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Overview

• Present three variants of sentiment analysis (SA) tasks
• Bag-of-Words (BoW) representation and its extension for phrases
• Formulate the three SA tasks as machine learning problems
• Present the proposed method for latent representation of n-grams
• Experimental results:
  • Three sentiment analysis tasks
  • Two large-scale datasets: Amazon & TripAdvisor
Definition of Opinion Mining

- Sentiment Analysis (SA) – is the task of extracting subjective information from natural language text

- Consider three variants of SA task
  - **Binary SA**
    - Estimates overall sentiment of text as positive or negative
  - **Multi-scale SA**
    - Determines overall sentiment of text using Likert scale
    - e.g., 5-star system for online reviews
  - **Pair-wise SA**
    - Orders pairs of texts based on sentiment strength and polarity
    - e.g., “very good” vs “good” vs “terrible” vs “absolutely terrible”
Automatic Sentiment Analysis

- Automatic SA can be tackled with machine learning
- Labeled training data is used to bias system’s output
- Formulate the three SA tasks:
  - **Binary SA** as a binary classification problem
  - **Multi-scale SA** as ordinal classification
    - preferred for labels that admit ordering
  - **Pair-wise SA** as margin ranking loss optimization
Prior Work on Automatic SA

- Prior work primarily considered binary SA task
- In-depth survey of the automatic SA methods are presented in [1]
- Unsupervised extraction of aspect-sentiment relationship was used in [2]
- Modeling content structure in semi-supervised manner was shown to improve SA accuracy in [3]
- Discriminative (fully) supervised methods result in current state-of-art
  - Linear SVM trained on BoW representation [4]


Bag-of-Words Representation for Text

“Think and wonder, wonder and think.”

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>think</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wonder</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Bag-of-Words (BoW)** model treats text as order-invariant collection of features
  - Enumerate all unique words in text corpus and place into dictionary \( \mathcal{D} \)
  - Let \( \mathbf{x} = (w_1, \ldots, w_N) \) denote a document from corpus
  - Define canonical basis vector with single non-zero entry at position \( w_i \):
    \[
    \mathbf{e}_{w_i} = (0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)^	op
    \]
  - Define BoW representation of document \( \mathbf{x} \):
    \[
    \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_x = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{e}_{w_i}
    \]
    \[\dim(\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_x) = \dim(\mathbf{e}_{w_i}) = |\mathcal{D}| \times 1\]
  - Optionally, assign weights (e.g., TF-IDF, BM25) to every word
Word Phrases in Opinion Mining

- Short phrases better capture sentiment than words
  - Consider words “recommend” and “book”

  “I absolutely recommend this book”
  “I highly recommend this book”
  “I recommend this book”
  “I somewhat recommend this book”
  “I don’t recommend this book”
Modeling Phrases in BoW Model

“the film is palpable evil genius”

• BoW extension to encode **positional information**
  • Collect all phrases with \( n \) words or less (i.e., \( n \)-grams) from corpus
  • Add \( n \)-grams to set \( \Gamma \) and use them as features in BoW model:
    \[
    \dim(e_{w_i}) = |\Gamma| \times 1, \quad |\Gamma| = O(|\mathcal{D}|^{n_w}).
    \]
The Proposed Method

- Adopt method by Collobert and Weston [5] to SA tasks
- Embed all sliding n-gram windows from text in latent space
- Compute latent text representation as centroid of all n-grams
- The process is modeled as a feed-forward neural network
- Parameters for latent projections and classifiers are jointly estimated via backpropagation using stochastic gradient descent

Feed-forward Deep Architectures

Input Vector

Linear Projection \( p_1 = Ax + b \)

Non-linear Transfer Function \( p_2 = h(p_1) \)

\[
\begin{align*}
tanh(t) &= \frac{e^{2t} - 1}{e^{2t} + 1} \\
sigmoid(t) &= \frac{1}{1 + e^{-t}}
\end{align*}
\]

Optimization objective (i.e., NN Criterion) \( L(p_k) \)
Backpropagation & Stochastic Gradient Descent

- **Backpropagation** [6] is supervised learning method for **neural network (NN)**
  - Using backward recurrence it jointly optimizes all NN parameters
  - Requires all activation functions to be differentiable
  - Enables flexible design in deep NN architecture
  - Gradient descent is used to (locally) minimize objective:
    \[
    A^{k+1} = A^k - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial A^k}
    \]

- **Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)** [7] is first-order iterative optimization
  - SGD is an **online learning** method
  - Approximates “true” gradient with a gradient at one data point
  - Attractive because of low computation requirement
  - Rivals **batch learning** (e.g., SVM) methods on large datasets

Latent Embedding for BoW Document

\[ \tilde{e}_x = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_{w_i} \]

\[ e_{w_i} = (0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)^\top \]

\[ \dim(e_{w_i}) = |\Gamma| \times 1, \quad |\Gamma| = O(|D|^\nu). \]
Binary Sentiment Analysis

- Formulate binary SA task as classification
  - Typical formulation for Support Vector Machines (SVM)

\[ g(d_x) \geq 0 \quad g(d_x) < 0 \]
SVM Classification [8] for BoW Document

\[ g(d_x) = G \tilde{e}_x \]

\[ \dim(G) = 1 \times |\Gamma| \]

SVM adds regularization term to objective: \[ \frac{1}{2} \|G\|_2^2 \]

Multi-Scale Sentiment Analysis

- Formulate multi-scale SA as ordinal classification
  - Only perceptron-based models are considered

\[ \beta_3 \leq g(d_x) < \beta_4 \]
Pair-Wise Sentiment Analysis

• Formulate pair-wise SA as margin ranking loss
  • No labels are required
  • Use labels for pair-wise supervised signal
  • Only perceptron models are considered
Latent Embedding of n-grams (SLNA)
"the film is palpable evil genius"
User Review
"the film is palpable evil genius"

\[ w_1 \ w_2 \ w_3 \ w_4 \ w_5 \ w_6 \]

\[ e_{w_1} \ e_{w_2} \ e_{w_3} \ e_{w_4} \ e_{w_5} \ e_{w_6} \]

Word Embedding
\[ E e_{w_j} \]

\[ E_{w_1} \ E_{w_2} \ E_{w_3} \ E_{w_4} \ E_{w_5} \ E_{w_6} \]

Phrase Embedding
\[ h (F z_{\gamma_j} ) \]

\[ p_{\gamma_1} \ p_{\gamma_2} \ p_{\gamma_3} \ p_{\gamma_4} \]
"the film is palpable evil genius"

\[
\begin{align*}
&w_1 \quad w_2 \quad w_3 \quad w_4 \quad w_5 \quad w_6 \\
&e_{w_1} \quad e_{w_2} \quad e_{w_3} \quad e_{w_4} \quad e_{w_5} \quad e_{w_6}
\end{align*}
\]
Advantages of Proposed Method

• Augmenting BoW representation with n-grams results in exponentially exploding feature space

• The proposed method requires only uni-gram features

• Each uni-gram feature contributes to all latent n-grams that contain the feature

• Parameter space for our model grows linear with size of n-gram, recognized by the model

• The proposed method results in the state-of-art performance for three SA tasks
Experimental Results: Datasets

• Use two large-scale sentiment datasets
  • Amazon & TripAdvisor
• Amazon contains product reviews from 25 categories
  • e.g., apparel, automotive, baby, DVDs, electronics, magazines
• TripAdvisor contains hotel reviews from across the globe
  • Consider only overall ratings for the reviews
• Create balanced 70/30% train-test split
• Sample training set to obtain validating set
• Limit vocabulary to terms with highest mutual information (MI) shared with the binary labels [9]

Datasets (cont’d)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Amazon</th>
<th>TripAdvisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>⭐</td>
<td>103,953</td>
<td>15,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⭐⭐</td>
<td>80,278</td>
<td>20,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⭐⭐⭐</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25,968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⭐⭐⭐⭐</td>
<td>48,086</td>
<td>15,141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐</td>
<td>136,145</td>
<td>20,051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train</td>
<td>237,900</td>
<td>64,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test</td>
<td>110,562</td>
<td>28,907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validate</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>368,462</td>
<td>96,352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(</td>
<td>\mathcal{D}</td>
<td>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(</td>
<td>\Gamma_1</td>
<td>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(</td>
<td>\Gamma_2</td>
<td>)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both dataset splits are available at:  http://mst.cs.drexel.edu/datasets
Experimental Results: Binary SA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Amazon</th>
<th>TripAdvisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOW Prc 1g</td>
<td>10.96</td>
<td>8.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOW Prc 2g</td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>7.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOW SVM 1g</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>8.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOW SVM 2g</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>7.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOW SVM Δ-IDF 1g</td>
<td>10.91</td>
<td>8.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOW SVM Δ-IDF 2g</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>7.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOW LSI SVM 1g</td>
<td>21.40</td>
<td>24.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLNA</td>
<td>9.84</td>
<td>8.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLNA LSI</td>
<td><strong>7.12</strong></td>
<td>8.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLNA LT-FIX</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed method, where lookup table $E$ is initialized with LSI embedding of uni-grams, parameters in $E$ are not updated.

Experimental Results: Pair-wise SA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Amazon</th>
<th>TripAdvisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOW Prc 2g</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLNA LSI</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Experimental Results: Multi-class SA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Amazon</th>
<th>TripAdvisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOW Prc 2g</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>44.9 (52.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOW Prc 2g RL</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>41.5 (51.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLNA LSI</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>42.7 (51.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLNA LSI RL</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>39.6 (49.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both models are initialized with parameters pre-trained on pair-wise SA task.

- Using **SLNA LSI RL** model we obtained MSE = 1.03 on Amazon dataset.
- Previously reported MSE ≈ 1.5 on a small subset of Amazon dataset [11]

Experimental Results: Training Set Size

Effect of training set size

- SLNA 1g LSI
- BOW Prc 2g

error rate %

training set size (Amazon)
Q&A