

Advanced Optimization

(10-801: CMU)

Lecture 6
Duality, Optimality

03 Feb, 2014

○

Suvrit Sra

Primal problem

Let $h_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Generic **nonlinear program**

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & h_i(x) \leq 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \\ & x \in \{\text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } h_1 \cdots \cap \text{dom } h_m\}. \end{aligned} \tag{P}$$

Primal problem

Let $h_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Generic **nonlinear program**

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & h_i(x) \leq 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \\ & x \in \{\text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } h_1 \cdots \cap \text{dom } h_m\}. \end{aligned} \tag{P}$$

Domain: The set $\mathcal{X} := \{\text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } h_1 \cdots \cap \text{dom } h_m\}$

- ▶ We call (P) the **primal problem**
- ▶ The variable x is the **primal variable**

The reader will find no figures in this work. The methods which I set forth do not require either constructions or geometrical or mechanical reasonings: but only algebraic operations, subject to a regular and uniform rule of procedure.

—Joseph-Louis Lagrange
Preface to *Mécanique Analytique*

Lagrangian

To primal, associate **Lagrangian** $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^m \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$,

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) := f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i h_i(x).$$

Lagrangian

To primal, associate **Lagrangian** $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^m \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$,

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) := f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i h_i(x).$$

♠ Variables $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ called **Lagrange multipliers**

Lagrangian

To primal, associate **Lagrangian** $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^m \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$,

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) := f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i h_i(x).$$

- ♠ Variables $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ called **Lagrange multipliers**
- ♠ Suppose x is feasible, and $\lambda \geq 0$. Then, we get the lower-bound:

$$f(x) \geq \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^m.$$

- ♠ In other words,

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^m} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } x \text{ feasible,} \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lagrangian

Since, $f(x) \geq \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$, **primal optimal**

$$p^* = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda).$$

Lagrangian

Since, $f(x) \geq \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$, **primal optimal**

$$p^* = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda).$$

Proof:

► If x is not feasible, then some $h_i(x) > 0$

Lagrangian

Since, $f(x) \geq \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$, **primal optimal**

$$p^* = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda).$$

Proof:

- ▶ If x is not feasible, then some $h_i(x) > 0$
- ▶ In this case, inner sup is $+\infty$, so claim true by definition

Lagrangian

Since, $f(x) \geq \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$, **primal optimal**

$$p^* = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda).$$

Proof:

- ▶ If x is not feasible, then some $h_i(x) > 0$
- ▶ In this case, inner sup is $+\infty$, so claim true by definition
- ▶ If x is feasible, each $h_i(x) \leq 0$, so $\sup_{\lambda} \sum_i \lambda_i h_i(x) = 0$

Dual value

Primal value $\in [-\infty, +\infty]$

$$p^* = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda).$$

Dual value

Primal value $\in [-\infty, +\infty]$

$$p^* = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda).$$

Dual value $\in [-\infty, +\infty]$

$$d^* = \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda).$$

Dual value

Primal value $\in [-\infty, +\infty]$

$$p^* = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda).$$

Dual value $\in [-\infty, +\infty]$

$$d^* = \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda).$$

Dual function

$$g(\lambda) := \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda).$$

Weak duality

- ▶ g is pointwise infimum of affine functions of λ
- ▶ Thus, g is concave (and may also take value $-\infty$)
- ▶ Maximizing concave \implies minimizing convex \implies 😊

Theorem (Weak duality.) $p^* \geq d^*$.

Proof:

1. $f(x) \geq \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$
2. $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \quad f(x) \geq \inf_{x'} \mathcal{L}(x', \lambda) = g(\lambda)$
3. Minimize over x on lhs to obtain

$$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^m \quad p^* \geq g(\lambda).$$

4. Thus, taking sup over $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ we obtain $p^* \geq d^*$.

Exercise

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & h_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ & k_i(x) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, p. \end{aligned}$$

Show that we get the Lagrangian dual

$$g : \mathbb{R}_+^m \times \mathbb{R}^p : (\lambda, \nu) \mapsto \inf_x \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda, \nu),$$

Lagrange variable ν corresponds to the equality constraints.

Prove that $p^* \geq \sup_{\lambda \geq 0, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^p} g(\lambda, \nu) = d^*$.

Strong duality

Duality gap

$$p^* - d^*$$

Duality gap

$$p^* - d^*$$

Strong duality if duality gap is zero: $p^* = d^*$

Duality gap

$$p^* - d^*$$

Strong duality if duality gap is zero: $p^* = d^*$

Several **sufficient** conditions known!

Duality gap

$$p^* - d^*$$

Strong duality if duality gap is zero: $p^* = d^*$

Several **sufficient** conditions known!

“Easy” necessary and sufficient conditions: **unknown**

General duality gap theorem

Theorem Let $v : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the *primal value function*

$$v(u) := \inf \{ f(x) \mid h_i(x) \leq u_i, 1 \leq i \leq m \}.$$

The following relations hold:

1 $p^* = v(0)$

2 $v^*(-\lambda) = \begin{cases} -g(\lambda) & \lambda \geq 0 \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

3 $d^* = v^{**}(0)$

So if $v(0) = v^{**}(0)$ we have strong duality

Conditions such as Slater's ensure $\partial v(0) \neq \emptyset$, which ensures v is finite and lsc at 0, whereby $v(0) = v^{**}(0)$ holds.

Slater's sufficient conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & h_i(x) \leq 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \\ & Ax = b. \end{aligned}$$

Slater's sufficient conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & h_i(x) \leq 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \\ & Ax = b. \end{aligned}$$

Constraint qualification: There exists $x \in \text{ri } \mathcal{X}$ s.t.

$$h_i(x) < 0, \quad Ax = b.$$

That is, there is a **strictly feasible** point.

Slater's sufficient conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & h_i(x) \leq 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \\ & Ax = b. \end{aligned}$$

Constraint qualification: There exists $x \in \text{ri } \mathcal{X}$ s.t.

$$h_i(x) < 0, \quad Ax = b.$$

That is, there is a **strictly feasible** point.

Theorem Let the primal problem be convex. If there is a feasible point such that is strictly feasible for the non-affine constraints (and merely feasible for affine, linear ones), then strong duality holds. Moreover, in this case, the dual optimal is attained (i.e., $\partial v(0) \neq \emptyset$).

See BV §5.3.2 for a proof; (above, v is the primal value function)

Example with positive duality-gap

$$\min_{x,y} e^{-x} \quad x^2/y \leq 0,$$

over the domain $\mathcal{X} = \{(x, y) \mid y > 0\}$.

Example with positive duality-gap

$$\min_{x,y} e^{-x} \quad x^2/y \leq 0,$$

over the domain $\mathcal{X} = \{(x, y) \mid y > 0\}$.

Clearly, only feasible $x = 0$. So $p^* = 1$

Example with positive duality-gap

$$\min_{x,y} e^{-x} \quad x^2/y \leq 0,$$

over the domain $\mathcal{X} = \{(x, y) \mid y > 0\}$.

Clearly, only feasible $x = 0$. So $p^* = 1$

$$\mathcal{L}(x, y, \lambda) = e^{-x} + \lambda x^2/y,$$

so dual function is

$$g(\lambda) = \inf_{x,y>0} e^{-x} + \lambda x^2/y = \begin{cases} 0 & \lambda \geq 0 \\ -\infty & \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

Example with positive duality-gap

$$\min_{x,y} e^{-x} \quad x^2/y \leq 0,$$

over the domain $\mathcal{X} = \{(x, y) \mid y > 0\}$.

Clearly, only feasible $x = 0$. So $p^* = 1$

$$\mathcal{L}(x, y, \lambda) = e^{-x} + \lambda x^2/y,$$

so dual function is

$$g(\lambda) = \inf_{x,y>0} e^{-x} + \lambda x^2/y = \begin{cases} 0 & \lambda \geq 0 \\ -\infty & \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

Dual problem

$$d^* = \max_{\lambda} 0 \quad \text{s.t. } \lambda \geq 0.$$

Example with positive duality-gap

$$\min_{x,y} e^{-x} \quad x^2/y \leq 0,$$

over the domain $\mathcal{X} = \{(x, y) \mid y > 0\}$.

Clearly, only feasible $x = 0$. So $p^* = 1$

$$\mathcal{L}(x, y, \lambda) = e^{-x} + \lambda x^2/y,$$

so dual function is

$$g(\lambda) = \inf_{x,y>0} e^{-x} + \lambda x^2/y = \begin{cases} 0 & \lambda \geq 0 \\ -\infty & \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

Dual problem

$$d^* = \max_{\lambda} 0 \quad \text{s.t. } \lambda \geq 0.$$

Thus, $d^* = 0$, and gap is $p^* - d^* = 1$.

Example with positive duality-gap

$$\min_{x,y} e^{-x} \quad x^2/y \leq 0,$$

over the domain $\mathcal{X} = \{(x, y) \mid y > 0\}$.

Clearly, only feasible $x = 0$. So $p^* = 1$

$$\mathcal{L}(x, y, \lambda) = e^{-x} + \lambda x^2/y,$$

so dual function is

$$g(\lambda) = \inf_{x,y>0} e^{-x} + \lambda x^2/y = \begin{cases} 0 & \lambda \geq 0 \\ -\infty & \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

Dual problem

$$d^* = \max_{\lambda} 0 \quad \text{s.t. } \lambda \geq 0.$$

Thus, $d^* = 0$, and gap is $p^* - d^* = 1$.

Here, we had no strictly feasible solution.

Fenchel and Lagrangian duality

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + r(Ax) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad Ax \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

Fenchel and Lagrangian duality

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + r(Ax) \quad \text{s.t. } Ax \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

Dual problem

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{Y}} f^*(-A^T u) + r^*(u).$$

Fenchel and Lagrangian duality

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + r(Ax) \quad \text{s.t. } Ax \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

Dual problem

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{Y}} f^*(-A^T u) + r^*(u).$$

- Introduce new variable $z = Ax$

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}, z \in \mathcal{Y}} f(x) + r(z), \quad \text{s.t. } z = Ax.$$

Fenchel and Lagrangian duality

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + r(Ax) \quad \text{s.t. } Ax \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

Dual problem

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{Y}} f^*(-A^T u) + r^*(u).$$

- ▶ Introduce new variable $z = Ax$

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}, z \in \mathcal{Y}} f(x) + r(z), \quad \text{s.t. } z = Ax.$$

- ▶ The (partial)-Lagrangian is

$$L(x, z; u) := f(x) + r(z) + u^T(Ax - z), \quad x \in \mathcal{X}, z \in \mathcal{Y};$$

Fenchel and Lagrangian duality

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + r(Ax) \quad \text{s.t. } Ax \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

Dual problem

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{Y}} f^*(-A^T u) + r^*(u).$$

- ▶ Introduce new variable $z = Ax$

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}, z \in \mathcal{Y}} f(x) + r(z), \quad \text{s.t. } z = Ax.$$

- ▶ The (partial)-Lagrangian is

$$L(x, z; u) := f(x) + r(z) + u^T(Ax - z), \quad x \in \mathcal{X}, z \in \mathcal{Y};$$

- ▶ Associated dual function

$$g(u) := \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}, z \in \mathcal{Y}} L(x, z; u).$$

Fenchel and Lagrangian duality

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + r(Ax) \quad \text{s.t. } Ax \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

Dual problem

$$\inf_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} f^*(-A^T y) + r^*(y).$$

The infimum above can be rearranged as follows

$$g(y) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + y^T Ax + \inf_{z \in \mathcal{Y}} r(z) - y^T z$$

Fenchel and Lagrangian duality

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + r(Ax) \quad \text{s.t. } Ax \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

Dual problem

$$\inf_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} f^*(-A^T y) + r^*(y).$$

The infimum above can be rearranged as follows

$$\begin{aligned} g(y) &= \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + y^T Ax + \inf_{z \in \mathcal{Y}} r(z) - y^T z \\ &= - \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \{-x^T A^T y - f(x)\} - \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Y}} \{z^T y - r(z)\} \end{aligned}$$

Fenchel and Lagrangian duality

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + r(Ax) \quad \text{s.t. } Ax \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

Dual problem

$$\inf_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} f^*(-A^T y) + r^*(y).$$

The infimum above can be rearranged as follows

$$\begin{aligned} g(y) &= \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + y^T Ax + \inf_{z \in \mathcal{Y}} r(z) - y^T z \\ &= -\sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \{-x^T A^T y - f(x)\} - \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Y}} \{z^T y - r(z)\} \\ &= -f^*(-A^T y) - r^*(y) \quad \text{s.t. } y \in \mathcal{Y}. \end{aligned}$$

Fenchel and Lagrangian duality

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + r(Ax) \quad \text{s.t. } Ax \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

Dual problem

$$\inf_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} f^*(-A^T y) + r^*(y).$$

The infimum above can be rearranged as follows

$$\begin{aligned} g(y) &= \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + y^T Ax + \inf_{z \in \mathcal{Y}} r(z) - y^T z \\ &= -\sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \{-x^T A^T y - f(x)\} - \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Y}} \{z^T y - r(z)\} \\ &= -f^*(-A^T y) - r^*(y) \quad \text{s.t. } y \in \mathcal{Y}. \end{aligned}$$

Dual problem computes $\sup_{u \in \mathcal{Y}} g(u)$; so equivalently,

$$\inf_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} f^*(-A^T y) + r^*(y).$$

Strong duality

$$\inf_x \{f(x) + r(Ax)\} = \sup_y \{-f^*(-A^T y) + r^*(y)\}$$

if either of the following conditions holds:

- 1 $\exists x \in \text{ri}(\text{dom } f)$ such that $Ax \in \text{ri}(\text{dom } r)$
- 2 $\exists y \in \text{ri}(\text{dom } r^*)$ such that $A^T y \in \text{ri}(\text{dom } f^*)$

Strong duality

$$\inf_x \{f(x) + r(Ax)\} = \sup_y \{-f^*(-A^T y) + r^*(y)\}$$

if either of the following conditions holds:

- 1 $\exists x \in \text{ri}(\text{dom } f)$ such that $Ax \in \text{ri}(\text{dom } r)$
 - 2 $\exists y \in \text{ri}(\text{dom } r^*)$ such that $A^T y \in \text{ri}(\text{dom } f^*)$
-
- 1 Condition 1 ensures 'sup' attained at some y
 - 2 Condition 2 ensures 'inf' attained at some x

Example: norm regularized problems

$$\min \quad f(x) + \|Ax\|$$

Example: norm regularized problems

$$\min_x f(x) + \|Ax\|$$

Dual problem

$$\min_y f^*(-A^T y) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \|y\|_* \leq 1.$$

Example: norm regularized problems

$$\min_x f(x) + \|Ax\|$$

Dual problem

$$\min_y f^*(-A^T y) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \|y\|_* \leq 1.$$

Say $\|\bar{y}\|_* < 1$, such that $A^T \bar{y} \in \text{ri}(\text{dom } f^*)$, then we have strong duality—for instance if $0 \in \text{ri}(\text{dom } f^*)$

Example: variable splitting

$$\min \quad f(x) + h(x)$$

Exercise: Fill in the details for the following steps

$$\min_{x,z} \quad f(x) + h(z) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad x = z$$

Example: variable splitting

$$\min_x f(x) + h(x)$$

Exercise: Fill in the details for the following steps

$$\min_{x,z} f(x) + h(z) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad x = z$$

$$L(x, z, \nu) = f(x) + h(z) + \nu^T(x - z)$$

Example: variable splitting

$$\min_x f(x) + h(x)$$

Exercise: Fill in the details for the following steps

$$\min_{x,z} f(x) + h(z) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad x = z$$

$$L(x, z, \nu) = f(x) + h(z) + \nu^T (x - z)$$

$$g(\nu) = \inf_{x,z} L(x, z, \nu)$$

Minimax

Minimax problems

- ▶ Minimax theory treats problems involving a combination of **minimization** and **maximization**

Minimax problems

- ▶ Minimax theory treats problems involving a combination of **minimization** and **maximization**
- ▶ Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be **arbitrary** nonempty sets

Minimax problems

- ▶ Minimax theory treats problems involving a combination of **minimization** and **maximization**
- ▶ Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be **arbitrary** nonempty sets
- ▶ Let $\phi : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$

Minimax problems

- ▶ Minimax theory treats problems involving a combination of **minimization** and **maximization**
- ▶ Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be **arbitrary** nonempty sets
- ▶ Let $\phi : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$
- ▶ **inf** over $x \in \mathcal{X}$, followed by **sup** over $y \in \mathcal{Y}$

$$\sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \phi(x, y)$$

Minimax problems

- ▶ Minimax theory treats problems involving a combination of **minimization** and **maximization**
- ▶ Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be **arbitrary** nonempty sets
- ▶ Let $\phi : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$
- ▶ **inf** over $x \in \mathcal{X}$, followed by **sup** over $y \in \mathcal{Y}$

$$\sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \phi(x, y)$$

- ▶ **sup** over $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, followed by **inf** over $x \in \mathcal{X}$

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \phi(x, y)$$

When are “inf sup” and “sup inf” equal?

Weak minimax

Theorem Let $\phi : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ be any function. Then,

$$\sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \phi(x, y) \leq \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \phi(x, y)$$

Weak minimax

Theorem Let $\phi : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ be any function. Then,

$$\sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \phi(x, y) \leq \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \phi(x, y)$$

Define:

$$f(x) := \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \phi(x, y) \quad g(y) := \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \phi(x, y).$$

Weak minimax

Theorem Let $\phi : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ be any function. Then,

$$\sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \phi(x, y) \leq \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \phi(x, y)$$

Define:

$$f(x) := \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \phi(x, y) \quad g(y) := \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \phi(x, y).$$

$$f(x) \geq \phi(x, y) \geq g(y), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}$$

Weak minimax

Theorem Let $\phi : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ be any function. Then,

$$\sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \phi(x, y) \leq \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \phi(x, y)$$

Define:

$$f(x) := \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \phi(x, y) \quad g(y) := \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \phi(x, y).$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\geq \phi(x, y) \geq g(y), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y} \\ \inf_x f(x) &= \inf_x \sup_y \phi(x, y) \geq \inf_x \phi(x, y) \geq g(y) \end{aligned}$$

Weak minimax

Theorem Let $\phi : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ be any function. Then,

$$\sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \phi(x, y) \leq \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \phi(x, y)$$

Define:

$$f(x) := \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \phi(x, y) \quad g(y) := \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \phi(x, y).$$

$$f(x) \geq \phi(x, y) \geq g(y), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}$$

$$\inf_x f(x) = \inf_x \sup_y \phi(x, y) \geq \inf_x \phi(x, y) \geq g(y)$$

$$\inf_x \sup_y \phi(x, y) \geq \sup_y g(y) = \sup_y \inf_x \phi(x, y).$$

Weak minimax

Theorem Let $\phi : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ be any function. Then,

$$\sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \phi(x, y) \leq \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \phi(x, y)$$

Define:

$$f(x) := \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \phi(x, y) \quad g(y) := \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \phi(x, y).$$

$$f(x) \geq \phi(x, y) \geq g(y), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}$$

$$\inf_x f(x) = \inf_x \sup_y \phi(x, y) \geq \inf_x \phi(x, y) \geq g(y)$$

$$\inf_x \sup_y \phi(x, y) \geq \sup_y g(y) = \sup_y \inf_x \phi(x, y).$$

Exercise: Derive weak duality from above minimax inequality.

Hint: Use $\phi = \mathcal{L}$ (Lagrangian) for suitably chosen y .

Strong minimax

- ▶ If “inf sup” equals “sup inf”, common value called **saddle-value**
- ▶ Value exists if there is a **saddle-point**, i.e., pair (x^*, y^*)

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} g(y)$$

- ▶ That is

$$f(x^*) = \phi(x^*, y^*) = g(y^*)$$

Strong minimax

Def. Let ϕ be as before. A point (x^*, y^*) is a saddle-point of ϕ **if and only if** the infimum in the expression

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \phi(x, y)$$

is **attained** at x^* , and the supremum in the expression

$$\sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \phi(x, y)$$

is **attained** at y^* , and these two extrema are equal.

Strong minimax

Def. Let ϕ be as before. A point (x^*, y^*) is a saddle-point of ϕ **if and only if** the infimum in the expression

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \phi(x, y)$$

is **attained** at x^* , and the supremum in the expression

$$\sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \phi(x, y)$$

is **attained** at y^* , and these two extrema are equal.

$$x^* \in \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \phi(x, y) \quad y^* \in \operatorname{argmax}_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \phi(x, y).$$

Strong minimax

- ♠ Classes of problems “dual” to each other can be generated by studying classes of functions ϕ ,

Strong minimax

- ♠ Classes of problems “dual” to each other can be generated by studying classes of functions ϕ ,
- ♠ More interesting question: Starting from the primal problem over \mathcal{X} , how to introduce a space \mathcal{Y} and a “useful” function ϕ on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ so that we have a saddle-point?

Strong minimax

- ♠ Classes of problems “dual” to each other can be generated by studying classes of functions ϕ ,
- ♠ More interesting question: Starting from the primal problem over \mathcal{X} , how to introduce a space \mathcal{Y} and a “useful” function ϕ on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ so that we have a saddle-point?

Sufficient conditions for saddle-point

- ▶ Function ϕ is continuous, and
- ▶ It is convex-concave ($\phi(\cdot, y)$ convex for every $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, and $\phi(x, \cdot)$ concave for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$), and
- ▶ Both \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are convex; one of them is compact.

Example: Lasso-like problem

$$p^* := \min_x \|Ax - b\|_2 + \lambda \|x\|_1.$$

Example: Lasso-like problem

$$p^* := \min_x \|Ax - b\|_2 + \lambda \|x\|_1.$$

$$\|x\|_1 = \max \{x^T v \mid \|v\|_\infty \leq 1\}$$

$$\|x\|_2 = \max \{x^T u \mid \|u\|_2 \leq 1\}.$$

Example: Lasso-like problem

$$p^* := \min_x \|Ax - b\|_2 + \lambda \|x\|_1.$$

$$\|x\|_1 = \max \{x^T v \mid \|v\|_\infty \leq 1\}$$

$$\|x\|_2 = \max \{x^T u \mid \|u\|_2 \leq 1\}.$$

Saddle-point formulation

$$p^* = \min_x \max_{u,v} \{u^T (b - Ax) + v^T x \mid \|u\|_2 \leq 1, \|v\|_\infty \leq \lambda\}$$

Example: Lasso-like problem

$$p^* := \min_x \|Ax - b\|_2 + \lambda \|x\|_1.$$

$$\|x\|_1 = \max \{x^T v \mid \|v\|_\infty \leq 1\}$$

$$\|x\|_2 = \max \{x^T u \mid \|u\|_2 \leq 1\}.$$

Saddle-point formulation

$$\begin{aligned} p^* &= \min_x \max_{u,v} \{u^T (b - Ax) + v^T x \mid \|u\|_2 \leq 1, \|v\|_\infty \leq \lambda\} \\ &= \max_{u,v} \min_x \{u^T (b - Ax) + x^T v \mid \|u\|_2 \leq 1, \|v\|_\infty \leq \lambda\} \end{aligned}$$

Example: Lasso-like problem

$$p^* := \min_x \|Ax - b\|_2 + \lambda \|x\|_1.$$

$$\|x\|_1 = \max \{x^T v \mid \|v\|_\infty \leq 1\}$$

$$\|x\|_2 = \max \{x^T u \mid \|u\|_2 \leq 1\}.$$

Saddle-point formulation

$$\begin{aligned} p^* &= \min_x \max_{u,v} \{u^T (b - Ax) + v^T x \mid \|u\|_2 \leq 1, \|v\|_\infty \leq \lambda\} \\ &= \max_{u,v} \min_x \{u^T (b - Ax) + x^T v \mid \|u\|_2 \leq 1, \|v\|_\infty \leq \lambda\} \\ &= \max_{u,v} u^T b \quad A^T u = v, \|u\|_2 \leq 1, \|v\|_\infty \leq \lambda \end{aligned}$$

Example: Lasso-like problem

$$p^* := \min_x \|Ax - b\|_2 + \lambda \|x\|_1.$$

$$\|x\|_1 = \max \{x^T v \mid \|v\|_\infty \leq 1\}$$

$$\|x\|_2 = \max \{x^T u \mid \|u\|_2 \leq 1\}.$$

Saddle-point formulation

$$\begin{aligned} p^* &= \min_x \max_{u,v} \{u^T (b - Ax) + v^T x \mid \|u\|_2 \leq 1, \|v\|_\infty \leq \lambda\} \\ &= \max_{u,v} \min_x \{u^T (b - Ax) + x^T v \mid \|u\|_2 \leq 1, \|v\|_\infty \leq \lambda\} \\ &= \max_{u,v} u^T b \quad A^T u = v, \|u\|_2 \leq 1, \|v\|_\infty \leq \lambda \\ &= \max_u u^T b \quad \|u\|_2 \leq 1, \|A^T v\|_\infty \leq \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Optimality via minimax

$$x^* \in \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \phi(x, y) \quad y^* \in \operatorname{argmax}_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \phi(x, y).$$

Point (x^*, y^*) is a **saddle-point** if and only if

$$0 \in \partial\phi(x^*, y^*) = \partial_x\phi(x^*, y^*) \times \partial_y\phi(x^*, y^*)$$

Optimality via minimax

$$x^* \in \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \phi(x, y) \quad y^* \in \operatorname{argmax}_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \phi(x, y).$$

Point (x^*, y^*) is a **saddle-point** if and only if

$$0 \in \partial\phi(x^*, y^*) = \partial_x\phi(x^*, y^*) \times \partial_y\phi(x^*, y^*)$$

When ϕ is of “convex-concave” form, yields KKT conditions.