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• There are 13 pages in this examination, comprising 4 questions worth a total of 125 points.
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Full Name: 
Andrew ID: 
Section Letter: 
For grading staff only:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>True or False</th>
<th>Contracts</th>
<th>Pixels and Images</th>
<th>Spiral Sort</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Points:</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15–122 Midterm 1 3 of 13 February 9, 2015
Question 1 [31]: True or False

(a) (21 points) For each of the following C0 statements, either a) write *always true* if the statement will always evaluate to true or b) give *specific, concrete* values of the variables in either *hex* or in *decimal* such that the statement will either evaluate to false or raise an arithmetic error.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C0 Statement</th>
<th>Value or Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( x &gt;&gt; 2 == x / 4 )</td>
<td>( x = 0 \times 2 \times 0 \times 2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x &lt;&lt; 2 == x * 4 )</td>
<td>( x = 0 \times 2 \times 0 \times 2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((\neg x) + 1 == -x)</td>
<td>( x = 0 \times 2 \times 0 \times 2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( y &lt;= 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x != -x )</td>
<td>( x = 0 \times 2 \times 0 \times 2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x != \neg x )</td>
<td>( x = 0 \times 2 \times 0 \times 2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x &lt;= x + 1 )</td>
<td>( x = 0 \times 2 \times 0 \times 2 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) (10 points) Answer true or false (nothing more) to the following statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Truth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When creating an array in C0 with <code>alloc_array</code>, the size must be greater than 0.</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the worst case, quicksort on an array of size ( n ) will run in ( O(n \log n) ) time.</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the worst case, binary search in an array of size ( n ) will run in ( O(\log n) ) time.</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 3n + 4 \in O(n) )</td>
<td>False</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n \log n \in O(n) )</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question 2 [37]: Contracts

This function attempts to mimic the is_sorted(A, lower, upper) specification function.

It has all sorts of issues.

```c
/* 1 */    bool check_is_sorted(int[] A, int lower, int upper)
/* 2 */    /@requires 0 <= lower && lower <= upper && upper <= \length(A);
/* 3 */    {
/* 4 */    for(int i = 0; i < upper; i++)
/* 5 */    /@loop_invariant lower <= i && i <= upper;
/* 6 */    {
/* 7 */    if (A[i] > A[i+1]) return false;
/* 8 */    }
/* 9 */    return true;
/* 10 */ }
```

If given the 5-element array containing the integers [1, 2, 3, 3, 2], as a first argument, give specific values of lower and upper that meet the preconditions such that, when compiled and run with contract checking on (–d).

(a) (6 points) …the function will return false without failing a contract or accessing an array out of bounds.

Answer: lower = upper =

(b) (6 points) …the loop invariant will fail.

Answer: lower = upper =

(c) (6 points) …an array will eventually be accessed out of bounds.

Answer: lower = upper =

(d) (6 points) Rewrite line 4 so that the loop invariant is valid, all array accesses are safe, and the function correctly checks that the array is sorted from lower (inclusive) to upper (exclusive).

```c
for(int i = ____________________; ____________________; i++)
```
The questions on this page deal with reasoning about safety. You only need to list line numbers. Do not list unnecessary line numbers.

```c
/* 1 */ int test(int[] A, int n)
/* 2 */ //@requires 0 <= n;
/* 3 */ //@requires n < \length(A);
/* 4 */ {
/* 5 */     int i = 0;
/* 6 */     while (i < n)
/* 7 */ /*@loop_invariant 0 <= i;*/
/* 8 */ /*@loop_invariant i <= n;*/
/* 9 */ {
/* 11 */     i = i + 1;
/* 12 */ }
/* 13 */ return A[i] - 1;
/* 14 */ }
```

(e) (3 points) Which line(s) would we need to reference to justify that the loop invariant 0 <= i on line 7 holds initially?

Answer:

(f) (3 points) Which line(s) would we need to reference to justify that the loop invariant i <= n on line 8 holds initially?

Answer:

(g) (3 points) Which line(s) would we need to reference to justify the safety of the array accesses A[i+1] on line 10?

Answer:

(h) (4 points) Which line(s) would we need to reference to justify the safety of the array access A[i] on line 13?

Answer:
Recall the interface to pixels, assuming a valid typedef for pixel:

```c
pixel make_pixel(int a, int r, int g, int b)
/*@requires 0 <= a && a < 256; @*/
/*@requires 0 <= r && r < 256; @*/
/*@requires 0 <= g && g < 256; @*/
/*@requires 0 <= b && b < 256; @*/ ;
```

```c
int get_alpha(pixel P) /*@ensures 0 <= \result && \result < 256; @*/ ;
int get_red(pixel P) /*@ensures 0 <= \result && \result < 256; @*/ ;
int get_green(pixel P) /*@ensures 0 <= \result && \result < 256; @*/ ;
int get_blue(pixel P) /*@ensures 0 <= \result && \result < 256; @*/ ;
```

(a) (3 points) The inversion transformation leaves alpha values of pixels untouched, but for the red, green, and blue color intensities, it replaces an intensity of 255 with 0, an intensity of 254 with 1, an intensity of 253 with 2... and so on to replacing an intensity of 0 with 255.

Implement the inversion transformation using only numeric constants written in \texttt{hex} and the arithmetic operations from the set \{+,-,\ast\}. (You may not need to use all of them!)

```c
pixel invert(pixel P) {
    int a = get_alpha(P);
    int r = get_red(P);
    int g = get_green(P);
    int b = get_blue(P);

    return make_pixel(a, \texttt{0x} \texttt{0x}, \texttt{0x}, \texttt{0x});
}
```

(b) (3 points) Implement the inversion transformation using only numeric constants written in \texttt{hex} and the bitwise operations from the set \{\neg, \land, \lor\}. (You may not need to use all of them!)

```c
pixel invert(pixel P) {
    int a = get_alpha(P);
    int r = get_red(P);
    int g = get_green(P);
    int b = get_blue(P);

    return make_pixel(a, \texttt{0x}\texttt{ff}, \texttt{0x}\texttt{ff}, \texttt{0x}\texttt{ff});
}
```
(c) (11 points) Given the following struct declaration and typedefs, fill in a data structure invariant is_pixel and implement make_pixel and get_red. All functions should be safe, correct, and should provably satisfy their contracts.

```c
struct pixel_header {
    int alpha; // Stores the alpha value
    int red;   // Stores the red value
    int green; // Stores the green value
    int blue;  // Stores the blue value
};
typedef ____________________________ pixel;

bool is_pixel________________________ P) {
    }

pixel make_pixel(int a, int r, int g, int b)
//@requires 0 <= a && a < 256 && 0 <= r && r < 256;
//@requires 0 <= g && g < 256 && 0 <= b && b < 256;
//@ensures is_pixel(esult);
{
}

int get_red(pixel P)
//@requires is_pixel(P);
//@ensures 0 <= \result && \result < 256;
{
}
```
(d) (4 points) This alternate implementation of pixels ADT is safe, and it provably satisfies its contracts, but it’s not correct.

```c
bool is_pixel(/* SAME TYPE AS BEFORE (NOT SHOWN) */ P) {
    return true;
}
```

```c
pixel make_pixel(int a, int r, int g, int b)
//@requires 0 <= a && a < 256 && 0 <= r && r < 256;
//@requires 0 <= g && g < 256 && 0 <= b && b < 256;
//@ensures is_pixel(result);
{
    return NULL;
}
```

```c
int get_red(pixel P)
//@requires is_pixel(P);
//@ensures 0 <= result && result < 256;
{
    return 200;
}
```

... other functions not shown

Write a simple unit test given the code above that respects the pixel interface and detects the bug in this implementation by failing an assertion.

```c
int main() {

    return 0;
}
```
(e) (6 points) Consider two versions of the same image, which has width of $w$ and height of $h$. The first is an arbitrary image, and the second is a version of the original image where \textit{each row} has been sorted by average pixel intensity. That is, the average of the red, green and blue values is computed for each pixel, and the row of pixels is sorted based on these average values. Here’s one example of such a pair of images:

Using selection sort, the time it would take to produce the image on the right from the image on the left would be in $O(hw^2)$, where $h$ is the height and $w$ is the width. If this process took exactly 1 second on an image with height 500 and width 500, approximately how long would we expect this sorting process to take if the height was 1500 and the width was 2000?

Answer:

Using the \textit{sorted} image as described above and any algorithms discussed in class, how long would it take to efficiently determine whether a pixel with an average intensity $i$ exists anywhere in the image? Give your answer using big O notation in terms of $w$ and $h$, in its tightest, simplest form.

Answer:
Question 4 [30]: Spiral Sort

In this problem, we discuss spiral sort. Its chief (and perhaps its only) virtue is that its code is exceedingly short.

```c
/* 1 */ void spiralsort(int[] A, int n)
/* 2 */ //@requires 0 <= n && n <= \length(A);
/* 3 */ //@ensures is_sorted(A, 0, n);
/* 4 */ {
/* 5 */     for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
/* 6 */         //@loop_invariant 0 <= i && i <= n;
/* 7 */         //@loop_invariant is_sorted(A, 0, i);
/* 8 */         for (int k = 0; k < i; k++)
/* 9 */             //@loop_invariant 0 <= k && k <= i;
/* 10 */         //@loop_invariant is_sorted(A, 0, i);
/* 11 */         // Another loop invariant will be needed...
/* 12 */             if (A[i] < A[k])
/* 13 */                 swap(A, i, k);
/* 14 */             return;
/* 15 */ }
```

The loop invariants given above will never fail during the actual evaluation of spiralsort, but the loop invariants on the inner loop are not strong enough to prove the correctness of the function until we add an additional loop invariant on line 11.

(a) (4 points) What is the worst-case running time of spiral sort on an array of length \( n \), using big-O notation? (When compiled without -d, of course.)

Answer:

(b) (5 points) Show that we can’t reason about the inner loop invariant being preserved: assuming \( i = 3 \), give a value for \( k \) and contents of an array \( A \) such that the loop invariants on lines 9 and 10 hold, the loop guard on line 8 evaluates to true, but the loop invariant on line 10 will not hold the next time it is checked.

\[
\begin{align*}
&i = 3 \\
&k = \\
&A = \begin{array}{c}
\hline
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]
(c) (5 points) The loop invariant $A[k-1] \leq A[i]$ is almost right. What would be wrong with adding this as a loop invariant on line 11?

Answer:

(d) (6 points) Give a better additional loop invariant for the inner loop (which would belong on line 11) that allows us to show that all loop invariants are preserved. You can use functions from `arrayutil.c0` as discussed in class, but this is not necessary.

Answer:

(e) (10 points) Taking for granted that the inner loop invariants are true initially and preserved by every iteration of the loop, show concisely why the outer loop invariants are preserved by every iteration of the outer loop. Specify line numbers to make your reasoning easier to follow. You’ll need to use your answer in part (d) at some point.

Preservation of loop invariant $0 \leq i \&\& i \leq n$

Need to show: $0 \leq i' \&\& i' \leq n$

Preservation of loop invariant `is_sorted(A, 0, i)`

Need to show: `is_sorted(A, 0, i')`