Good Ideas So Far…

- Flow control
  - Stop & wait
  - Parallel stop & wait
  - Sliding window (e.g., advertised windows)
- Loss recovery
  - Timeouts
  - Acknowledgement-driven recovery (selective repeat or cumulative acknowledgement)
- Congestion control
  - AIMD → fairness and efficiency
- How does TCP actually implement these?

Outline

- THE SPOOKY PARTS of TCP
  - If it doesn’t scare you now... it will on the Final!
- TCP connection setup/data transfer
  - The Handshake Protocol (TCP)
- TCP reliability
  - How to recover your DEAD packets
- TCP congestion avoidance
  - Avoiding the death-traps of overloaded routers

Sequence Number Space

- Each byte in byte stream is numbered.
  - 32 bit value
  - Wraps around
  - Initial values selected at start up time
- TCP breaks up the byte stream into packets.
  - Packet size is limited to the Maximum Segment Size
- Each packet has a sequence number.
  - Indicates where it fits in the byte stream

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>13450</th>
<th>14950</th>
<th>16050</th>
<th>17550</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>packet 8</td>
<td>packet 9</td>
<td>packet 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Establishing Connection: Three-Way handshake

- Each side notifies other of starting sequence number it will use for sending
  - Why not simply chose 0?
    - Must avoid overlap with earlier incarnation
    - Security issues
  - Each side acknowledges other's sequence number
    - SYN-ACK: Acknowledge sequence number + 1
  - Can combine second SYN with first ACK

TCP Connection Setup Example

09:23:33.042318 IP 128.2.222.198.3123 > 192.216.219.96.80: S
4019802004:4019802004(0) win 65535 <mss 1260,nop,nop,sackOK> (DF)

09:23:33.118329 IP 192.216.219.96.80 > 128.2.222.198.3123: S
3428951569:3428951569(0) ack 4019802005 win 5840 <mss 1460,nop,nop,sackOK> (DF)

09:23:33.118405 IP 128.2.222.198.3123 > 192.216.219.96.80: . ack
3428951570 win 65535 (DF)

TCP State Diagram: Connection Setup

Tearing Down Connection

- Either side can initiate tear down
  - Send FIN signal
    - "I'm not going to send any more data"
- Other side can continue sending data
  - Half open connection
  - Must continue to acknowledge
- Acknowledging FIN
  - Acknowledge last sequence number + 1
TCP Connection Teardown Example

09:54:17.585396 IP 128.2.222.198.4474 > 128.2.210.194.6616: F 1489294581:1489294581(0) ack 1909787689 win 65434 (DF)
09:54:17.585732 IP 128.2.210.194.6616 > 128.2.222.198.4474: F 1909787689:1909787689(0) ack 1489294582 win 5840 (DF)

- Session
  - Echo client on 128.2.222.198, server on 128.2.210.194
- Client FIN
  - SeqC: 1489294581
- Server ACK + FIN
  - Ack: 1489294582 (= SeqC+1)
  - SeqS: 1909787689
- Client ACK
  - Ack: 1909787690 (= SeqS+1)

State Diagram: Connection Tear-down

- CLOSE
  - Active Close
    - send FIN
  - Passive Close
    - send FIN
- ESTABLISHED
  - FIN WAIT-1
    - rcv FIN
  - FIN WAIT-2
    - send ACK
- TIME WAIT
  - rcv FIN
  - send ACK
- LAST-ACK
  - rcv ACK
- CLOSED
  - delete TCB
  - Timeout=2msl

Outline

- TCP connection setup/data transfer
- TCP reliability

Reliability Challenges

- Congestion related losses
- Variable packet delays
  - What should the timeout be?
- Reordering of packets
  - How to tell the difference between a delayed packet and a lost one?
TCP = Go-Back-N Variant

- Sliding window with cumulative acks
  - Receiver can only return a single “ack” sequence number to the sender.
  - Acknowledges all bytes with a lower sequence number
  - Starting point for retransmission
  - Duplicate acks sent when out-of-order packet received
- But: sender only retransmits a single packet.
  - Reason???
    - Only one that it knows is lost
    - Network is congested → shouldn’t overload it
- Error control is based on byte sequences, not packets.
  - Retransmitted packet can be different from the original lost packet – Why?

Round-trip Time Estimation

- Wait at least one RTT before retransmitting
- Importance of accurate RTT estimators:
  - Low RTT estimate
    - unneeded retransmissions
  - High RTT estimate
    - poor throughput
- RTT estimator must adapt to change in RTT
  - But not too fast, or too slow!
- Spurious timeouts
  - “Conservation of packets” principle – never more than a window worth of packets in flight

Original TCP Round-trip Estimator

- Round trip times exponentially averaged:
  - New RTT = $\alpha$ (old RTT) + $(1 - \alpha)$ (new sample)
  - Recommended value for $\alpha$: 0.8 - 0.9
    - 0.875 for most TCP’s
- Retransmit timer set to $(b \times \text{RTT})$, where $b = 2$
  - Every time timer expires, RTO exponentially backed-off
- Not good at preventing spurious timeouts
  - Why?

RTT Sample Ambiguity

- Karn’s RTT Estimator
  - If a segment has been retransmitted:
    - Don’t count RTT sample on ACKs for this segment
    - Keep backed off time-out for next packet
    - Reuse RTT estimate only after one successful transmission
Jacobson’s Retransmission Timeout

- **Key observation:**
  - At high loads round trip variance is high
  - **Solution:**
    - Base RTO on RTT and standard deviation
      - $RTO = RTT + 4 \cdot rttvar$
    - $new\_rttvar = \beta \cdot dev + (1- \beta) \cdot old\_rttvar$
    - Dev = linear deviation
    - Inappropriately named – actually smoothed linear deviation

Timestamp Extension

- Used to improve timeout mechanism by more accurate measurement of RTT
- When sending a packet, insert current time into option
  - 4 bytes for time, 4 bytes for echo a received timestamp
- Receiver echoes timestamp in ACK
  - Actually will echo whatever is in timestamp
  - Removes retransmission ambiguity
  - Can get RTT sample on any packet

Timer Granularity

- Many TCP implementations set RTO in multiples of 200,500,1000ms
- **Why?**
  - Avoid spurious timeouts – RTTs can vary quickly due to cross traffic
  - Make timers interrupts efficient
  - **What happens for the first couple of packets?**
    - Pick a very conservative value (seconds)

Fast Retransmit

- What are duplicate acks (dupacks)?
  - Repeated acks for the same sequence
- When can duplicate acks occur?
  - Loss
  - Packet re-ordering
  - Window update – advertisement of new flow control window
- Assume re-ordering is infrequent and not of large magnitude
  - Use receipt of 3 or more duplicate acks as indication of loss
  - Don’t wait for timeout to retransmit packet
### Fast Retransmit

- Time
- Sequence No
- Duplicate Acks
- Retransmission
- Packets
- Acks

### TCP (Reno variant)

- Time
- Sequence No
- Acks
- Packets
- Now what? - timeout

### SACK

- Basic problem is that cumulative acks provide little information
- Selective acknowledgement (SACK) essentially adds a bitmask of packets received
  - Implemented as a TCP option
  -Encoded as a set of received byte ranges (max of 4 ranges/often max of 3)
- When to retransmit?
  - Still need to deal with reordering → wait for out of order by 3pkts

### SACK

- Now what? – send retransmissions as soon as detected
Performance Issues

• Timeout >> fast rexmit

• Need 3 dupacks/sacks

• Not great for small transfers
  • Don’t have 3 packets outstanding

• What are real loss patterns like?

Important Lessons

• TCP state diagram → setup/teardown

• TCP timeout calculation → how is RTT estimated

• Modern TCP loss recovery
  • Why are timeouts bad?
  • How to avoid them? → e.g. fast retransmit

Detecting Half-open Connections

1. (CRASH)
2. CLOSED
3. SYN-SENT → <SEQ=400><CTL=SYN> → (send 300, receive 100) → ESTABLISHED
4. (!!) ← <SEQ=300><ACK=100><CTL=ACK> ← (??) → ESTABLISHED
5. SYN-SENT → <SEQ=100><CTL=RST> (Abort!!)
6. SYN-SENT
7. SYN-SENT → <SEQ=400><CTL=SYN> → CLOSED
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