

Machine Learning 10-601

Tom M. Mitchell
Machine Learning Department
Carnegie Mellon University

October 2, 2012

Today:

- Graphical models
- Bayes Nets:
 - Representing distributions
 - Conditional independencies
 - Simple inference
 - Simple learning

Readings:

- Required:
- Bishop chapter 8, through 8.2

Graphical Models

- Key Idea:
 - Conditional independence assumptions useful
 - but Naïve Bayes is extreme!
 - Graphical models express sets of conditional independence assumptions via graph structure
 - Graph structure plus associated parameters define joint probability distribution over set of variables
- Two types of graphical models:
 - Directed graphs (aka Bayesian Networks)
 - Undirected graphs (aka Markov Random Fields)

today

Graphical Models – Why Care?

- Among most important ML developments of the decade
- Graphical models allow combining:
 - Prior knowledge in form of dependencies/independencies
 - Prior knowledge in form of priors over parameters
 - Observed training data
- Principled and ~general methods for
 - Probabilistic inference
 - Learning
- Useful in practice
 - Diagnosis, help systems, text analysis, time series models, ...

Conditional Independence

Definition: X is conditionally independent of Y given Z, if the probability distribution governing X is independent of the value of Y, given the value of Z

$$(\forall i, j, k) P(X = x_i | Y = y_j, Z = z_k) = P(X = x_i | Z = z_k)$$

Which we often write $P(X|Y, Z) = P(X|Z)$

E.g., $P(\text{Thunder} | \text{Rain}, \text{Lightning}) = P(\text{Thunder} | \text{Lightning})$

Marginal Independence

Definition: X is marginally independent of Y if

$$(\forall i, j) P(X = x_i, Y = y_j) = P(X = x_i)P(Y = y_j)$$


Equivalently, if

$$(\forall i, j) P(X = x_i | Y = y_j) = P(X = x_i)$$


Equivalently, if

$$(\forall i, j) P(Y = y_j | X = x_i) = P(Y = y_j)$$

Represent Joint Probability Distribution over Variables




Describe network of dependencies



Eric Xing

4

Bayes Nets define Joint Probability Distribution in terms of this graph, plus parameters




$$\begin{aligned}
 &P(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7, X_8) \\
 &= P(X_1) P(X_2) P(X_3|X_1) P(X_4|X_2) P(X_5|X_2) \\
 &\quad P(X_6|X_3, X_4) P(X_7|X_6) P(X_8|X_5, X_6)
 \end{aligned}$$

Benefits of Bayes Nets:

- Represent the full joint distribution in fewer parameters, using prior knowledge about dependencies
- Algorithms for inference and learning

Bayesian Networks Definition



A Bayes network represents the joint probability distribution over a collection of random variables


A Bayes network is a directed acyclic graph and a set of conditional probability distributions (CPD's)

- Each node denotes a random variable
- Edges denote dependencies
- For each node X_i its CPD defines $P(X_i | Pa(X_i))$
- The joint distribution over all variables is defined to be

$$P(X_1 \dots X_n) = \prod_i P(X_i | Pa(X_i))$$

$Pa(X)$ = immediate parents of X in the graph

Bayesian Network



Nodes = random variables

A conditional probability distribution (CPD) is associated with each node N, defining $P(N | Parents(N))$

Parents	P(W Pa)	P(¬W Pa)
L, R	0	1.0
L, ¬R	0	1.0
¬L, R	0.2	0.8
¬L, ¬R	0.9	0.1

WindSurf

The joint distribution over all variables:


$$P(X_1 \dots X_n) = \prod_i P(X_i | Pa(X_i))$$

Bayesian Network

What can we say about conditional independencies in a Bayes Net?

One thing is this:

Each node is conditionally independent of its non-descendants, given only its immediate parents.



Parents	$P(W Pa)$	$P(\neg W Pa)$
L, R	0	1.0
L, $\neg R$	0	1.0
$\neg L$, R	0.2	0.8
$\neg L$, $\neg R$	0.9	0.1

WindSurf


Some helpful terminology

Parents = $Pa(X)$ = immediate parents

Antecedents = parents, parents of parents, ...

Children = immediate children

Descendants = children, children of children, ...




Parents	$P(W Pa)$	$P(\neg W Pa)$
L, R	0	1.0
L, $\neg R$	0	1.0
$\neg L$, R	0.2	0.8
$\neg L$, $\neg R$	0.9	0.1

W

Bayesian Networks

- CPD for each node X_i describes $P(X_i | Pa(X_i))$




Chain rule of probability says that in general:

$$P(S, L, R, T, W) = P(S)P(L|S)P(R|S, L)P(T|S, L, R)P(W|S, L, R, T)$$

But in a Bayes net: $P(X_1 \dots X_n) = \prod_i P(X_i | Pa(X_i))$


How Many Parameters?




To define joint distribution in general?

To define joint distribution for this Bayes Net?

Inference in Bayes Nets




Parents	$P(W Pa)$	$P(\neg W Pa)$
L, R	0	1.0
L, $\neg R$	0	1.0
$\neg L$, R	0.2	0.8
$\neg L$, $\neg R$	0.9	0.1




$$P(S=1, L=0, R=1, T=0, W=1) =$$

Learning a Bayes Net



Parents	$P(W Pa)$	$P(\neg W Pa)$
L, R	0	1.0
L, $\neg R$	0	1.0
$\neg L$, R	0.2	0.8
$\neg L$, $\neg R$	0.9	0.1



Consider learning when graph structure is given, and data = { <s,l,r,t,w> }

What is the MLE solution? MAP?

Algorithm for Constructing Bayes Network

- Choose an ordering over variables, e.g., X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n
- For $i=1$ to n
 - Add X_i to the network
 - Select parents $Pa(X_i)$ as minimal subset of $X_1 \dots X_{i-1}$ such that

$$P(X_i | Pa(X_i)) = P(X_i | X_1, \dots, X_{i-1})$$

Notice this choice of parents assures

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 \dots X_n) &= \prod_i P(X_i | X_1 \dots X_{i-1}) \quad (\text{by chain rule}) \\ &= \prod_i P(X_i | Pa(X_i)) \quad (\text{by construction}) \end{aligned}$$


Example

- Bird flu and Allergies both cause Nasal problems
- Nasal problems cause Sneezes and Headaches

What is the Bayes Network for X_1, \dots, X_4 with NO assumed conditional independencies?


What is the Bayes Network for Naïve Bayes?

What do we do if variables are mix of discrete and real valued?



Bayes Network for a Hidden Markov Model

Implies the future is conditionally independent of the past, given the present



$$P(S_{t-2}, O_{t-2}, S_{t-1}, \dots, O_{t+2}) =$$

What You Should Know

- Bayes nets are convenient representation for encoding dependencies / conditional independence
- BN = Graph plus parameters of CPD's
 - Defines joint distribution over variables
 - Can calculate everything else from that
 - Though inference may be intractable
- Reading conditional independence relations from the graph
 - Each node is cond indep of non-descendents, given only its parents
 - 'Explaining away'


See Bayes Net applet: <http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~javabayes/Home/applet.html>

Inference in Bayes Nets

- In general, intractable (NP-complete)
- For certain cases, tractable
 - Assigning probability to fully observed set of variables
 - Or if just one variable unobserved
 - Or for singly connected graphs (ie., no undirected loops)
 - Belief propagation
- For multiply connected graphs
 - Junction tree
- Sometimes use Monte Carlo methods
 - Generate many samples according to the Bayes Net distribution, then count up the results
- Variational methods for tractable approximate solutions

Example


- Bird flu and Allergies both cause Sinus problems
- Sinus problems cause Headaches and runny Nose



Prob. of joint assignment: easy

- Suppose we are interested in joint assignment $\langle F=f, A=a, S=s, H=h, N=n \rangle$


What is $P(f,a,s,h,n)$?



let's use $p(a,b)$ as shorthand for $p(A=a, B=b)$

Prob. of marginals: not so easy


- How do we calculate $P(N=n)$?



let's use $p(a,b)$ as shorthand for $p(A=a, B=b)$

Generating a sample from joint distribution: easy

How can we generate random samples drawn according to $P(F,A,S,H,N)$?



let's use $p(a,b)$ as shorthand for $p(A=a, B=b)$

Generating a sample from joint distribution: easy


Note we can estimate marginals like $P(N=n)$ by generating many samples from joint distribution, then count the fraction of samples for which $N=n$

Similarly, for anything else we care about

$$P(F=1|H=1, N=0)$$

→ weak but general method for estimating any probability term...

let's use $p(a,b)$ as shorthand for $p(A=a, B=b)$



Prob. of marginals: not so easy

But sometimes the structure of the network allows us to be clever → avoid exponential work

eg., chain



Inference in Bayes Nets

- In general, intractable (NP-complete)
- For certain cases, tractable
 - Assigning probability to fully observed set of variables
 - Or if just one variable unobserved
 - Or for singly connected graphs (ie., no undirected loops)
 - Variable elimination
 - Belief propagation
- For multiply connected graphs
 - Junction tree
- Sometimes use Monte Carlo methods
 - Generate many samples according to the Bayes Net distribution, then count up the results
- Variational methods for tractable approximate solutions