

15-213 Recitation 07

Race Conditions in Tshlab

Sean Stangl (sstangl@andrew.cmu.edu)

October 19, 2009

Outline

- ▶ Announcements / Questions
- ▶ Race Conditions
 - ▶ Abstract Discussion
 - ▶ Examples
- ▶ Race Conditions in Tshlab
 - ▶ `waitpid()`
 - ▶ Solution attempts

Public Service Announcements

- ▶ Tshlab due on Thursday (10/22).
 - ▶ With late days, on Saturday (10/24).
- ▶ Malloclab released Thursday night.
- ▶ Exam 2 is next Thursday (10/29).



Any questions (unrelated to tshlab)?



This recitation is very dense. The material is not simple.
Please ask questions as we go through it.

Imperative Language State

- ▶ Imperative language programmers make assumptions about execution ordering.
 - ▶ `foo(); bar(); baz();` has a known ordering.
- ▶ Each command modifies the *state* of the program.
 - ▶ Programmers also assume that the program is in a certain state *before* a command executes.
 - ▶ Each state assumption is called an **invariant**.
- ▶ This abstraction is a gigantic finite state machine.
- ▶ This abstraction is what is implicitly taught in 15-123.

What is a race condition?

An Extremely Abstract State Example

- ▶ Let $S(A)$ mean "Global State A".
- ▶ Suppose we have the following functions:
 - ▶ $\text{foo}() : S(A) \rightarrow S(B)$
 - ▶ $\text{bar}() : S(B) \rightarrow S(C)$
 - ▶ $\text{baz}() : S(C) \rightarrow S(A)$
- ▶ What is the end state of this code?
 - ▶ $\text{foo}(); \text{bar}(); \text{baz}();$
- ▶ What is the end state of this code?
 - ▶ $\text{foo}(); \text{foo}(); \text{bar}(); \text{baz}();$
 - ▶ What invariants are violated?

What is a race condition?

What is a race condition, then?

- ▶ A race condition is when **concurrent** code asynchronously changes the program state in an unhandled, unexpected, or undesired manner.
 - ▶ We'll have a few examples, of course.
- ▶ Concurrent code is code executing in any one of:
 - ▶ Another thread (in the same process).
 - ▶ Another process (that can change the current process).
 - ▶ Signal handlers (ah-hah!).
 - ▶ ...
- ▶ On the previous slide, the second `foo()` ; could have come from another thread, or in a signal handler!

What is a race condition?

That was the best complete definition I could think of.

- ▶ But it's very abstract.
 - ▶ It may be useful to you when reviewing this recitation later.
- ▶ So we'll go through some simple examples of race conditions.
 - ▶ Think about how the program state has been unwantedly changed.

Consider the following threads, which modify a global `int sum=0`.

Adder Thread 1	Adder Thread 2
<code>add1():</code> <code>int local = sum;</code> <code>local += 3;</code> <code>sum = local;</code>	<code>add2():</code> <code>int local = sum;</code> <code>local += 17;</code> <code>sum = local;</code>

We run both these threads *at the same time*, and then print out the value of `sum`. What are possible values for `sum`?

What we want to have happen:

Time	Adder Thread 1	Adder Thread 2
0	<code>int local = sum; // 0</code>	
1	<code>local += 3; // 3</code>	
2	<code>sum = local; // 3</code>	
3		<code>int local = sum; // 3</code>
4		<code>local += 17; // 20</code>
5		<code>sum = local; // 20</code>

At the end, `sum == 20`.

What could go wrong (1):

Time	Adder Thread 1	Adder Thread 2
0	<code>int local = sum; // 0</code>	
1	<code>local += 3; // 3</code>	
2		<code>int local = sum; // 0</code>
3		<code>local += 17; // 17</code>
4		<code>sum = local; // 17</code>
5	<code>sum = local; // 3</code>	

At the end, `sum == 3`! Hm.

What could go wrong (2):

Time	Adder Thread 1	Adder Thread 2
0		<code>int local = sum; // 0</code>
1		<code>local += 17; // 17</code>
2	<code>int local = sum; // 0</code>	
3	<code>local += 3; // 3</code>	
4	<code>sum = local; // 3</code>	
5		<code>sum = local; // 17</code>

With this ordering, `sum == 17`.

How does this apply to Tshlab?

How does this apply to Tshlab?

- ▶ We don't use threads in Tshlab.
 - ▶ But we do use signal handlers...
- ▶ Consider the code on the next slide, which deals with reaping finished child processes.
 - ▶ Imagine that we want to wait for the foreground process.
 - ▶ Why is the following approach wrong?

Consider this method of waiting for a foreground process.

eval(), Parent	SIGCHLD Handler
<pre>if (fg) { waitpid(child, ...); }</pre>	<pre>pid = waitpid(.., WNOHANG ..); if (pid > 0) { print_status(pid); }</pre>

- ▶ Sometimes this works, and the child status is printed.
- ▶ Sometimes `pid == -1`, and no status is ever printed.
 - ▶ What invariant has been violated?
 - ▶ How can we make sure that the status is always printed?

Well, let's try without `waitpid()`.

eval(), Parent	SIGCHLD Handler
<pre>if (fg) { while(joblist(child)); }</pre>	<pre>pid = waitpid(.., WNOHANG ..); if (pid > 0) { print_status(pid); remove_from_joblist(pid); }</pre>

- ▶ Does this fix the race condition?
- ▶ Is this a good idea?

Maybe this fixes the problem:

eval(), Parent	SIGCHLD Handler
<pre>if (fg) { while(joblist(child)) { sleep(100); } }</pre>	<pre>pid = waitpid(.., WNOHANG ..); if (pid > 0) { print_status(pid); remove_from_joblist(pid); }</pre>

- ▶ Does this work?
- ▶ Is this a good idea?

Introduction



Race Conditions



Tshlab Races



Questions



Questions

Questions?