






Spanning Tree Protocol Overview Embed a tree that provides a single unique path to each destination: Elect a single bridge as a root bridge Each bridge calculates the distance of the shortest path to the root bridge Each LAN identifies a designated bridge, the bridge closest to the root. It will forward packets to the root. Each bridge determines a root port, which will be used to send packets to the root Identify the ports that form the spanning tree























host

router


host


router


host


LAN 2


18




















Source Routing



- Advantages
 - Switches can be very simple and fast
- Disadvantages
 - Variable (unbounded) header size
 - Sources must know or discover topology (e.g., failures)
- Typical uses
 - Ad-hoc networks (DSR)
 - Machine room networks (Myrinet)

29

Global Addresses (IP)



- Each packet has destination address
- Each router has forwarding table of destination → next hop
 - At v and x: destination → east
 - At w and y: destination → south
 - At z: destination → north
- Distributed routing algorithm for calculating forwarding tables

30


Global Address Example Packet R 2 R 2 R 3 R 3 Receiver R 3 R 31


Global Addresses



- Advantages
 - Stateless simple error recovery
- Disadvantages
 - Every switch knows about every destination
 - Potentially large tables
 - All packets to destination take same route
 - Need routing protocol to fill table

32






Addressing in IP

IP addresses are names of interfaces
E.g., 128.2.1.1

Domain Name System (DNS) names



- E.g., www.cmu.edu
- DNS binds host names to interfaces
- Routing binds interface names to paths

36

Outline Bridging Internetworks Methods for packet forwarding Traditional IP addressing

Router Table Size



- One entry for every host on the Internet
 - 630M (1/09) entries, doubling every 2.5 years
- One entry for every LAN
 - Every host on LAN shares prefix
 - Still too many and growing quickly
- · One entry for every organization
 - Every host in organization shares prefix
 - Requires careful address allocation

37

Addressing Considerations



- · Hierarchical vs. flat
 - Pennsylvania / Pittsburgh / Oakland / CMU / Steenkiste

vs. Peter Steenkiste: 123-45-6789 vs. Peter Steenkiste: (412)268-0000

- What information would routers need to route to Ethernet addresses?
 - Need hierarchical structure for designing scalable binding from interface name to route!
- What type of Hierarchy?
 - · How many levels?
 - Same hierarchy depth for everyone?
 - Same segment size for similar partition?

38

IP Addresses



- Fixed length: 32 bits
- Initial classful structure (1981) (not relevant now!!!)
- Total IP address size: 4 billion
 - · Class A: 128 networks, 16M hosts
 - · Class B: 16K networks, 64K hosts
 - Class C: 2M networks, 256 hosts

High Order Bits	<u>Format</u>	Class
0	7 bits of net, 24 bits of host	Α
10	14 bits of net, 16 bits of host	В
110	21 bits of net, 8 bits of host	С

30

IP Address Classes (Some are Obsolete) Network ID 8 16 24 32 Class A 0 Network ID Host ID Class B 10 Class C 110 Class D 1110 Multicast Addresses Class E 1111 Reserved for experiments

Original IP Route Lookup



- Address would specify prefix for forwarding table
 - Simple lookup
- www.cmu.edu address 128.2.11.43
 - Class B address class + network is 128.2
 - Lookup 128.2 in forwarding table
 - Prefix part of address that really matters for routing
- Forwarding table contains
 - · List of class+network entries
 - A few fixed prefix lengths (8/16/24)
- Large tables
 - · 2 Million class C networks

41

Subnet Addressing RFC917 (1984)



- · Class A & B networks too big
 - Very few LANs have close to 64K hosts
 - For electrical/LAN limitations, performance or administrative reasons
- Need simple way to get multiple "networks"
 - Use bridging, multiple IP networks or split up single network address ranges (subnet)
- · CMU case study in RFC
 - Chose not to adopt concern that it would not be widely supported ☺

42

Subnetting



- · Add another layer to hierarchy
- Variable length subnet masks
 - Could subnet a class B into several chunks


Network	Host		
Network	Subnet	Host	
111111111111111111	1111111	0000000	Subnet Mask


Subnetting Example




- Assume an organization was assigned address 150.100
- Assume < 100 hosts per subnet
- How many host bits do we need?
 - Seven
- What is the network mask?
 - 11111111 11111111 11111111 10000000
 - 255.255.255.128

44





Hierarchical addressing critical for scalable system Don't require everyone to know everyone else Reduces number of updates when something changes



How is IP Design Standardized?



- IETF
 - Voluntary organization
 - · Meeting every 4 months
 - · Working groups and email discussions
- "We reject kings, presidents, and voting; we believe in rough consensus and running code" (Dave Clark 1992)
 - Need 2 independent, interoperable implementations for standard

9-21-06

Lecture 8: Bridging/Addressing/Forwarding

Addressing Considerations



- Fixed length or variable length?
- Issues:
 - Flexibility
 - Processing costs
 - Header size
- Engineering choice: IP uses fixed length addresses

9-21-06

Lecture 8: Bridging/Addressing/Forwarding

Virtual Circuits/Tag Switching



- · Connection setup phase
 - Use other means to route setup request
 - Each router allocates flow ID on local link
 - Creates mapping of inbound flow ID/port to outbound flow ID/port
- Each packet carries connection ID
 - Sent from source with 1st hop connection ID
- Router processing
 - Lookup flow ID simple table lookup
 - Replace flow ID with outgoing flow ID
 - Forward to output port

9-21-06

Lecture 8: Bridging/Addressing/Forwarding

Virtual Circuits Examples

Packet 5 2 7 2 1 1 1 3 1 1 7 7 4.2

Sender 1 3 1 7 7 4.2

1,5 \to 3,7

1 R3 3 6 Receiver

2,2 \to 3,6

Lecture 8: Bridging/Addressing/Forwarding

Virtual Circuits



- Advantages
 - More efficient lookup (simple table lookup)
 - · More flexible (different path for each flow)
 - Can reserve bandwidth at connection setup
 - Easier for hardware implementations
- Disadvantages
 - · Still need to route connection setup request
 - More complex failure recovery must recreate connection state
- Typical uses
 - ATM combined with fix sized cells
 - MPLS tag switching for IP networks

9-21-06 Lecture 8: Bridging/Addressing/Forwarding

Some Special IP Addresses





- 127.0.0.1: local host (a.k.a. the loopback address
- Host bits all set to 0: network address
- Host bits all set to 1: broadcast address


9-21-06 Lecture 8: Bridging/Addressing/Forwarding


54


Finding a Local Machine 128.2.198.222 host host LAN 1 Destination = 198.2.198.222 128.2.254.36 Routing Gets Packet to Correct Local Network · Based on IP address Router sees that destination address is of local machine Still Need to Get Packet to Host Using link-layer protocol · Need to know hardware address Same Issue for Any Local Communication Find local machine, given its IP address Lecture 8: Bridging/Addressing/Forwarding


























Some People Have Too Much Time... • Everything I needed to know about networks I learned from Google video • Ethernet collision animation AND..... • Just to make sure... 1. Packets really can't catch fire. That is not why we have insulation on wires 2. Don't answer "what happens after a collision" on the exam/HW with "the packets catch on fire!"

