Homework 2 Theory

Due: Tuesday, February 12, 2013, at the beginning of lecture

Name: 

Andrew ID: 

Recitation: 

The written portion of this week’s homework will give you some practice working with searching algorithms and test your understanding of contracts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You must use this printout, include this cover sheet, and staple the whole thing together before turning it in. Either type up the assignment using 15122-theory2.tex, or print this PDF and write your answers neatly by hand.
1. **Reasoning with Invariants.** Consider the following implementation of the linear search algorithm that finds the **first** occurrence of x in array A:

```c
/* 1 */ int find_first(int x, int[] A, int n)
/* 2 */ //@requires 0 <= n && n <= \length(A);
/* 3 */ //@requires is_sorted(A, 0, n);
/* 4 */ //@ensures (result == -1 && !is_in(x, A, 0, n))
/* 5 */ || (A[result] == x && !is_in(x, A, 0, result));
/* 6 */ {
/* 7 */ int i = 0;
/* 8 */ while (i < n && A[i] <= x)
/* 9 */ //answer to 1.a goes here
/* 10 */ {
/* 11 */ if (A[i] == x) return i;
/* 12 */ i = i + 1;
/* 13 */ }
/* 14 */ return -1;
/* 15 */ }
```

The function is_sorted has the following signature:

```c
bool is_sorted(int[] A, int lower, int upper)
//@requires 0 <= lower && lower <= \length(A) - 1;
//@requires 0 <= upper && upper <= \length(A);
//@requires lower <= upper;
;
```

and returns true if the array A is sorted in increasing order from [lower, upper).

You may also use the function is_in in any of the following questions. Its signature is:

```c
bool is_in(int x, int[] A, int lower, int upper)
//@requires 0 <= lower && lower <= upper && upper <= \length(A);
;
```

and it returns true if A[i] == x for some i in [lower, upper).
(2) (a) Add loop invariants to the while loop in the code and show that they hold for this loop. Be sure that the loop invariants precisely describe the computation in the loop and that they imply safety of the array access in the `while` loop.

**Solution:**

Loop invariant(s):

The loop invariant(s) hold(s) initially:

The loop invariant(s) is/are preserved by every iteration of the loop:
(4) (b) Show that the loop invariant is *strong enough* by using the loop invariant to prove that the postconditions hold when the function returns. You do not need to prove the loop invariant’s correctness here (you already did that in 1.a), but you might need to change that answer to part 4(a) in order for the loop invariant to actually imply the function’s correctness. Make sure to deal with the fact that `find` can return in two different ways.

**Solution:**

If the function returns on line 11:

If the function returns on line 14:
2. Runtime Complexity. Consider the following function that sorts the integers in an array.

```c
void sort(int A[], int n)
//@requires 0 <= n && n <= length(A);
{
    int i = 1;
    while (i < n)
    //@loop_invariant 0 <= i;
    {
        int j = i;
        while (j != 0 && A[j-1] > A[j])
        //@loop_invariant 0 <= j && j <= i;
        {
            swap(A, j-1, j); // function that swaps A[j-1] with A[j]
            j = j - 1;
        }
        i = i + 1;
    }
}
```

(a) Let $T(n)$ be the worst-case number of swaps made when `sort(A, n)` is called. Find an exact expression for $T(n)$.

Solution:
(1)  
(b) Using big-$O$ notation, what is asymptotic complexity of $T(n)$? This is the worst-case runtime complexity of sort.

Solution:

\[ T(n) = O( \) \\

(2)  
(c) Using your answer from the previous part, prove that $T(n) = O(f(n))$ using the formal definition of big $O$. That is, find $c > 0$ and $n_0 \geq 0$ such that for every $n \geq n_0$, $T(n) \leq cf(n)$.

Solution:
3. Computing Overlaps

In this problem, we will study the Overlap Problem, which is the task of computing the number of shared elements between two arrays. The inputs to this problem will be an array $A[]$ of $m$ integers and a second array $B[]$ of $k$ integers. We require the integers of $A[]$ and $B[]$ to be distinct, meaning no integer will occur more than once in $A[]$ (or in $B[]$), though some integers may occur once in each of $A[]$ and $B[]$. Consider the following piece of pseudocode which counts the number of integers which are in both of $A[]$ and $B[]$.

\[\text{OverlapCounter}(A[], m, B[], k)\]

- Initialize an integer $\text{count}$ to 0.
- For each integer $0 \leq i < m$:
  - Use a linear search algorithm to determine if the integer $A[i]$ can be found in $B[]$. If so, increment $\text{count}$.
- Output $\text{count}$.

(a) What is the big-$O$ runtime of this algorithm? Your answer should be in terms of $m$ and $k$.

Solution:

(b) Oftentimes, a problem involving arrays can be solved faster if at least one of the arrays is assumed to be sorted. In this part, we will assume that that the input array $B[]$ is sorted, while $A[]$ remains unsorted. Using this assumption, explain how to modify $\text{OverlapCounter}$ to solve the Overlap Problem asymptotically faster than it currently does.

Solution:
(c) What is the big-$O$ runtime of your algorithm? Your answer should be in terms of $m$ and $k$.

**Solution:**

(d) Sorting arrays is one of the most well-studied problems in all of Computer Science, and there are several extremely fast algorithms which solve it. Assuming you have access to a function which sorts an $n$-element array in time $O(n \log n)$, explain how to solve the Overlap Problem for two (possibly unsorted) arrays $A[]$ and $B[]$ asymptotically faster than the original version of **OverlapCounter**. What is the big-$O$ runtime of your algorithm? Your answer should be in terms of $m$ and $k$. Try to devise the fastest algorithm possible.

**Solution:**