A BitTorrent and DHT

BitTorrent is the most popular peer-to-peer file sharing system today. In the original design of BitTorrent system, there is centralized component called “tracker”, which informs each peer the presence of other peers in the same torrent. More specifically, to join a torrent a peer needs to first connect to the tracker listed in the .torrent file. Then the tracker replies this new peer with a list of known peers currently downloading the same torrent so that this peer can connect to them. Each tracker is usually serving a large number of torrents.

1. Briefly state the advantages and disadvantages of using tracker to manage peers

2. Briefly, how could BitTorrent system use a DHT such as Chord to replace the tracker and maintain a directory of many torrents?
3. Your DHT-based tracker is more and more popular and growing much bigger. Meanwhile you found that it takes a long time to perform lookups. Explain why are lookups taking longer.
B DNS Redirection

Harry Bovik is working on a web site that has multiple replicated servers located throughout the Internet. He plans on using DNS to help direct clients to their nearest server replica. He comes up with a hierarchical scheme. Harry has divided his server replicas into three groups (east, west and central) based on their physical location. A typical query occurs as follows:

- When a client makes a query for www.distributed.hb.com, the root and .com name servers are contacted first. It returns the name server (NS) record for ns1.hb.com. The TTL of this record is set to 1 day.
- The ns1.hb.com name server is then queried for the address. It examines the source of the name query and returns a NS record for one of {east-ns, central-ns, west-ns}.distributed.com. The choice of which name server is based on where ns1 thinks the query came from.
- Finally, one of {east-ns, central-ns, west-ns}.distributed.com is contacted and it returns an address (A) record for the most lightly loaded server in its region.

Answer the following 3 questions based on this design.

4. Harry’s name server software has only two choices for TTL settings for A and NS records - 1 day and 1 minute. Harry chooses the following TTLs for each record below:
   1. NS record for {east-ns, central-ns, west-ns}.distributed.com - 1 day TTL.
   2. A record for {east-ns, central-ns, west-ns}.distributed.com - 1 day TTL.
   3. A record returned for the actual Web server - 1 minute TTL.

Briefly explain why Harry’s choices are reasonable, or why you would have made different choices.
5. In general, name resolution systems map names based on the name and context. In this particular case, what are *TWO* items of context that the name resolution uses?

6. Harry’s Web site is especially popular among CMU students. The CMU network administrator estimates that there is one access from CMU every 3 minutes. Each access results in the application resolving the name www.distributed.hb.com. Assume the following:

   - No other DNS queries are made in CMU
   - All CMU clients use the same local name server.
   - This local name server is mapped to the east-ns region.
   - Web browsers do not do any caching on their own.

How many accesses per hour will be made to the following name servers to resolve these CMU queries? Explain your calculation.

1. The Root Servers
2. ns1.hb.com
3. east-ns.distributed.com
Bovik is trying to figure out a scheme his clients should use, so that given a URL, they can find the appropriate CDN node to fetch the content from.

Bovik has come up with a hash function $h$ that takes a string and maps it to a real number in the range $[0,1)$. Assume there are 3 CDN nodes with names such that $h(node_1) = 0.1, h(node_2) = 0.85, h(node_3) = 0.5$. When a client needs to fetch a URL and has to decide which replica to query, it picks $node_i$, such that the absolute value of the difference between $h(node_i)$ and $h(URL)$ is minimum. This scheme does not use circular mapping - it’s just numeric closeness. This technique is “scheme 1”.

7. Assuming all URLs are equi-popular, which node is likely to see the highest load?

Since load can be unevenly distributed in the above scheme, Bovik is not satisfied with the scheme. Instead, he thinks of a new arrangement. Let there be $m$ CDN nodes in all; sort them using the $h(node_i)$ values. If the rank of a node is $r$, ($0 \leq r \leq m - 1$), it is responsible for storing all URLs that map to the interval $[r/m, (r + 1)/m)$. This new scheme is called “scheme 2”.

8. Why might a CDN with a large number of nodes (that occasionally crash and are later repaired) choose scheme 1 over scheme 2?