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Question 1 [25]: Short Answer
Answer the following questions in the space provided.

α-equivalence

(a) (5 points) Rewrite the following expression so that variables that refer to different binding sites have different names.

\[
\lambda x : \text{nat}.
\begin{align*}
&\text{let } w \text{ be } \lambda x : \text{nat}. s x \\
&\text{in let } y \text{ be } \lambda y : \text{nat}. \lambda x : \text{nat}. \text{rec}(y, x, y.x.w x) \\
&\text{in } y x x
\end{align*}
\]

Gödel’s T

(a) (4 points) The number of moves to solve the Tower of Hanoi puzzle is given by the following recurrence relation, where \( n \) is the number of disks:

\[
\begin{aligned}
H(0) &= 1 \\
H(n + 1) &= 2H(n) + 1
\end{aligned}
\]

Define an expression \( \text{hanoi} : \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{nat} \) in Gödel’s T such that \( \text{hanoi} \ \overline{n} \rightarrow^* \overline{H(n)} \) for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). You may assume that the function \( \text{plus} : \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{nat} \) implementing addition has been defined for you.
PCF

(a) (6 points) Recall the definition of the binomial coefficient as read off Pascal’s triangle:

\[
\begin{align*}
P(n, 0) &= 1 \\
P(0, k + 1) &= 0 \\
P(n + 1, k + 1) &= P(n, k) + P(n, k + 1)
\end{align*}
\]

Define an expression \( \text{coef} : \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{nat} \) in PCF such that \( \text{coef} \ k \ k \mapsto P(n, k) \) for any \( n, k \in \mathbb{N} \). You may assume that the function \( \text{plus} : \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{nat} \) implementing addition has been defined for you.

Recursive Types

In this following exercises, consider the extension of PCF with sums, products and recursive types (and nothing else).

The type of a \textit{stream processor} would be written as follows in an SML-like language:

\[
\text{datatype SP} = \text{Get} \ of \ \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{SP} \\
| \text{Put} \ of \ \text{nat} \ \ast \ \text{SP}
\]

(a) (2 points) Define the type \text{SP} using sums, products and recursive types.
The following stream processor, written in SML-like syntax, increments every element of a stream of natural numbers by one:\footnote{In SML, the keywords \texttt{val rec} allow defining recursive functions without using \texttt{fun}. SML would however reject this example because \texttt{val rec} can be used \textit{only} with functions.}

\begin{verbatim}
val rec adds : SP = Get (fn x => Put (s x, adds))
\end{verbatim}

It reads an element, \(x\), outputs \(s\ x\) (the successor of \(x\)), and then calls itself recursively. Define \texttt{adds} in PCF using the recursive type you defined in the previous exercise. Please use the abstract syntax forms for sums in your answer, writing, for example, \texttt{in}\([\tau_1][\tau_2][l](e_1)\) for an injection of \(e_1\) into the left half of the sum type \(\tau_1 + \tau_2\).

\textbf{Modernized Algol}

(a) (5 points) Define the commands \texttt{newcounter(a.m)} and \texttt{getcounter[a]} such that the first time \texttt{getcounter[a]} is encountered, it evaluates to \texttt{ret}(z). The second time, \texttt{ret(s z)}. Etc..
Question 2 [25]: Classes and Casts

Let us consider the following variation on typing for objects that is found in common object-oriented languages. The focus of attention in this question is on the types of objects created using the `new` construct; it does not concern the material in Chapter 25 of PFPL.

\[
\text{Typ } \tau ::= \text{obj} \\
| \text{obj}[c] \\
\text{Exp } e ::= \text{new}[c](e) \\
| \text{data}[c](e)
\]

There are two classes, `cart` and `pol`. Associated with each class, `c`, is a type, `\(\tau_c\)`, of the instance data for that class. For example, with the two classes just mentioned, we have

\[
\tau_{\text{cart}} = \langle x \mapsto \text{real}, y \mapsto \text{real} \rangle \\
\tau_{\text{pol}} = \langle \rho \mapsto \text{real}, \theta \mapsto \text{real} \rangle
\]

The type `\text{obj}[c]` represents the type of objects whose class is known to be `c`, and whose instance data is therefore known to be of type `\(\tau_c\)`. Thus we have the following two typing rules for introducing and eliminating objects:

\[
\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_c \\
\Gamma \vdash \text{new}[c](e) : \text{obj}[c] \\
\Gamma \vdash e : \text{obj}[c] \\
\Gamma \vdash \text{data}[c](e) : \tau_c
\]

Notice that, so far, we have not made use of the type `\text{obj}`, but only of the types `\text{obj}[c]` for a known class `c`.

The dynamics is completely straightforward, with the key rule expressing the inverse relation between the introduction and elimination forms:

\[
\frac{e \text{ val}}{\text{new}[c](e) \text{ val}} \\
\frac{e \text{ val}}{\text{data}[c](\text{new}[c](e)) \mapsto e}
\]

(a) (5 points) State the canonical forms theorem for the type `\text{obj}[c]`:

(b) (5 points) The above type system has a fundamental problem that arises when we consider conditional expressions of the form `if e then e_1 else e_2`, where `e : \text{bool}`, `e_1` is a polar point, and `e_2` is a Cartesian point. If `e_1` and `e_2` are of different classes, the conditional is ill-typed. Give a one-line example of such an expression:
(c) (5 points) The usual solution to this problem is to introduce an *up-cast* that allows us to weaken the type of an object by “forgetting” its class:

\[
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{obj}[c]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{up}[c](e) : \text{obj}}
\]

The type `obj` represents the type of any object at all, regardless of its class. Rewrite the preceding example using upcasts so that the overall type of the conditional is `obj`:

(d) (5 points) The dynamics of up-casting states that it forms a value of type `obj`:

\[
\frac{e \text{ val}}{\text{up}[c](e) \text{ val}}
\]

State the canonical forms theorem for the type `obj`:

(e) (5 points) Up-casting solves the problem of the conditional, but it also introduces another problem: if you know only that an object has type `obj`, you cannot recover its instance data. Specifically, if `e : \text{obj}`, then `\text{data}[c](e)` is ill-typed. The usual solution to this problem is to introduce a *down-cast* that allows us to recover the class of an object:

\[
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{obj}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{down}[c](e) : \text{obj}[c]}
\]

Give a dynamics for down-cast that preserves (does not disrupt) the canonical forms property for the types `\text{obj}[c]` stated earlier. Be sure to take account of the possibility of down-casting an object of the wrong class!
Question 3 [25]: Databases

We consider a simple abstraction of a relational database in which the type $\text{db}(\tau)$ represents a database whose schema is given by the type $\tau$. The schema may be any type at all, but in practice it is often a labeled product type of the form

$$\langle l_1 \hookrightarrow \tau_1, \ldots, l_n \hookrightarrow \tau_n \rangle.$$ 

The labels are the columns, or attributes, of the database, and the corresponding types describe the data in each column. For example, $\langle \text{id} \hookrightarrow \text{nat}, \text{salary} \hookrightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$ might be the schema of a database with two columns.

Many programming languages provide access to a database through the three constructs specified by the following grammar snippet:

$$e ::= \text{empty}_\tau \mid \text{stash}(e_0, e_1) \mid \text{dbrec}(e, e_0, x.y.e_1) \mid \ldots$$

$\text{empty}_\tau$ creates a new database with schema $\tau$ without anything in it. $\text{stash}(e_0, e_1)$ adds the value of $e_0$ as an entry in the database $e_1$. Last, the iterator, $\text{dbrec}(e, e_0, x.y.e_1)$ permits computing with the entries in the database $e$: here $e_0$ is the computation to be performed when this database is empty while $x.y.e_1$ describe what to do when it is not. In this case, $x$ will be bound to some row in the database, $y$ will be the result of processing the rest of the database, and $e_1$ is an expression that carries out this computation when the database is not empty.

The typing rules for $\text{empty}_\tau$ and $\text{stash}$ are as follows:

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{empty}_\tau : \text{db}(\tau) \quad \text{empty} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{db}(\tau) \quad \text{stash} \quad \Gamma \vdash \text{stash}(e_1, e_2) : \text{db}(\tau)$$

You will be asked to write the typing rule for $\text{dbrec}$, but first read on.

The dynamic semantics of the database operators are given by the following rules:

- $\text{val} \quad e_0 \mapsto e'_0 \quad \text{v_empty}$
- $\text{val} \quad e_0 \mapsto e'_0 \quad \text{v_stash}$
- $\text{val} \quad \text{stash}(e_0, e_1) \mapsto \text{stash}(e'_0, e_1) \quad \text{s_stash}$
- $\text{val} \quad \text{dbrec}(e, e_0, x.y.e_1) \mapsto \text{dbrec}(e', e_0, x.y.e_1) \quad \text{s_dbrec1}$
- $\text{val} \quad \text{dbrec}(\text{empty}_\tau, e_0, x.y.e_1) \mapsto e_0 \quad \text{s_dbrec2}$
- $\text{val} \quad \text{dbrec}(\text{stash}(e, e'), e_0, x.y.e_1) \mapsto \left[ \text{dbrec}(e', e_0, x.y.e_1)/y \right] e_1 \quad \text{s_dbrec3}$
(a) (5 points) Write the typing rule for \texttt{dbrec}.

(b) (5 points) Define a function \texttt{union} : \texttt{db}(\tau) \to \texttt{db}(\tau) \to \texttt{db}(\tau) that, given two databases with the same schema, creates a database containing the entries of both.

(c) (5 points) Give a definition for the function \texttt{project}[l_i] of type

\[
\texttt{db}(\langle l_1 \leftrightarrow \tau_1, \ldots, l_n \leftrightarrow \tau_n \rangle) \to \texttt{db}(\langle l_i \leftrightarrow \tau_i \rangle)
\]

(where \(1 \leq i \leq n\)) that computes the projection of a database on the column labeled \(l_i\).

(d) (5 points) Give a definition of the function \texttt{select} of type

\[
(\tau \to \texttt{bool}) \to \texttt{db}(\tau) \to \texttt{db}(\tau)
\]

that selects those entries of a database that satisfy the given predicate. You can use the standard elimination form for \texttt{bool}'s given by \texttt{if}(e, e_{\text{true}}, e_{\text{false}}).
(e) (5 points) The above definition of `dbrec` does not give the programmer access to the rest of the current database in the recursive case. Define a variant of this construct that permits that, give its typing rule, and the one evaluation rule that is most affected by this change.
Question 4 [25]: Type Safety

In this problem, we will extend PCF with binary trees, and prove safety theorems for this new extension. Of course, PCF can already encode binary trees, but for this problem, we will treat them as a primitive concept.

Syntax

We begin by giving the syntax of our language.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types</th>
<th>( \tau ::= \text{Tree}[\tau] )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expressions</td>
<td>( e ::= \text{nil}[\tau] )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \text{tree}[\tau](e_1; e_2; e_3) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \text{fold}(e_1; e_2; e_3) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The type \( \text{Tree}[\tau] \) is a binary tree in which each node has a piece of associated data of type \( \tau \). Introductory expressions \( \text{nil} \) and \( \text{tree} \) are used to create trees. The eliminatory expression is \( \text{fold} \). This has nothing to do with the \( \text{fold} \) of recursive types, but rather is analogous to the \( \text{fold} \) operation of a list.

Static Semantics

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{nil}[\tau] : \text{Tree}[\tau]} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{Tree}[\tau]} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{Tree}[\tau]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{tree}[\tau](e_1; e_2; e_3) : \text{Tree}[\tau]} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{Tree}[\tau_1]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fold}(e; f; b) : \tau_2} \\
(\text{NIL}) & \quad (\text{TREE}) & \quad (\text{TREE}) & \quad (\text{FOLD})
\end{array}
\]

(\text{Nil}) and (\text{Tree}) are straight-forward constructors of type \( \text{Tree}[\tau] \). We can use an expression \( e \) of type \( \text{Tree}[\tau] \) with \( \text{fold}(e; f; b) \). The argument \( b \) is the base case, or what the expression will evaluate to if \( e = \text{nil}[\tau] \). The argument \( f \) is the aggregation function, which takes the value of the node along with two intermediate results (one for the left subtree and one for the right), and computes the result.
Dynamic Semantics

We will use a lazy semantics for trees. Any tree is a value. Node values and subtrees will only be evaluated when necessary. Therefore, the value judgement for this language is defined as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(NILVAL)} & \quad \text{nil}[\tau] \quad \text{value} \\
\text{(TREEVAL)} & \quad \text{tree}[\tau](e; t_1; t_2) \quad \text{value}
\end{align*}
\]

(a) (5 points) : A canonical forms lemma tells us what we can assume about well-typed values. Complete the following statement (but do not prove):

**Lemma 1.** (Canonical Forms) If \( e : \text{Tree}[\tau] \) and \( e \) value then...

There are three evaluation steps for \( \text{fold} \). The first evaluates the first argument until it is determined if we are trying to use an empty tree or not. The second transition works on the empty tree by returning the argument \( b \). The third transition works on the non-empty tree by first performing a \( \text{fold} \) on the two subtrees, and then combining the results, along with the value of the node, \( e \) by the function \( f \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(FOLDVAL_1)} & \quad e \mapsto e' \\
\text{fold}(e; f; b) \mapsto \text{fold}(e'; f; b) \\
\text{fold}(\text{nil}[\tau]; f; b) \mapsto b \\
\text{fold}(\text{tree}[	au](e; e_1; e_2); f; b) \mapsto f \ e \ (\text{fold}(e_1; f; b)) \ (\text{fold}(e_2; f; b))
\end{align*}
\]

Working with Trees

The elimination form for trees, \( \text{fold} \), is analogous to the fold operation of a list in ML. Example: If \( e : \text{Tree}[\text{nat}] \), then

\[
\text{sum}(e) = \text{fold}(e; (\lambda x : \text{nat}. \lambda y : \text{nat}. \lambda z : \text{nat}. x + y + z); 0)
\]

will compute the sum of all nodes in the tree.

(b) (5 points) : Write the expression \( \text{map}[\tau_1][\tau_2](f) \), where \( f : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \) maps one element of the tree to another, and \( \text{map}[\tau_1][\tau_2](f) : \text{Tree}[\tau_1] \rightarrow \text{Tree}[\tau_2] \) lifts the operation to act on trees.
Theorem 1. (Progress) If $e : \tau$ then either $e$ value or $e \mapsto e'$ for some $e'$.

(c) (3 points) case (Nil):

(d) (2 points) case (Tree):

(e) (10 points) case (Fold):
   (Hint: When appealing to the inductive hypothesis, there are two subcases to consider.)
Scratch Work:
Scratch Work: