

## HOMEWORK 10

Due: 10:00am, Tuesday November 21

In this homework, you may wish to consult Lecture 4. Also, you may take for granted the following result, which you basically proved in Homework 9.1:

**Theorem.** Let  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ , let  $\varepsilon \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$ , and let  $\rho$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -random restriction. (Recall this means each coordinate is independently set to ‘ $\star$ ’ (unfixed) with probability  $\varepsilon$ , and is otherwise set to 0 or 1 with probability  $\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}$  each.) Then

$$\mathbf{E}[L(f|_\rho)] \leq 2\varepsilon^{1.5}L(f) + 1,$$

where, recall,  $L(g)$  is the minimum size of a Boolean formula computing  $g$ .

(In fact, Johan Håstad and Avishay Tal have shown that  $\mathbf{E}[L(f|_\rho)] \leq O(\varepsilon^2)L(f) + O(1)$ .)

1. **(More on shrinking formulas.)** Let  $b > 1$ ,  $m = 2^b$ ,  $n = bm$ . Given some Boolean function  $\psi : \{0, 1\}^b \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ , define the function  $f_\psi : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  as follows: Think of  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$  as being divided into  $b$  “blocks” of  $m$  bits each. Then  $f_\psi(x) = \psi(z_1, \dots, z_b)$ , where  $z_i$  is the parity (XOR) of the  $i$ th block of bits in  $x$ .
  - (a) Let  $\varepsilon = \frac{b \ln(3b)}{n}$  and let  $\rho$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -random restriction on  $n = bm$  variables. Show that with probability at least  $2/3$ , the restriction  $\rho$  gives at least one  $\star$  to each of the  $b$  blocks.
  - (b) Show that there exists a restriction  $\sigma$  of the  $n$  coordinates such that both of the following hold: (i)  $L(f_\psi|_\sigma) \leq 6(\frac{b \ln(3b)}{n})^{1.5}L(f_\psi) + 3$ ; (ii)  $\sigma$  gives at least one  $\star$  to each of the  $b$  blocks.
  - (c) Show that  $L(f_\psi) \geq \tilde{\Omega}(n^{1.5})(L(\psi) - O(1))$ . Deduce that there exists  $\psi$  such that  $L(f_\psi) \geq \tilde{\Omega}(n^{2.5})$ .
2. **(Andreev’s function.)**
  - (a) Does the function  $L_\psi$  produced in the previous problem count as “explicit”?<sup>1</sup> Anyway, let us define an explicit function  $\alpha : \{0, 1\}^{n+m} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ , as follows:  $\alpha(x, y) = f_y(x)$ , where  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ ,  $y \in \{0, 1\}^m$  is interpreted as the truth-table of a function  $\{0, 1\}^b \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ , and  $f_y$  refers to the “ $f_\psi$ ” notation from the previous question. Show that  $L(\alpha) \geq \tilde{\Omega}(n^{2.5})$ .

**Remark.** Using the Håstad–Tal result, one can deduce that in fact  $L(\alpha) \geq n^3/\tilde{O}(\log^3(n))$ .

- (b) Show that  $L(\alpha) \leq O(n^3/\log^2 n)$ . (Bonus: show that  $L(\alpha) \leq O(n^3/\log^3 n)$ .)
3. **(Detecting triangles.)** Prove that any monotone circuit that detects whether a  $v$ -vertex graph (given by its  $v \times v$  adjacency matrix) contains a triangle must have size at least  $v^3/\text{polylog}(v)$ . (Hint: complete bipartite graphs contain no triangles.)

<sup>1</sup>This is a rhetorical question; you are not required to provide an answer.