

Today Basic concepts Implicit free lists

Dynamic Memory Allocation

Allocator maintains heap as collection of variable sized blocks, which are either allocated or free

Types of allocators

Explicit allocator: application allocates and frees space


E.g., malloc and free in C


Implicit allocator: application allocates, but does not free space

E.g. garbage collection in Java, ML, and Lisp

Will discuss simple explicit memory allocation today

The malloc Package #include <stdlib.h> void *malloc(size_t size) Successful: Returns a pointer to a memory block of at least size bytes (typically) aligned to 8-byte boundary If size == 0, returns NULL Unsuccessful: returns NULL (0) and sets errno void free (void *p) Returns the block pointed at by p to pool of available memory p must come from a previous call to malloc or realloc Other functions calloc: Version of malloc that initializes allocated block to zero. realloc: Changes the size of a previously allocated block. sbrk: Used internally by allocators to grow or shrink the heap





Allegation	vomulo	Carne
Allocation E	xampie	
p1 = malloc(4)		
p2 = malloc(5)		
p3 = malloc(6)		
ps - malloc(6)		
free (p2)		
p4 = malloc(2)		

Carnegie Mellon

Constraints

- Applications
 - Can issue arbitrary sequence of malloc and free requests
 - free request must be to a malloc'd block
- Allocators
 - Can't control number or size of allocated blocks
 - Must respond immediately to malloc requests
 - i.e., can't reorder or buffer requests
 - Must allocate blocks from free memory
 - i.e., can only place allocated blocks in free memory
 - Must align blocks so they satisfy all alignment requirements
 - 8 byte alignment for GNU malloc (libc malloc) on Linux boxes
 - Can manipulate and modify only free memory
 - Can't move the allocated blocks once they are malloc'd
 - i.e., compaction is not allowed

.

Performance Goal: Throughput

- Given some sequence of malloc and free requests:
 - $R_0, R_1, ..., R_k, ..., R_{n-1}$
- Goals: maximize throughput and peak memory utilization
 - These goals are often conflicting
- Throughput:
 - Number of completed requests per unit time
 - Example:
 - 5,000 malloc calls and 5,000 free calls in 10 seconds
 - Throughput is 1,000 operations/second

Carnegie Mello

Performance Goal: Peak Memory Utilization

- Given some sequence of malloc and free requests:
 - \blacksquare $R_0, R_1, ..., R_k, ..., R_{n-1}$
- Def: Aggregate payload P_k
 - malloc(p) results in a block with a payload of p bytes
 - After request R_k has completed, the aggregate payload P_k is the sum of currently allocated payloads
- Def: Current heap size H_k
 - Assume H_k is monotonically nondecreasing
 - i.e., heap only grows when allocator uses sbrk
- Def: Peak memory utilization after k requests
 - $U_k = (\max_{i < k} P_i) / H_k$


...


Carnegie Mello

Fragmentation


- Poor memory utilization caused by fragmentation
 - internal fragmentation
 - external fragmentation


12








External Fragmentation Occurs when there is enough aggregate heap memory, but no single free block is large enough p1 = malloc(4) p2 = malloc(5) p3 = malloc(6) free(p2) p4 = malloc(6) Oops! (what would happen now?) Depends on the pattern of future requests Thus, difficult to measure











Implicit List: Finding a Free Block

■ First fit:

Search list from beginning, choose first free block that fits:

- Can take linear time in total number of blocks (allocated and free)
- In practice it can cause "splinters" at beginning of list

Next fit:

- Like first fit, but search list starting where previous search finished
- Should often be faster than first fit: avoids re-scanning unhelpful blocks
- Some research suggests that fragmentation is worse

■ Best fit:

- Search the list, choose the **best** free block: fits, with fewest bytes left over
- Keeps fragments small—usually improves memory utilization
- Will typically run slower than first fit

Implicit List: Allocating in Free Block

Allocating in a free block: splitting

Since allocated space might be smaller than free space, we might want to split the block

Allocating in a free block: splitting

Since allocated space might be smaller than free space, we might want to split the block

Allocating in a free block: splitting

Since allocated space might be smaller than free space, we might want to split the block

Allocating in Free Block

Since allocated space might be smaller than free space, we might want to split the block

Your dadblock (ptr p, int len) {

Int newsize = ((len + 1) >> 1) << 1; // round up to even int oldsize = *p & -2; // mask out low bit // set new length if (newsize < oldsize)

*(p+newsize) = oldsize - newsize; // set length in remaining // part of block

Implicit List: Freeing a Block

Simplest implementation:

Need only clear the "allocated" flag
void free_block(ptr p) { *p = *p & -2 }


But can lead to "false fragmentation"


free (p)


malloc (5) Oops!


There is enough free space, but the allocator won't be able to find it


Implicit List: Coalescing ■ Join (coalesce) with next/previous blocks, if they are free Coalescing with next block logically free (p) gone void free block(ptr p) { $*p = \bar{*p} \& -2;$ // clear allocated flag next = p + *p;// find next block if ((*next & 1) == 0)*p = *p + *next; // add to this block if not allocated But how do we coalesce with previous block?














Disadvantages of Boundary Tags

Internal fragmentation

Can it be optimized?

Which blocks need the footer tag?

What does that mean?

Summary of Key Allocator Policies Placement policy: • First-fit, next-fit, best-fit, etc. Trades off lower throughput for less fragmentation Interesting observation: segregated free lists (next lecture) approximate a best fit placement policy without having to search entire Splitting policy: When do we go ahead and split free blocks? How much internal fragmentation are we willing to tolerate? Coalescing policy: ■ Immediate coalescing: coalesce each time free is called • Deferred coalescing: try to improve performance of free by deferring coalescing until needed. Examples: Coalesce as you scan the free list for malloc • Coalesce when the amount of external fragmentation reaches some threshold

Carnegie Mellor

Implicit Lists: Summary

- Implementation: very simple
- Allocate cost:
 - linear time worst case
- Free cost:
 - constant time worst case
 - even with coalescing
- Memory usage:
 - will depend on placement policy
 - First-fit, next-fit or best-fit
- Not used in practice for malloc/free because of lineartime allocation
 - used in many special purpose applications
- However, the concepts of splitting and boundary tag coalescing are general to all allocators

33