

Graphs Traversal
Visiting all vertices in a systematic order.
for all $v$ in $V$ do visited[ $v$ ] = false
for all $v$ in $V$ do if !visited[v] traversal( $v$ )
traversal(v) \{
$O(V+E)$
visited[v] = true
for all $w$ in $\operatorname{adj}(v)$
do if !visited[w] traversal(w)
\}


## Applications of DFS

- Determine the connected components of a graph
- Find cycles in a graph
- Determine if a graph is bipartite.
- Topologically sort in a directed graph
- Find the biconnected components


## Topological Sorting

Find an ordering of the vertices such that all edges go forward in the ordering.

It's easy to see that such an ordering exists. Find a vertex with zero in-degree. Print it, delete it from the graph, and repeat.


Complexity-?
$P Q$ wrt in-degrees. $O(E \log V)$

## Topological Sorting with DFS

DFS (v) \{
visited[ v$]=$ true
for all $w$ in $\operatorname{adj}(v)$ do if !visited[w] DFS (w):
print(v):
\}
Do DFS:
Reverse the order:


Complexity-?

$$
O(E+V)
$$

## Classification of Edges

Tree edges - are edges in the DFS
Forward edges - edges (u,v) connecting u to a descendant $v$ in a depth-first tree
Back edges - edges (u,v) connecting $u$ to an ancestor $v$ in a depth-first tree

Cross edges - all other edges

## DAG

Theorem.
A directed graph is acyclic iff a DFS yields no back edges.

## DAG

Theorem.
A directed graph is acyclic iff a DFS yields no back edges.

Proof.
=>) by contrapositive
If there is a back edge, the graph is surely cyclic.

Theorem.
A directed graph is acyclic iff a DFS yields no back edges.

## Proof.

<) Suppose there is a cycle.
Let $v$ be the first vertex discovered in the cycle. Let ( $u, v$ ) be the preceding edge in this cycle. When we push $v$ on the stack, no any vertices on the cycle were discovered yet. Thus, vertex $u$ becomes a descendent of $v$ in DFS. Therefore, $(u, v)$ is a back edge.
for all $v$ in $V$ do num $[v]=0$, stack[v]=false
for all $v$ in $V$ do if num $[v]==0 \operatorname{DFS}(v)$
k = 0;
DFS(v) \{
$k++; n u m[v]=k ;$ stack[v]=true
for all $w$ in $\operatorname{adj}(v)$ do
if num $[w]==0$ DFS $(w) \quad$ tree edge
else if num[w] > num[v] forward edge
else if stack[w] back edge
else cross edge
stack[v]=false
\}


## Biconnected Component Algorithm

- It is based on a DFS
- We assume that $G$ is undirected and connected.
- We cannot distinguish between forward and back edges
- Also there are no cross edges ()

Find articulation point:
next observation

What about the root?
Can it be an articulation point?

DFS root must have two or more children

## Bookkeeping

- For each vertex we will store two indexes. One is the counter of nodes we have visited so far dfs[v]. Second - the back index low[v].
- Definition.
low[v] is the DFS number of the lowest numbered vertex $x$ (i.e. highest in the tree) such that there is a back edge from some descendent of $v$ to $x$.


## Biconnected Component Algorithm

- Run DFS
- When we reach a dead end, we will back up. On the way up, we will discover back edges. They will tell us how far in the tree we could have gone.
- These back edges indicate a cycle in the graph. All nodes in a cycle must be in the same component.

- 

How to compute low[v]?

- Tree edge $(u, v)$
low[u] = min (low[u], low[v])
Vertices $u$ and $v$ are in the same cycle.
- Back edge ( $u, v)$
low[u] = min (low[u], dfs[v])
If the edge goes to a lower dfs value then
the previous back edge, make this the new low.


## How to test for articulation point?

Using low[u] value we can test whether $u$ is an articulation point.

If for some child, there is no back edge going to an ancestor of $u$, then $u$ is an articulation point.

If there was a back edge from child $v$,
than low[v]<dfs[u].
It follows, $u$ is an articulation point iff it has a child $v$ such that low[v] >= $d f s[u]$.

Theorem : Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected, undirected graph and $S$ be a depth-first tree of $G$. Vertex $x$ is an articulation point of $G$ if and only if one of the following is true:
(1) $x$ is the root of $S$ and $x$ has two or more children in $S$.
(2) $x$ is not the root and for some child $\sin$ of $x$, there is no back edge between any descendant of $s$ (including $s$ itself) and a proper ancestor of $x$.

Theorem : Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected, undirected graph and $S$ be a depth-first tree of $G$. Vertex $x$ is an articulation point of $G$ if and only if one of the following is true:
(2) $x$ is not the root and for some child $s$ of $x$, there is no back edge between any descendant of 's (including $s$ itself) and a proper ancestor of $x$.

Proof: =>) If $x$ is an articulation vertex, then removing it will disconnect child s from the parent of $x$.
<) If there is no such $s$, then $x$ is not articulation point. To see this, suppose $v_{0}$ is the parent and $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}$ are all children. By our assumption, there exists a path from $v_{i}$ to $v_{o}$. They are in the same connected components. Removing $x$, won't disconnect the graph.


Theorem : Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected, undirected graph and $S$ be a depth-first tree of $G$. Vertex $x$ is an articulation point of $G$ if and only if one of the following is true:
(1) $x$ is the root of $S$ and $x$ has two or more children in $S$.



