1 Announcements

• Lab 1 – ParenLab, has been released! It is due next Monday, September 8th.

2 Parenthesis Matching

We define the parenthesis matching problem as follows:

• **Input:** a character sequence \( s \) : char seq, where each \( s_i \) is either “(” or “)”. For instance, we could get a parenthesis-matched sequence

\[
s = ((),(),())
\]

or an unmatched one

\[
t = ()(),()
\]

• **Output:** \text{true} if \( s \) represents a parenthesis-matched string and \text{false} otherwise. In the above examples, the algorithm should output \text{true} on input \( s \) and \text{false} on input \( t \).

To simplify the presentation, we will be working with a paren data type instead of characters. Specifically, we will write a function of type paren seq \( \rightarrow \) bool that determines whether the input is a well-formed parenthesis expression (i.e. it is a parenthesis-matched sequence). The type paren is given by:

```plaintext
datatype paren = OPAREN | CPAREN
```

where \text{OPAREN} represents an open parenthesis and \text{CPAREN} represents a close parenthesis.
2.1 Exercise

We'll begin by looking for a sequential solution (no parallelism yet!). In the space below, write the function \texttt{parenMatch : paren seq -> bool}. You should strive for \(O(n)\) work and span, where \(n\) is the length of the input sequence. You might find the \texttt{SEQUENCE} function \texttt{iter} useful in this example:

\begin{verbatim}
val iter : ('b * 'a -> 'b) -> 'b -> 'a seq -> 'b
\end{verbatim}

\(O(n)\) work and span is pretty good, but we can do better!

3 Divide and Conquer

As you have already seen in previous classes, divide and conquer is a powerful technique in algorithms design that often leads to efficient parallel algorithms. A typical divide and conquer algorithm consists of 3 main steps (1) divide, (2) recurse, and (3) combine.

To follow this recipe, we first need to answer the question: how should we divide up the sequence? We'll first try the simplest choice, which is to split it in half—and attempt to merge the results together somehow. This leads to the next question: what would the recursive calls return?

Let's try returning whether the given sequence is well-formed. Clearly, if both \(s_1\) and \(s_2\) are well-
formed expressions, $s_1$ concatenated with $s_2$ must be a well-formed expression. However, we could have $s_1$ and $s_2$ such that neither of which is well-formed but $s_1s_2$ is well-formed (e.g., “(((“ and “)))”). This is not enough information to conclude whether $s_1s_2$ is well-formed.

We need more information from the recursive calls. You are probably already familiar with a similar situation from mathematical induction—you often need to strengthen the inductive hypothesis. We’ll rely crucially on the following observations (which can be formally proven by induction):

**Observation 3.1.** If $s$ contains “()” as a substring, then $s$ is a well-formed parenthesis expression **if and only if** $s'$ derived by removing this pair of parenthesis “()” from $s$ is a well-formed expression.

**Observation 3.2.** If $s$ does **not** contain “()” as a substring, then $s$ has the form “$)$(”. That is, it is a sequence of close parens followed by a sequence of open parens.

### 3.1 Splitting a sequence in half

The sequence library provides a conceptual view of sequences called `treeview`, which lends itself quite nicely to divide-and-conquer algorithms. We have

```plaintext
datatype 'a treeview =
    EMPTY
  | ELT of 'a
  | NODE of ('a seq * 'a seq)
```

as well as a means for examining a sequence in `treeview`:

```plaintext
val showt : 'a seq -> 'a treeview
```

Essentially, `showt` splits the sequence $s$ approximately in half and returns both halves as sequences, provided that the input sequence is at least of length 2. The two base cases are for empty and singleton sequences.

### 3.2 Calling functions in parallel

We introduce a function

```plaintext
val par : (unit -> 'a) * (unit -> 'b) -> 'a * 'b
```

Specifically, `par (f, g)` is logically equivalent to $(f (), g ())$ for functions $f$ and $g$. You should use `par` to indicate which functions should be run in parallel.
3.3 Exercise

Using the above observations and code recommendations, implement the function `parenMatch : paren seq -> bool` using a divide-and-conquer strategy. You should strive for $O(n)$ work and $O(\log n)$ span. (Note that `showt` is $O(1)$ work and span).
3.4 Exercise

Now that you've made some recurrences, let's solve some! Solve the recurrences below, finding a closed form and a tight big-O bound for each. You may assume that $T(1) = T(2) = 1$ for each one. The starred problems are hard!

1. $T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + O(n)$
2. $T(n) = 4T(\frac{n}{2}) + O(n)$
3. $T(n) = T(\frac{n}{2}) + O(\log n)$
4. $T(n) = 2\sqrt{n}T(\sqrt{n}) + O(n)$ *
5. $T(n) = 9T(\frac{n}{3}) + 8T(\frac{n}{2}) + n$ *
3.5 Bonus

Help! Everyone in the world has been infected by a deadly virus and the CDC needs to test their experimental cure on someone who’s moderately sick. They want to find the median sick person. However, time is of the essence! Thus they’re thinking of dividing up everyone into small groups of size $b$, and then using a divide and conquer algorithm to find someone close to the median sickness.

Their lab can sort people in a group of size $b$ in order of how sick they are in $O(b)$ time, but their machinery becomes hardwired to groups of size $b$ after 1 use! The upside is, they don’t need to be too accurate, they just want someone who is both more sick and less sick than $c \times n^{0.7}$ people ($n$ is very large), where $c$ is some constant, and $n$ is the total number of people in the world. So describe the algorithm and find us the smallest $b$ for the CDC to be satisfied!