Recitation 11

Josh Zimmerman

Iterative vs. recursive factorial

Consider the following implementations of the factorial function, and try to prove that it satisfies its postcondition.

```
1 int factIter(int n)
 2 //@requires n >= 0;
3 {
     // You can assume that this function is correctly implemented.
4
5
     // That is, you can assume factIter(n) is equal to n!
6 }
7
8 int factRec(int n)
9 //@requires n >= 0;
10 //@ensures \result == factIter(n);
11 {
12
     if (n == 0) {
13
        return 1;
14
     }
     else {
15
16
        return n * factRec(n - 1);
17
     }
18 }
```

Solution:

Partial correctness.

- Base case First, we consider the base case. When n == 0, we know that we return 1, which is 0!, so it's equal to factIter(0).
- Inductive hypothesis Next, we assume that factRec(k) satisfies the postcondition for some int k
 where k >= 0, or in other words that the result of factRec(k) is equal to factIter(k).

Inductive step Now, we consider factRec(k + 1). Since $k \ge 0$, we know $k + 1 \ge 0$.

Therefore, we'll be in the else case and will return (k + 1) * factRec(k + 1 - 1), which is equal to (k + 1) * factRec(k). We're allowed to make this call since we know that k + 1 > 0 and so $k \ge 0$.

By the inductive hypothesis, factRec(k) is equivalent to factIter(k) and by the definition of factorial (and the assumption that factIter is correct) (k + 1) * factIter(k) is equal to factIter(k + 1).

Thus, the function has partial correctness.

Termination:

We've shown that if the function terminates, it is correct, but we need to show that the function terminates.

By the precondition, we know that $n \ge 0$.

Base case We also know that if n == 0 then we terminate immediately.

Inductive hypothesis Assume that factRec(k) terminates for some $k \ge 0$, where k is an int.

Thus, for all $n \ge 0$, this function terminates.