Welcome to the Spring 2014 edition of Optimizing Compilers (15-745). We will be using the Low Level Virtual Machine (LLVM) Compiler infrastructure from University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign (UIUC) for our programming assignments. While LLVM is currently supported on a number of hardware platforms, we expect the assignments to be completed on x86 machines, since that is where they will be graded. We strongly recommended that assignments be done in the Linux VM that we provide.

The objective of this first assignment is to introduce you to LLVM and some ways that it can be used to make your programs run faster. In particular, you will use LLVM to analyze code to output interesting properties about your program (Section 3) and to perform local optimizations (Section 4).

Policy

You will work in groups of two people to solve the problems for this assignment. Turn in a single writeup per group, indicating all group members.

Logistics

All clarifications (if any) to this assignment will be posted on the class discussion board on Piazza. Any revisions will be uploaded to the “assignments” page on the class web page.

In the following, HOMEDIR refers to the directory:

/afs/cs.cmu.edu/academic/class/15745-s14/public

and ASSTDIR refers to the subdirectory HOMEDIR/asst/asst1.

1 Install VirtualBox and the 15-745 System Image

To keep you from having to build LLVM yourself and to ensure that all assignments are graded in the same environment, we are distributing a system image for VirtualBox based on Ubuntu 12.04.3 LTS (Precise Pangolin, a Facilities-supported operating system). You must ensure that all of your code works in this image, but you are of course not required to do all of your development with it.

The VirtualBox software is available on several platforms from http://www.virtualbox.org. We will use version 4.3.6. You may need to enable your machine’s virtualization extensions in your BIOS setup (on some office machines, reboot, press F12 to get the boot menu, choose System Setup, then Virtualization Support, then make sure that the box is checked).

Once you have VirtualBox installed, you can retrieve the virtual machine image from
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Command</th>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>clang</td>
<td>-O (the letter)</td>
<td>Set level of optimization performed by clang (to the default)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-O0 (the letter, followed by zero)</td>
<td>Direct clang not to perform any optimization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-emit-llvm</td>
<td>Output an LLVM bytecode object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-c</td>
<td>Output object code, do not fully compile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>llvm-dis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Generate disassembly of LLVM bytecode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>opt</td>
<td>-load</td>
<td>Load the pass found in the corresponding file (file path must be specified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-{passname}</td>
<td>Load the pass with this name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-mem2reg</td>
<td>Load the mem2reg pass, which simplifies LLVM bytecode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-o</td>
<td>Specify the output filename</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: A simplified summary of some of the LLVM commands you will use in this assignment.

/afs/cs.cmu.edu/academic/class/15745-s14/www/vm-images/15-745-s14.ova

There is a checksum file in the same directory, 15-745-s14.ova.sha1, that you may use (sha1sum -c 15-745-s14.ova.sha1) to verify that the image transferred correctly.

The machine name is 15-745-s14; you may log in with username user and password user.

LLVM binaries are in /home/user/llvm/llvm-3.4-install (or LLVM_ROOT) and source files are in /home/user/llvm/llvm-3.4-src. LLVM_ROOT/bin is also added to the PATH.

We built LLVM 3.4 in the following way:

```
mkdir ~/llvm/llvm-3.4-build ; cd ~/llvm/llvm-3.4-build
../llvm-3.4-src/configure --prefix=/home/user/llvm/llvm-3.4-install
make -j 4
make install
```

Moving files between the VM and your host machine is easy using VirtualBox. If you set up a shared directory in the VirtualBox GUI under Settings, Shared Folders (pointing somewhere on your local filesystem). You can mount it in the VM by using the auto-mount option when you set up the shared folder. You can then find the shared folder at

/media/sf_foldername

where foldername is the name of the shared folder.


## 2 Create a Pass

Create a directory named FunctionInfo and copy FunctionInfo.cpp (provided with the assignment) into the new directory. FunctionInfo.cpp contains a dummy LLVM pass for analyzing
the functions in a program. Currently it prints out “15-745 Function Information Pass”. In the next section, you will extend FunctionInfo.cpp to print out more interesting information. For now, we will use the dummy LLVM pass to demonstrate how to build and run LLVM passes on programs. First, use the Makefile we have provided or create a Makefile to build the FunctionInfo pass as follows (these instructions assume that your passes are the only .cpp files in the directory. Make sure that there are tabs on lines 6 and 8 below):

```
all: FunctionInfo.so

CXXFLAGS = -rdynamic $(shell llvm-config --cxxflags all) -g -O0

%.so: %.o
    (CXX) -dylib -flat_namespace -shared $^ -o $@

clean:
    rm -f *.o *~ *.so
```

Before moving on, make sure you can make this dummy pass.

Next, copy the loop.c source code (shown in Figure 1(a)) from ASST-DIR/FunctionInfo/loop.c into your local FunctionInfo directory. Compile it to an optimized LLVM bytecode object (loop.bc) as follows:

```
clang -O -emit-llvm -c loop.c
```

 clang is the LLVM project’s frontend for the C language family.)

Inspect the loop.bc generated bytecode using llvm-dis as follows:

```
llvm-dis loop.bc
```

This will create a disassembly of the loop.bc bytecode named loop.ll that should look very similar to Figure 1(b).

Now, try running the dummy FunctionInfo pass on the bytecode. To do this, use the opt command listed below. Note the use of the command line flag “-function-info” to enable this pass. (See if you can locate the declaration of this flag in FunctionInfo.cpp). Note that you must provide the correct path to FunctionInfo.so. You can use “./” if you are in the same directory.

```
opt -load path/to/FunctionInfo.so -function-info loop.bc -o out
```

If all goes well, “15745 Function Information Pass” should be printed to stderr.

## 3 Meet The Functions

Program analysis is an important prerequisite to applying correct optimizations: we want to improve code, not break it. For example, before the optimizer can remove some piece of code to make a program run faster, it must examine other parts of the program to determine whether
```c
int g;

int g_incr (int c)
{
    g += c;
    return g;
}

int loop (int a, int b, int c)
{
    int i;
    int ret = 0;
    for (i = a; i < b; i++) {
        g_incr (c);
    }
    return ret + g;
}
```

---

@g = common global i32 0, align 4

; Function Attrs: nounwind
define i32 @g_incr(i32 %c) #0 {
    entry:
    %0 = load i32* @g, align 4, !tbaa !1
    %add = add nsw i32 %0, %c
    store i32 %add, i32* @g, align 4, !tbaa !1
    ret i32 %add
}

; Function Attrs: nounwind
define i32 @loop(i32 %a, i32 %b, i32 %c) #0 {
    entry:
    %cmp2 = icmp sgt i32 %b, %a
    %0 = load i32* @g, align 4, !tbaa !1
    br i1 %cmp2, label %for.body.lr.ph, label %for.end

    for.body.lr.ph: ; preds = %entry
        %1 = sub i32 %b, %a
        %2 = mul i32 %1, %c
        %3 = add i32 %0, %2
        store i32 %3, i32* @g, align 4, !tbaa !1
        br label %for.end

    for.end: ; preds = %for.body.lr.ph, %entry
        %.lcssa = phi i32 [ %3, %for.body.lr.ph ], [ %0, %entry ]
    ret i32 %.lcssa
}
```

Figure 1: (a) A simple loop source code, and (b) its optimized LLVM bytecode.
Table 2: Expected FunctionInfo output for the optimized bytecode of loop.c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th># Args</th>
<th># Calls</th>
<th># Blocks</th>
<th># Insns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>g_incr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>loop</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the code is truly redundant. A compiler pass is the standard mechanism for analyzing and optimizing programs.

You will now extend the dummy FunctionInfo pass from the previous section to learn interesting properties about the functions in a program. Your pass should report the following information about all functions that are used in a program:

1. Name.
2. Number of arguments (or * if variable).
3. Number of direct call sites in the same LLVM module (i.e. locations where this function is called, ignoring function pointers).
4. Number of basic blocks.
5. Number of instructions.

To assist you in writing this pass, the expected output of running FunctionInfo on the optimized bytecode (Figure 1(b)) is shown in Table 2. As you can see, the output in Table 2 is not interesting, since loop.c is a trivial piece of code. It is therefore recommended that you debug your pass with more complex source files, as you can imagine grading will be done with complex programs. Feel free to handin your additional testing source files in a separate directory together with your source code.

Hint: It may be useful to look at the documentation at the following URL, along with other documentation:

http://llvm.org/doxygen/classllvm_1_1Function.html

You can debug your code with gdb as follows:

```
gdb --args opt -load ./FunctionInfo.so -function-info loop.ll -o out (...)
(gdb) b printFunctionInfo
Function "printFunctionInfo" not defined.
Make breakpoint pending on future shared library load? (y or [n]) y
(gdb) r
```

4 Optimize The Block (New Dragon Book 8.5)

Now that you are an expert writing LLVM passes, it is time to write a pass for making programs faster. You will implement optimizations on basic blocks as discussed in class. More details on
local optimizations are available in Chapter 8.5 of the new Dragon book. While there are many
types of local optimizations, we will keep things quite simple in this section and focus only on the
algebraic optimizations discussed in Section 8.5.4 of the book. Specifically, you will implement
the following local optimizations:

1. Algebraic identities: e.g, $x + 0 = 0 + x = x$
2. Constant folding: e.g, $2 \times 4 \Rightarrow 8$
3. Strength reductions: e.g, $2 \times x \Rightarrow (x + x) \text{ or } (x << 1)$

This is a somewhat open-ended question. Please handle at least the above cases, as well as one
more in each category that you come up with, for (scalar) integer types.

4.1 Implementation Details

You should create a new LLVM pass in a file named `Local0pts/Local0pts.cpp` following the
steps in Section 2. Because this will be an optimization pass rather than an analysis pass, there
will be some small differences from the set up of the FunctionInfo pass. Provide an appropriate
makefile at `Local0pts/Makefile`. (Note that it is possible to implement more than one pass in
the same directory or file, but we’re trying to keep things clean.) `clang` may apply these kinds
of local optimizations during the course of regular compilation. To better test your pass, you
should build mostly unoptimized LLVM bytecode from the test cases:

```
clang -O0 -emit-llvm -c loop.c
opt -mem2reg loop.bc -o loop-m2r.bc
```

You may assume that all input to your pass will first go through `mem2reg` as shown above.
We should be able to run your local optimization pass in the following way, from the location
of the shared library:

```
opt -load ./Local0pts.so -some-local-opts loop-m2r.bc -o out
```

In addition to transforming the bytecode, your pass should also print to standard out a summary
of the optimizations it performed. There is no canonical format for this output, but you should
at least try to categorize and count the transformations your pass applies:

Transformations applied:
  Algebraic identities: 2
  Constant folding: 1
  Strength reduction: 3

We will provide toy source files with unrealistic amounts of local optimization opportunities for
you to debug your pass in: `ASSTDIR/Local0pts/test-inputs`. In addition to using these test
inputs, we recommend that you test your pass on more realistic programs.
5 Questions

5.1 CFG Basics

For the code provided below (i) find basic blocks (ii) build the CFG (Control Flow Graph). Be sure to give your basic blocks clear labels (and label the original code to match).

```plaintext
x = 100
y = 0
goto L2
L1: y = x * y
    if (x < 50) goto L2
    y = x - y
goto L3
L2: y = x + y
L3: print(y)
    if (y < 1000) goto L1
switch (x) { 0 => L6 | 1 => L4 | 101 => L7 | default => L5 }
L4: print("!")
L5: x = x - 1
goto L1
L6: return y
L7: goto L7
```

5.2 Available Expressions, New Dragon Book 9.2.6

An expression \(x \text{ op } y\) is available at a point \(p\) if every path from the entry node to \(p\) evaluates \(x \text{ op } y\), and after the last such evaluation prior to reaching \(p\), there are no subsequent assignments to \(x\) or \(y\). For the available-expressions data-flow schema we say that a block kills expression \(x \text{ op } y\) if it assigns (or may assign) \(x\) or \(y\) and does not subsequently recompute \(x \text{ op } y\). A block generates expression \(x \text{ op } y\) if it definitely evaluates \(x \text{ op } y\) and does not subsequently define \(x\) or \(y\).

Based on this definition and the corresponding data flow analysis description (See Table 3 from New Dragon Book 9.2.7) perform Available Expressions analysis on the code in Figure 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Transfer Function</th>
<th>Boundary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sets of expressions</td>
<td>Forwards</td>
<td>(gen_B \cup (x - kill_B))</td>
<td>(OUT[entry] = \emptyset)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meet (\wedge)</td>
<td>Equations</td>
<td>Equations</td>
<td>Initialize</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\cap)</td>
<td>(OUT[B] = f_B(IN[B]))</td>
<td>(IN[B] = \bigwedge_{p, \text{pred}(B)} OUT[P])</td>
<td>(OUT[B] = \emptyset)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Available Expressions Analysis.

In the following tables, list the EVAL and KILL sets, then the final IN and OUT sets after AE is performed. You may ignore expressions inside conditional statements (e.g., \(z < c\)).
Figure 2: Code for Available Expressions Analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BB</th>
<th>EVAL</th>
<th>KILL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>c = 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e = b*b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>x = b*d</td>
<td>d = b*b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>i = i + 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(i &lt; 400)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BB</th>
<th>IN</th>
<th>OUT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3 New Dataflow Analysis: Faint Analysis

You have been hired to help develop a software analysis package that will detect *faint* expressions, which are useful to perform Dead Code Elimination (*DCE*). The idea behind DCE is that an assignment of the form “*x* = *t*” can be eliminated if its LHS variable *x* is not live (i.e., dead) at the program point *P* immediately following the assignment. One of the limitations of DCE is that it cannot directly eliminate the assignment “*x* = *x* + 1” in the two examples shown below:

In the first case, *x* is not dead after the “*x* = *x* + 1” assignment (instruction 1) because it is used in instruction 2. Instruction 2 is also not dead because its LHS variable (*a*) is used in instructions 3 and 4. However, instruction 4 is in fact dead. If we applied DCE repeatedly to this code, we could eventually eliminate instruction 1. However, it would be more desirable to eliminate “*x* = *x* + 1” in a single data flow pass.

In the second case, the LHS of “*x* = *x* + 1” is not dead because it is used by its own RHS due to the cycle in the flow graph. However, since the ultimate value of *x* is never used, this instruction could in fact be safely eliminated from the loop body.

We say that the LHS variable *x* in an assignment “*x* = *t*” is *faint* if along every path following the assignment, *x* is either dead or is only used by an instruction whose LHS variable is also faint.

Your mission in this assignment is to design a new dataflow analysis pass specifically for determining whether the LHS variable of an expression is faint, in both of these cases.

Your analysis should be as simple as possible (i.e., it should not gather unnecessary information), and as fast as possible. Your analysis will be plugged into a generic dataflow framework (e.g., New Dragon Book 9.2-9.3).

1. What is the set of elements that your analysis operates on?

2. What is the direction of your analysis?
3. What is your transfer function? Be sure to clearly define any other sets that your transfer function uses (e.g., GEN or KILL etc).

4. What is your meet operator? Give the equation that uses the meet operator.

5. To what value do you initialize exit and/or entry?

6. To what values do you initialize the in or out sets?

7. Does the order that your analysis visits basic blocks matter? What order would you implement and why?

8. Will your analysis converge? Why (in words, not a proof)?

9. Clearly describe in pseudo-code an algorithm that uses the result of your analysis to identify faint expressions.
6 Hand In

Hard-copy submission:

1. A report that briefly describes the implementations of both passes. Explanations of your thought process and/or any issues you had in the development process would be welcome.

2. A listing of your source code. One possible way to generate this is by using `enscript`:
   
   enscript -q -DDuplex:true -r -2 -E -fCourier7 --tabsize=2 -p listing.ps
   
   ps2pdf listing.ps listing.pdf

3. Listings of additional tests that you used for verification of your passes, as well as their expected results. We expect you to provide at least one of these, and we will use some of them to test others’ solutions.

4. Answers to the questions in Section 5

Note: please include the Andrew IDs of group members on each page or on each stapled group of pages.

Electronic submission:

- A PDF of your writeup report and answers to the questions, named `writeup.pdf`.
- The source code for your passes (`FunctionInfo` and `LocalOpts`), the associated `Makefiles`, and a README describing how to build and run them (especially if you for some reason diverge significantly from what the assignment requires). Place all of these files in a directory with the same name as the Andrew ID of one of your group members. Archive this directory and name the file with the same Andrew ID (`bovik.tar.gz`):

  
  tar czvf bovik.tar.gz bovik

When the file is extracted with `tar xf`, we expect to see these required files in these locations:

  ./bovik/README
  ./bovik/FunctionInfo/FunctionInfo.cpp
  ./bovik/FunctionInfo/Makefile
  ./bovik/LocalOpts/LocalOpts.cpp
  ./bovik/LocalOpts/Makefile
  ./bovik/writeup.pdf

It is fine if there are other files included; please also include any additional tests you used for verification.

Copy the tar.gz file into the directory

/afs/cs.cmu.edu/academic/class/15745-s14/public/asst/asst1/handin

Include as comments near the beginning of your source files the identities of all members of your group. Please comment your code.