k bicliques
 - If $U \cdot V = A$, the biclique partition number is k
- Can decide if biclique partition number is at most k in $2^{O(k^2)}$ time [CIK]
- What if we only know $U \cdot V \approx A$ for $U \in \{0,1\}^{m \times k}$ and $V \in \{0,1\}^{k \times n}$?
 - To find $O(1)$ -approximate U and V , previous algorithms take 2^{2^k} or $\min(m, n)^{k^{O(1)}}$ time
 - $p = 1$ minimizes edges in symmetric difference between input and multiset union of bicliques
- *Can we obtain fast $O(1)$ -approximation algorithms for integer product?*

OLED Motivation for Integer Product

- A display can be viewed as an $m \times n$ matrix of pixels
- Passive displays render one row at a time
 - human eye integrates this into an image
 - brightness inversely proportional to number of rows
 - active displays are brighter because they add memory to keep the pixel illuminated for duration of the image, but they are expensive
- We observe that rendering a row has same cost as rendering a rank-1 image
 - brightness proportional to duration of rendering, which is rank of decomposition
 - binary factors allow us to use cheap voltage drivers on rows and columns

Our Result

- For any $p \geq 1$, there's a $2^{(k^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor + 1}) \log k}$ $\text{poly}(mn)$ time algorithm outputting $U \in \{0,1\}^{m \times k}$ and $V \in \{0,1\}^{k \times n}$ with

$$|U \cdot V - A|_p^p \leq O(1) \cdot \min_{U' \in \{0,1\}^{m \times k}, V' \in \{0,1\}^{k \times n}} |U' \cdot V' - A|_p^p,$$

where $U \cdot V$ is integer product, i.e., $\langle U_{i*}, V_{*j} \rangle = \sum_{\ell=1, \dots, k} U_{i,\ell} \cdot V_{\ell,j}$

- Assuming ETH, there's a $2^{\Omega(k)}$ $\text{poly}(mn)$ time lower bound

Our Techniques

- For a subset S of rows of matrix C , let $S \cdot C$ be the matrix consisting of the rows in S
- Let $U^* \in \{0,1\}^{n \times k}$, $V^* \in \{0,1\}^{k \times n}$ be the minimizer to $|U^*V^* - A|_p^p$
- **Theorem:** Let $s = k^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor + 1} \log k$. There is a subset $S \cdot A$ of s rows of A , and an $s \times s$ diagonal matrix D with entries in $\{1, 2, 4, 8, \dots, ns\}$, with
$$\forall V \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}, \quad |D \cdot S \cdot U^* \cdot V - D \cdot S \cdot A|_p^p = \Theta(1) \cdot |U^*V - A|_p^p$$
- Proof: properties of Lewis weights (“optimized l_p -leverage scores”) and triangle inequality

Interpreting the Theorem

- **Theorem:** Let $s = k^{\lceil \frac{p}{2} \rceil + 1} \log k$. There is a subset $S \cdot A$ of s rows of A , and an $s \times s$ diagonal matrix D with entries in $\{1, 2, 4, 8, \dots, ns\}$, with $\forall V \in \{0,1\}^{k \times n}$, $|D \cdot S \cdot U^* \cdot V - D \cdot S \cdot A|_p^p = \Theta(1) \cdot |U^*V - A|_p^p$
-
- If we had $D \cdot S \cdot U^*$ and $D \cdot S \cdot A$, can solve for each column of V separately in $2^k \cdot \text{poly}(sk)$ time by guessing all 2^k possibilities and choosing the best one
 - Given V , we can then solve for each row of U separately in $2^k \cdot \text{poly}(sk)$ time, where the i -th row U_i is the minimizer to $|U_i \cdot V - A|_p^p$. Overall, we'd get $\Theta(1)$ -approximation
 - **But we don't know $D \cdot S \cdot U^*$ and $D \cdot S \cdot A$**

Guess a Sketch Framework [RSW]

- **Theorem:** Let $s = k^{\lceil \frac{p}{2} \rceil + 1} \log k$. There is a subset $S \cdot A$ of s rows of A , and an $s \times s$ diagonal matrix D with entries in $\{1, 2, 4, 8, \dots, ns\}$, with $\forall V \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}, \quad |D \cdot S \cdot U^*V - D \cdot S \cdot A|_p^p = \Theta(1) \cdot |U^*V - A|_p^p$
-

- $S \cdot U^*$ is binary and $s \times k \Rightarrow$ only 2^{sk} possibilities
- D is $s \times s$ diagonal $s \times s$ with entries in $\{1, 2, 4, 8, \dots, ns\} \Rightarrow$ only $(\log(ns))^s$ possibilities
- Try all $S \cdot U^*$ and $D \Rightarrow$ only $(\log(ns))^s \cdot 2^{sk} \leq 2^{O(sk)} \text{poly}(n)$ possibilities
- **But $S \cdot A$ can be an arbitrary $s \times n$ binary matrix, too many possibilities**

Preconditioning via Clustering

- If A had only 2^k distinct rows, then there are only 2^{sk} possibilities for $S \cdot A$, and only $(\log n)^s 2^{sk} \leq 2^{O(sk)} \text{poly}(n)$ possibilities for $D \cdot S \cdot A$
- [CGTS] Given a set P of n points in \mathbb{R}^d , there is an algorithm running in $\text{poly}(nd)$ time which outputs (C_1, \dots, C_{2^k}) and (c_1, \dots, c_{2^k}) , with $c_i \in P$, and

$$\sum_{i=1, \dots, 2^k} \sum_{x \in C_i} |x - c_i|_p^p \leq \kappa_p \cdot \text{OPT}_{2^k}$$

where κ_p depends only on p , and OPT_{2^k} is the optimal 2^k -clustering cost

- Let B be the $m \times n$ matrix whose i -th row is the nearest center c_j to A_i
- **B is binary and has only 2^k distinct rows. Replace A with B !**

Putting it All Together

- Let $U' \cdot V'$ be an $O(1)$ -approximate binary low rank approximation to B
- Let $U^* \cdot V^*$ be an optimal binary low rank approximation to A
- Let OPT be the optimal binary low rank approximation cost to A

- $|A - U' \cdot V'|_p \leq |A - B|_p + |B - U' \cdot V'|_p$
 $\leq |A - B|_p + |B - U^* \cdot V^*|_p$
 $\leq |A - B|_p + |B - A|_p + |A - U^* \cdot V^*|_p$
 $= 2|A - B|_p + \text{OPT}$

- $|A - B|_p = O(1) \text{OPT}$, since any binary low rank matrix has $\leq 2^k$ distinct rows

Conclusions

- For any $p \geq 1$, there's a $2^{(k^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor + 1}) \log k}$ $\text{poly}(mn)$ time algorithm outputting $U \in \{0,1\}^{m \times k}$ and $V \in \{0,1\}^{k \times n}$ for which

$$|U \cdot V - A|_p^p \leq O(1) \cdot \min_{U' \in \{0,1\}^{m \times k}, V' \in \{0,1\}^{k \times n}} |U' \cdot V' - A|_p^p$$

- When $U \cdot V$ is mod 2 product, we show a $2^{O(k^3)}$ $\text{poly}(mn)$ time algorithm outputting $U \in \{0,1\}^{m \times r}$ and $V \in \{0,1\}^{r \times n}$ with

$$|U \cdot V - A|_p^p \leq O(1) \cdot \min_{U' \in \{0,1\}^{m \times r}, V' \in \{0,1\}^{r \times n}} |U' \cdot V' - A|_p^p$$

where $r = O(k \log m)$. Since $U \cdot V$ is binary, error measure doesn't depend on p

- Empirically, we find clustering into k groups instead of 2^k already gives good binary low rank approximations