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Software Architecture

A software system’s architecture is the set of principal design decisions made about the system [TMD08].

Architecture typically focuses on views of a system’s structure: how it decomposes into elements, the externally visible properties of those elements, and the relationships among them – adapted from [BCK03].

BUT architecture includes all principal design decisions, not just structure.

Architectural questions:
- What are the entities (modules, servers, threads, objects) in a system and connections between them?
- Does this change over time? If so, how?
- How are entity interactions constrained?
  - Communication: types, protocols, synchronization
  - Extension rules (e.g. for framework plug-ins)
  - Architectural patterns/styles (e.g. pipe-and-filter, repository, 3-tier)

System composition and evolution rules:
-...
Design vs. Architecture

Design Questions
• How to I add a menu item in Eclipse?
• What lock protects this data?
• How does Google rank pages?
• What encoder should I use for secure communication?
• What is the interface between objects?

Architectural Questions
• How do I extend Eclipse with a plugin?
• What threads exist and how do they coordinate?
• How does Google scale to billions of hits per day?
• Where should I put my firewalls?
• What is the interface between subsystems?
Objects [Dahl and Nygaard ’67]
Patterns [Gamma, Helm, Johnson, and Vlissides ’95]
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Diagram of software architecture patterns:
- Factory
- View
- Observer
- Model / Subject
- Controller
- Command

Diagram showing relationships among these patterns.
Patterns [Gamma, Helm, Johnson, and Vlissides ’95]
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Architecture

[Garlan and Shaw 1993]
Rationale for Architecture

- Need for an additional level of abstraction
  - System-wide decomposition
    - Allow teams to work independently on subsystems
    - Specify interfaces before full detailed design is known
  - System-wide design constraints
    - Avoid different conventions for each subsystem
      - and therefore avoid interface mismatch
  - Promote system-wide quality attributes
    - Design pattern are often at too low a level of abstraction to affect system-level quality attributes
    - e.g. reliability requires end-to-end reasoning
Architecture is an Abstraction

• Focus on **principal** design decisions
  • **Structure** – components and connections
  • **Behavior** – responsibilities of each component, high level algorithms
  • **Interaction** – rules governing how components communicate
  • **Quality attributes** – strategy for achieving
  • **Implementation** – language, platform, libraries, etc.

• *Any decision that impacts key stakeholder concerns or has global impact on the program*

• **Elide unimportant details**
  • Decisions that are **internal to a component**
    • i.e. which other components cannot depend on
    • e.g. internal algorithms, data structures, local design patterns
  • AND do not impact **key stakeholder concerns**

*Architecture is design, but not all design is architectural*
Levels of Abstraction

- **Requirements**
  - high-level “what”

- **Architecture**
  - high-level “how”, mid-level “what”

- **Detailed design** (e.g. design patterns)
  - mid-level “how”, low-level “what”

- **Code**
  - low-level “how”
Architecture as Communication

• What are major system goals, and how are they achieved?
  • Stakeholders ↔ Developers
  • Case study:
    After two days of requirements presentation, a client sees an architecture diagram, realizes he doesn’t understand something, and begins the first productive conversation about what the system is supposed to do
    – Software Architecture in Practice, pp 27-28

• What guidelines must be followed for independently developed components to work together?
  • Developers ↔ Developers
Benefits of Architecture [BCK03]

- Aids in communication with stakeholders
  - Shows them “how” at a level they can understand, raising questions about whether it meets their needs
- Defines constraints on implementation
  - Design decisions form “load-bearing walls” of application
- Dictates organizational structure
  - Teams work on different components
- Inhibits or enables quality attributes
  - Similar to design patterns
- Supports predicting cost, quality, and schedule
  - Typically by predicting information for each component
- Aids in software evolution
  - Reason about cost, design, and effect of changes
- Aids in prototyping
  - Can implement architectural skeleton early
Business Case: Cell Phones [M. Bass]

- Market is driven by killer products
  - e.g. Razr, iPhone
- Most profit is made at initial release
  - Premium charged on initial sales
  - Drops rapidly when copycats arrive
- Business model
  - Be first to market with new features
- Software quality attributes
  - Ability to change rapidly and at low cost
- True story: effect of architecture
  - Leading cell phone manufacturer
    - not enough new products
    - starts to lose market share, decides to release faster
    - leads to trouble: e.g. tone so loud it damages hearing \( \rightarrow \) recalls
- Analysis
  - software structure did not enable rapid change
  - too costly to rewrite software from scratch
  - eventually left cell phone business entirely
More is Not Necessarily Better [M. Bass]

- Domain: mobile infotainment
  - Key quality attribute was Modifiability

- Architecture
  - Chose very general, heavyweight framework that promoted modifiability

- Results
  - Too much resource use
  - Unstable, overly complex system
  - Full generality of architecture was not needed

- Lessons
  - Every architectural decision has a cost
  - Ensure decisions are traceable to a business goal
    - Make quality attributes concrete with scenarios
    - Prioritize quality attribute scenarios
Architectural Drivers

- Functional requirements
  - What the system is supposed to do
- Quality attributes
  - How the system does what it does
- Technical constraints
  - Design decisions you have to work around
    - e.g. Google has 4 approved programming languages
    - e.g. Must use platform X to interoperate with legacy code
- Business constraints
  - e.g. must deliver the product in time for Christmas

- Quality attributes typically drive the architecture
  - But allocation of functionality, technical and business constraints cannot be ignored
Architectural Drivers: Amazon.com

- Functional requirements?
- Technical constraints?
- Quality attributes?
- Business constraints?
Amazon.com

• Which problem is most likely to drive you away?
  • The site was unavailable
  • It was unable to give you recommendations
  • Prices were 10% higher than at the competition
  • Your stored credit card information was stolen
  • You had to wait for 30 seconds after each click
Amazon.com

- Which problem is most likely to drive you away?
  - The site was unavailable
    - Reliability / Scalability
  - It was unable to give you recommendations
    - Functionality
  - Prices were 10% higher than at the competition
    - Maintainability
  - Your stored credit card information was stolen
    - Security
  - You had to wait for 30 seconds after each click
    - Performance / Scalability

- What’s more important: functionality or quality attributes?
Architectural Drivers: Functional Requirements

- Typically specified as use cases

- Architectural role
  - Assign functionality to components
  - Ensure no functionality is forgotten
  - Ensure adequate connections
    - Communication necessary to perform tasks
Architectural Drivers: Business Constraints

- Imposed by the organization, the marketplace, or business issues

- Examples
  - Time to market
  - Cost
  - Available personnel and expertise
  - Required standards & certifications
Architectural Drivers: Technical Constraints

- Externally imposed design decisions

Examples
- Language
- Platform (EJB, ASP.NET)
- Standards
- Interoperability with legacy systems
Documenting Constraints [Lattanze/M. Bass]

- Record
  - Rationale for constraint
  - Stakeholder originating constraint
  - Flexibility of constraint
  - Alternatives considered

- Typically no notion of priority, as there is no choice in the matter!
Quality Attributes

• The system shall be...
  • Modifiable For what changes? At what cost?
  • Efficient How much performance is enough?
  • Reliable What percentage? In what scenarios?
  • Scalable To what level? At what cost?
  • Usable To whom? For what? Is this architectural?
  • Maintainable In what scenarios? At what cost?

And which of these are most important? e.g. Reliability probably imposes efficiency costs.

• Great, now let’s design!
  • Or are we really ready for that?