

Team-aware Multirobot Strategy for Cooperative Path Clearing

Gita Sukthankar

Robotics Institute, CMU

Pittsburgh, PA 15213

gitars@cs.cmu.edu

<http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~gitars/AAAI-2000>

In this paper, we present a simulated version of a potential demining problem in which robotic minesweepers clear a battle area of anti-tank mines to enable troops to breach the field. The demining problem is modeled as a distributed optimization problem in which the robots strive to minimize an abstract cost function. Robots were simulated using the Java based simulator, *TeamBots* (www.teambots.org).

The demining robots are responsible for clearing a direct vertical path between the top and bottom edges of the minefield. At each time step, robots must select one of three possible actions: *move*, *scan*, or *defuse*. Each action has a time cost associated with it that represents the relative difficulty of the task for the robot; deactivating mines is not explicitly modeled in the simulation as an actuation task. This problem has characteristics of the *consume* and *graze* tasks described in (Balch & Arkin 1995); robots explore unknown areas until they isolate a path that has few mines before converging to “consume” the mines. By varying the costs associated with each action, we can model the characteristics of different robotic deminers.

Previous work suggests that a homogeneous strategy should perform well in this domain, given its similarity to other foraging-type tasks. However we show that robots using a simple homogeneous approach have difficulty completing the task due to high inter-robot interference. We suggest that the proper way to approach the problem of interference is to make the robots more “team-aware”; each robot plans its strategy based on assumptions about what its teammates are doing and current sensor data. This approach works well and substantially outperforms the simple homogeneous approach in which each robot individually optimizes. Although this type of global optimization can become intractable for large numbers of robots, we present an efficient algorithm that only considers local interactions.

For the “team-aware” optimization strategy, each robot models the decision-making process of its nearest peers. Since robots outside this neighborhood are less likely to interfere the robot’s goal, their impact on the choice of next action is not considered. For each teammate within this neighborhood the robot determines whether the teammate is also heading for its chosen column. This calculation can be performed in $O(K)$ time for K neighbors and creates a

list of potential interference points. The obvious algorithm for finding an optimal arrangement of peers to cells in the chosen column requires an exhaustive enumeration. Fortunately the same result can be calculated far more efficiently. A robot need only consider its K best choices rather than all N cells, because it can never be assigned to a worse option. This enables us to determine the optimal solution while only considering K^K potential assignments. Preliminary results indicate that the “team-aware” strategy performs favorably compared to the individual optimization strategy; in scenarios with larger number of robots (> 3), the team robots clear a path faster and are less likely to get trapped by other robots.

Unfortunately, a multirobot system cannot always be created by cloning a group of single robots programmed for the same task. There has to be some awareness, either on the part of the robots or the system designer, of the role that other team members will play in completing the task. Unless the global task is somehow partitioned among the robots, they will either interfere with each other or converge on a sub-optimal division of labor.

Heterogeneity, either behavioral or functional, can serve as prior agreement on labor division. *Functional* heterogeneity usually means that not all the robots are capable of performing all the tasks, whereas *behavioral* heterogeneity occurs when not all the robots are interested in performing the same section of the task, at least not at the same time. This inhibits SPST (same place, same time) interference, as described in (Fontan & Mataric 1998).

Homogeneous systems are well suited for using teamwork since it is relatively trivial for a homogeneous system to “model” its fellow teammates. This approach shares some similarities with the central planner method, although it is often computationally faster since each robot only has to infer the actions of fellow robots within sensor range, rather than the actions of the entire team.

References

- Balch, T., and Arkin, R. 1995. Communication in reactive multiagent robotic systems. *Autonomous Robots* 1(1).
- Fontan, M., and Mataric, M. 1998. Territorial multi-robot task division. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation* 15(5).