Assignment 3: Middle End

15-411: Compiler Design

Due Saturday, October 12, 2019 (11:59PM)

Reminder: Assignments have to be completed individually, not in pairs. The complete work must be your own. Hand in your solutions as a PDF file on Gradescope. Please read the late policy for written assignments on the course web page.

Problem 1: Static Semantics, IR Translation (30 points)

In class, we've seen the way that typing judgments are structured. Take, for example, the typing judgment for if statements:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathsf{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash s_1 \ \mathit{valid} \quad \Gamma \vdash s_2 \ \mathit{valid}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{if}(e, s_1, s_2) \ \mathit{valid}}$$

Essentially, the judgment says: for a statement if (e, s_1, s_2) , if e is of type bool in context Γ , and s_1, s_2 are valid in Γ , then the whole if statement is valid in context Γ .

We also have the following rule for the ternary (?) operator:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathsf{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1 ? e_2 : e_3) : \tau}$$

- (a) if statements and the ? operator both branch based on a boolean value. Explain why the rule for the if statement judges the statement to be valid, while the rule for the ? operator judges the expression to have the type τ .
- (b) Suppose we want to add support for integer comparisons to our language syntax. One way to do this is to introduce a new type cmp, which can take on the values lt, eq, and gt. We can also introduce the expression $\mathtt{CMP}(e_1,e_2)$. The CMP operator will take in two integers and evaluate to lt, eq, or gt depending on how the arguments compare to each other.

Finally, we will introduce the statement casecmp (e, s_1, s_2, s_3) . The execution of casecmp (e, s_1, s_2, s_3) evaluates e, and then executes s_1, s_2 , or s_3 if e evaluates to lt, eq, and gt respectively. The following rules begin to describe the statics of our new constructs:

Middle End A3.2

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{lt} : \mathsf{cmp}} \qquad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{eq} : \mathsf{cmp}} \qquad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{gt} : \mathsf{cmp}}$$

Write down the typing judgments for CMP and casecmp.

Problem 2: Stuck in the Middle (30 points)

We generate a *Collatz sequence* c_i , starting from some positive integer n, with the following mathematical definition:

$$a_0 = n$$

$$a_{i+1} = \begin{cases} a_i/2 & \text{if } a_i \text{ is even} \\ 3a_i + 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The *stopping time* of a Collatz sequence is the smallest index i such that $a_i = 1$. It is currently not known if every Collatz sequence reaches 1 (and thereby stops). The following C0 function is intended to compute the *maximum number* in the Collatz sequence for n before it stops.

```
int collatz(int n)
//@requires n >= 1;
{
  int r = n;
  while (n > 1) {
    if (n > r) r = n;
    if (n % 2 == 0)
        n = n / 2;
    else
        n = 3*n + 1;
  }
  return r;
}
```

The following is a valid three-address abstract assembly translation:

Middle End A3.3

```
collatz(n):
    r <- n
    goto .loop
.loop:
    if (n > 1) then .body else .done
.body:
    if (n > r) then .11 else .12
.11:
    r <- n
    goto .12
.12:
    m <- n \% 2
    if (m == 0) then .13 else .14
.13:
    n <- n / 2
    goto .loop
.14:
    n < -n * 3
    n < - n + 1
    goto .loop
.done:
    ret r
```

- (a) Show the control flow graph of the program pictorially, carefully encapsulating each basic block. Label each basic block with the label from the abstract assembly code.
- (b) Convert the abstract assembly program from (a) into SSA.
- (c) Transform your SSA code into minimal SSA.
- (d) Identify the three extended basic blocks in this program, and write what they are.