

Quicksort

```

1 int partition(int[] A, int lower, int pivot_index, int upper)
2 //@requires 0 <= lower && lower <= pivot_index;
3 //@requires pivot_index < upper && upper <= \length(A);
4 //@ensures lower <= \result && \result < upper;
5 //@ensures ge_seg(A[\result], A, lower, \result);
6 //@ensures le_seg(A[\result], A, \result+1, upper);
7 {
8     int pivot = A[pivot_index];
9     swap(A, pivot_index, upper-1);
10
11     int left = lower;
12     int right = upper-2;
13     while (left <= right)
14         //@loop_invariant lower <= left && left <= right+1 && right+1 < upper;
15         //@loop_invariant ge_seg(pivot, A, lower, left);
16         //@loop_invariant le_seg(pivot, A, right+1, upper-1);
17     {
18         if (A[left] <= pivot) {
19             left++;
20         } else { //@assert A[left] > pivot;
21             swap(A, left, right);
22             right--;
23         }
24     }
25     //@assert left == right+1;
26     //@assert A[upper-1] == pivot;
27
28     swap(A, left, upper-1);
29     return left;
30 }
31
32 void qsort(int[] A, int lower, int upper, rand_t gen)
33 //@requires 0 <= lower && lower <= upper && upper <= \length(A);
34 //@ensures is_sorted(A, lower, upper);
35 {
36     if (upper - lower <= 1) return; // already sorted
37
38     int pivot_index = lower + abs(rand(gen)% (upper-lower));
39     /* pivot_index = upper-1 or pivot_index = 0 gives O(n^2) for sorted array */
40     /* pivot_index = lower+(upper-lower)/2 efficiency depends on input distribution */
41     /* better yet would be: median of 3 random elements */
42
43     int mid = partition(A, lower, pivot_index, upper);
44     qsort(A, lower, mid, gen);
45     qsort(A, mid+1, upper, gen);
46     return;
47 }

```

Practice!

1. Rank these big-O sets from left to right such that every big-O is a subset of everything to the right of it. (For

instance, $O(n)$ goes farther to the left than $O(n!)$ because $O(n) \subset O(n!)$.) If two sets are the same, put them on top of each other.

Solution:

$O(4)$	$O(\log(\log(n)))$	$O(\log(n))$	$O(\log^2(n))$	$O(n)$	$O(n \log(n))$	$O(n^2 + 20000n + 3)$	$O(2^n)$	$O(n!)$
$O(1)$				$O(4n + 3)$		$O(n^2)$		

2. Using the formal definition of big-O, prove that $n^3 + 300n^2 \in O(n^3)$.

Solution: $n^3 + 300n^2 \leq n^3 + 300n^3$ for all $n > 1$. $n^3 + 300n^3 = 301n^3$. So, for all $n > 1$, $n^3 + 300n^2 \leq 301n^3$. We have $n_0 = 1$, $c = 301$ if we want to plug back in to the formal definition.

3. Using the formal definition of big-O, prove that if $f(n) \in O(g(n))$, then $k * f(n) \in O(g(n))$ for $k > 0$.

One interesting consequence of this is that $O(\log_i(n)) = O(\log_j(n))$ for all i and j (as long as they're both greater than 1), because of the change of base formula. So, it doesn't matter what base we use for logarithms in big-O notation.

Solution: Since $f(n) \in O(g(n))$, we know that there exist some $n_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $f(n) \leq c * g(n)$ for all $n > n_0$.

We can multiply both sides by k to obtain $k * f(n) \leq k * c * g(n)$ for all $n > n_0$.

So, if we set $c_1 = k * c$, then we know that $k * f(n) \leq c_1 * g(n)$ for all $n > n_0$. Thus, $k * f(n) \in O(g(n))$.

4. Let's prove that partition is correct. (Note: since qsort is a recursive function, it requires a slightly different proof format and we won't discuss it in recitation. Instead of loop invariants, we'd have to base our proof entirely on preconditions and postconditions of qsort and partition. Recursive programs generally have relatively clean proofs by induction that they're correct. If you don't know what induction is yet, that's fine.)

Solution:

Preconditions imply loop invariants.

1st loop invariant: On line 11, we set `left = lower`. So, `lower <= left`. By the preconditions, we know that `lower < upper`, so therefore `lower <= upper - 2 + 1`, and so `left <= right + 1`. Since `right = upper - 2`, we know that `right + 1 < upper`.

2nd loop invariant: since `lower == left`, this is true because the subarray of `A` we're considering is empty and thus pivot is greater than or equal to everything in it.

3rd loop invariant: `right + 1 == upper - 1`, so this must be true, since the array we're looking at is empty and thus pivot is less than or equal to everything in it.

Preservation of loop invariants.

Let's case on whether `A[left] <= pivot`.

Case 1: `A[left] <= pivot`. In this case, we know that `left' == left + 1` and all other variables are unchanged.

1st loop invariant: Since `lower <= left`, `lower <= left + 1`, so `lower <= left'`. By the loop exit condition (or loop guard), we know that `left <= right`. Therefore, `left + 1 <= right + 1` or `left' <= right' + 1`. Finally, `right` is unchanged, so we still have `right' + 1 < upper`.

2nd loop invariant: Since pivot is greater than or equal to everything in `A` from `lower` to `left - 1` (inclusive) by

the loop invariant, and $A[\text{left}] \leq \text{pivot}$, we know that pivot is greater than or equal to everything in A from lower to left (inclusive). Thus, $\text{ge_seg}(\text{pivot}, A, \text{lower}, \text{left}')$ holds.

3rd loop invariant: upper and right are unchanged, so this is still true.

Case 2: $A[\text{left}] > \text{pivot}$. In this case, $\text{right}' == \text{right} - 1$, and $A[\text{left}]$ and $A[\text{right}]$ are swapped.

1st loop invariant: Since left is unchanged, we still know that $\text{lower} \leq \text{left}$. Since $\text{left} \leq \text{right}$ (by the loop guard), $\text{left} \leq \text{right} - 1 + 1$, so $\text{left} \leq \text{right}' + 1$. Since $\text{right}' < \text{right}$, we know that $\text{right}' + 1 < \text{upper}$ (since $\text{right} < \text{upper}$).

2nd loop invariant: The swap doesn't change any array element from index lower to index $\text{left} - 1$ (inclusive), so this loop invariant is unaffected.

3rd loop invariant: First, note that $\text{right}' + 1 == \text{right}$. Next, note that the old element at index left was larger than pivot , and that that element is now at $A'[\text{right}]$. Since the loop invariant was true at the start of the loop, we know that pivot is less than or equal to every element at index at least $\text{right} + 1$. We know that pivot is less than the element now at right (since we're in this case), so the loop invariant still holds.

Loop invariants and negated loop guard imply postcondition.

First, we should show that the `//@assert` statements hold.

The negated loop guard tells us that $\text{left} > \text{right}$ (and so $\text{left} \geq \text{right} + 1$). The loop invariant tells us that $\text{left} \leq \text{right} + 1$. Thus, $\text{left} == \text{right} + 1$.

We never touched $A[\text{upper} - 1]$, by the first loop invariant and the fact that $\text{pivot} == \text{pivot}$ (so we'd never try to swap it). Thus, $A[\text{upper} - 1] == \text{pivot}$.

Now, we swap $\text{upper} - 1$ and left . We know by the third loop invariant and the first assert statement that $\text{pivot} \leq A[\text{right} + 1]$ (and thus $\text{pivot} \leq A[\text{left}]$) and that pivot will now be $A[\text{left}]$. Note that $\text{pivot} \leq A[\text{upper} - 1]$ now.

1st postcondition: we know that $\text{lower} \leq \text{left}$ by the first loop invariant. We also know that $\text{left} == \text{right} + 1$ and $\text{right} + 1 < \text{upper}$, by the first loop invariant. Thus, this postcondition is true.

2nd postcondition: By the second loop invariant, we know $\text{ge_seg}(\text{pivot}, A, \text{lower}, \text{left})$ is true. But $A[\text{result}] == \text{pivot}$, so the postcondition must be true.

3rd postcondition: By the third loop invariant, we know $\text{le_seg}(\text{pivot}, A, \text{right} + 1, \text{upper} - 1)$ is true. Further, based on the swap we did on line 30, we know that $\text{pivot} < A[\text{upper} - 1]$.

So, we know that $\text{le_seg}(\text{pivot}, A, \text{right} + 1, \text{upper})$ is true. $\text{left} == \text{right} + 1$, so the third postcondition is true.

Termination:

We only enter the loop if $\text{left} \leq \text{right}$, by the loop guard.

At each iteration, we either increment left or decrement right . Therefore, we'll eventually get to a point when $\text{left} > \text{right}$. At this point, we exit the loop.

Thus, partition is correct.