

# Unifying Discriminative Visual Codebook Generation with Classifier Training for Object Category Recognition (Supplemental Material for CVPR 2008 paper)

Liu Yang<sup>1</sup>

Rong Jin<sup>1</sup>

Rahul Sukthankar<sup>2,3</sup>

Frederic Jurie<sup>4</sup>

yangliu1@cse.msu.edu rongjin@cse.msu.edu rahuls@cs.cmu.edu frederic.jurie@inrialpes.fr

<sup>1</sup>Dept. CSE, Michigan State Univ. <sup>2</sup>Intel Research Pittsburgh <sup>3</sup>Robotics Institute, Carnegie Mellon <sup>4</sup>LEAR Group - CNRS - INRIA

Paper available at: <http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~rahuls/pub/>

## Appendix A : Proof of Lemma 1

*Proof.*  $l'(X_i, y_i)$  can be upper bounded by follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 l'(X_i, y_i) &= \frac{n_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} e^{l(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y_i)}} \\
 &= \frac{n_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} e^{l(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y_i)} \frac{\exp(\alpha g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y_i))}{\sum_{y=1}^m \exp(\alpha g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y))}} \\
 &= l(X_i, y_i) \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} q_{i,j}(y) \frac{\exp(\alpha g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y_i))}{\sum_{y=1}^m \exp(\alpha g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y))}} \\
 &\leq l(X_i, y_i) \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} q_{i,j}(y) \left( \frac{\exp(\alpha g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y_i))}{\sum_{y=1}^m \exp(\alpha g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y))} \right)^{-1} \\
 &= l(X_i, y_i) \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} q_{i,j}(y) \sum_{y=1}^m \exp\left(\alpha(g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y) - g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y_i))\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$q_{i,j}(y) = \frac{e^{l(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y_i)}}{\sum_{j'=1}^{n_i} e^{l(\mathbf{x}_{i,j'}, y_i)}}.$$

The above inequality gives us the result in Lemma 1.  $\square$

## Appendix B : Proof of Lemma 2

*Proof.*  $\exp(\alpha(g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y) - g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y')))$  can be upper bounded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\exp(\alpha(g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y) - g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y'))) \\
 &= \exp\left(-3\alpha \times \frac{g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y') - g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y) + 1}{3} + 3\alpha \times \frac{1}{3} + 0 \times \frac{g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y) - g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y') + 1}{3}\right) \\
 &\leq \frac{g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y') - g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y) + 1}{3} \exp(-3\alpha) + \frac{1}{3} \exp(3\alpha) + \frac{g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y) - g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y') + 1}{3} \\
 &= \frac{\exp(-3\alpha) + \exp(3\alpha) + 1}{3} - \frac{1 - \exp(-3\alpha)}{3} (g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y') - g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y)).
 \end{aligned}$$

In the above, we exploit the convexity of exponential function, namely

$$\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \exp(q_i),$$

when  $p_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  is a probability distribution (i.e.,  $p_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ ).  $\square$

## Appendix C : Proof of Theorem 1

*Proof.* Using the results in Lemma 1 and 2, we have the loss function  $l'(X_i, y)$  upper bound as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{l'(X_i, y)}{l(X_i, y)} \\
& \leq \frac{\exp(-3\alpha) + \exp(3\alpha) + 1}{3} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \sum_{y'=1}^m q_{i,j}(y) e(X_i, y') \\
& \quad - \frac{1 - \exp(-3\alpha)}{3} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} q_{i,j}(y) \sum_{y'=1}^m e(X_i, y') g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y) \\
& \quad - \frac{1 - \exp(-3\alpha)}{3} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} q_{i,j}(y) \sum_{y'=1}^m e(X_i, y') g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y') \\
& = \frac{\exp(-3\alpha) + \exp(3\alpha) + 1}{3} \\
& \quad - \frac{1 - \exp(-3\alpha)}{3} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} q_{i,j}(y) \sum_{y'=1}^m \delta(y, y') g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y') \\
& \quad - \frac{1 - \exp(-3\alpha)}{3} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} q_{i,j}(y) \sum_{y'=1}^m e(X_i, y') g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y').
\end{aligned}$$

In the above, we use the relationships

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} q_{i,j}(y) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{y=1}^m e(X_i, y) = 1.$$

Using the above inequality and the fact that  $\mathcal{L}' = \sum_{i=1}^N l \sum_{y \in \mathbf{y}_i} l(X_i, y)$ , we obtain the result in Theorem 1.  $\square$

## Appendix D : Computing the Optimal $\alpha$

*Proof.* First, using Lemma 1, we have the following upper bound for the objective function  $\mathcal{L}'$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}' & \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{y \in \mathbf{y}_i} l(X_i, y) \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} q_{i,j}(y) \sum_{y'=1}^m \frac{e(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y')}{\exp(\alpha[g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y) - g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y')])} \quad \text{where} \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{y \in \mathbf{y}_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \sum_{y'=1}^m t_{i,j}(y, y') \delta(g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y), 1) \delta(g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y'), 0) \exp(\alpha) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{y \in \mathbf{y}_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \sum_{y'=1}^m t_{i,j}(y, y') \delta(g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y), 0) \delta(g(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y'), 1) \exp(\alpha) \quad \text{Hence,}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$t_{i,j}(y, y') = l(X_i, y) q_{i,j}(y) e(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y').$$

By setting the derivative of the above expression with respect to  $\alpha$  to be zero, we have the expression for computing the optimal  $\alpha$ .  $\square$

## Appendix E : Proof of Theorem 3

*Proof.* First note that  $\mathcal{L}$  can also be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} & = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{y \in \mathbf{y}_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \sum_{y'=1}^m l(X_i, y) q_{i,j}(y) e(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}, y') \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \sum_{z=0}^1 \sum_{z'=0}^1 A_{i,j}(z) B_{i,j}(z') \\
& = K_{0,0} + K_{0,1} + K_{1,0} + K_{1,1},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_{z,z'} = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} A_{i,j}(z) B_{i,j}(z').$$

On the other hand, using the result for  $\alpha$ , we have  $\mathcal{L}'$  expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}' & = 2 \sqrt{\left( \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} A_{i,j}(1) B_{i,j}(0) \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} A_{i,j}(0) B_{i,j}(1) \right)} \\
& = 2 \sqrt{K_{1,0} K_{0,1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the ratio  $\mathcal{L}'/\mathcal{L}$  is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\mathcal{L}} & = \frac{2 \sqrt{K_{1,0} K_{0,1}}}{K_{0,0} + K_{0,1} + K_{1,0} + K_{1,1}} \\
& = 1 - \frac{K_{0,0} + K_{0,1} + K_{1,0} + K_{1,1} - 2 \sqrt{K_{0,1} K_{1,0}}}{K_{0,0} + K_{0,1} + K_{1,0} + K_{1,1}} \\
& \leq 1 - \frac{K_{0,1} + K_{1,0} - 2 \sqrt{K_{0,1} K_{1,0}}}{K_{0,0} + K_{0,1} + K_{1,0} + K_{1,1}} \\
& = 1 - \frac{(\sqrt{K_{0,1}} - \sqrt{K_{1,0}})^2}{K_{0,0} + K_{0,1} + K_{1,0} + K_{1,1}} \\
& = 1 - \frac{(\exp(\alpha) - 1)^2}{1 + \exp(2\alpha) + \eta},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta & = \frac{K_{1,1} K_{0,0}}{K_{1,0}} \\
& = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \sum_{z=0}^1 A_{i,j}(z) B_{i,j}(z)}{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} A_{i,j}(1) B_{i,j}(0)}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_T & = \mathcal{L}_0 \prod_{t=1}^T \frac{\mathcal{L}_t}{\mathcal{L}_{t-1}} \\
& \leq \prod_{t=1}^T \left( 1 - \frac{(\exp(\alpha_t) - 1)^2}{1 + \exp(2\alpha_t) + \eta_t} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$