







Depth-First Search (DFS)Breadth-First Search (BFS)

DFS uses a stack for backtracking. BFS uses a queue for bookkeeping











Classification of Edges

Tree edges - are edges in the DFS

Forward edges – edges (u,v) connecting u to a descendant v in a depth-first tree

Back edges - edges (u,v) connecting u to an ancestor v in a depth-first tree

Cross edges - all other edges

DAG

Theorem.

A directed graph is acyclic iff a DFS yields no back edges.

DAG

Theorem.

A directed graph is acyclic iff a DFS yields no back edges.

Proof.

=>) by contrapositive.

If there is a back edge, the graph is surely cyclic.

Theorem.

A directed graph is acyclic iff a DFS yields no back edges.

Proof.

<=) Suppose there is a cycle.

Let v be the first vertex discovered in the cycle. Let (u, v) be the preceding edge in this cycle. When we push v on the stack, no any vertices on the cycle were discovered yet. Thus, vertex u becomes a descendent of v in DFS. Therefore, (u, v) is a back edge.

for all v in V do num[v] = 0, stack[v]=false for all v in V do if num[v]==0 DFS(v) k = 0;

DFS(v) { k++; num[v] = k; stack[v]=true for all w in adj(v) do if num[w]==0 DFS(w) else if num[w] > num[v] else if stack[w] else stack [v]=false

tree edge forward edge back edge cross edge









Biconnected Component Algorithm

- It is based on a DFS
- We assume that G is undirected and connected.
- We cannot distinguish between forward and back edges
- Also there are no cross edges (!)

Find articulation point: an observation If for some child, there is no back edge going to an ancestor of u, then u is an articulation point. We need to keep a track of back edges! We keep a track of back edge that goes <u>higher</u> in the tree.

Find articulation point: next observation

What about the root? Can it be an articulation point?

DFS root must have two or more children

Biconnected Component Algorithm

- Run DFS
- When we reach a dead end, we will back up. On the way up, we will discover back edges. They will tell us how far in the tree we could have gone.
- These back edges indicate a cycle in the graph. All nodes in a cycle must be in the same component.

Bookkeeping

- For each vertex we will store two indexes. One is the counter of nodes we have visited so far dfs[v]. Second - the back index low[v].
- <u>Definition</u>.

low[v] is the DFS number of the lowest numbered vertex x (i.e. highest in the tree) such that there is a back edge from some descendent of v to x.

How to compute low[v]?

 Tree edge (u, v) low[u] = min(low[u], low[v])
 Vertices u and v are in the same cycle.

 Back edge (u, v) low[u] = min(low[u], dfs[v])

If the edge goes to a lower dfs value then the previous back edge, make this the new low.

How to test for articulation point?

Using low[u] value we can test whether u is an articulation point.

If for some child, there is no back edge going to an ancestor of u, then u is an articulation point.

If there was a back edge from child v, than low[v] < dfs[u].

It follows, u is an articulation point iff it has a child v such that low[v] >= dfs[u].



Theorem : Let G = (V, E) be a connected, undirected graph and S be a depth-first tree of G. Vertex x is an articulation point of G if and only if one of the following is true:

(1) x is the root of S and x has two or more children in S.

(2) x is not the root and for some child s of x, there is no back edge between any descendant of s (including s itself) and a proper ancestor of x.



Theorem : Let G = (V, E) be a connected, undirected graph and S be a depth-first tree of G. Vertex x is an articulation point of G if and only if one of the following is true:

(2) x is not the root and for some child s of x, there is no back edge between any descendant of s (including s itself) and a proper ancestor of x.

Proof: =>) If x is an articulation vertex, then removing it will disconnect child s from the parent of x.

<=) If there is no such s, then x is not articulation point. To see this, suppose v_0 is the parent and $v_1,...,v_k$ are all children. By our assumption, there exists a path from v_i to v_0 . They are in the same connected components. Removing x, won't disconnect the graph.



