Analysis of Boolean Functions

(CMU 18-859S, Spring 2007)

Lecture 18: Hardness Amplification continued

Mar. 22, 2007

Lecturer: Ryan O'Donnell

Scribe: Moritz Hardt

1 Connection to random restrictions and Expected Bias

Assume $g : \{-1, 1\}^m \to \{-1, 1\}$ is $1 - \epsilon$ -hard for size s. Further assume g is balanced, i.e., $\mathbf{E}[g] = 0$. Although one can get rid of the last assumption, we will use it for the sake of simplicity.

Let *H* be a γ -hard-core set for *g* against size $s' = \Omega(\gamma^2 \log \frac{1}{\gamma\epsilon})$ of cardinality $\epsilon 2^m$ s.t. *g* is balanced on *H*. Notice, *g* is also balanced on \overline{H} .

Let $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \to \{-1, 1\}$. Suppose a circuit C of size at most s'' tries to compute $f(g(x^1), \ldots, g(x^n))$ on uniformly drawn inputs x^1, \ldots, x^n .

Is
$$x^1, \ldots, x^n \in H$$
?

- Conditioned on xⁱ ∈ H, g(xⁱ) is computationally indistinguishable (up to γ) from a random bit to C.
- Conditioned on xⁱ ∉ H, g(xⁱ) is still a uniformly distributed random bit, but C might exactly know g(xⁱ). (Remember, the example from last lecture.)

The point is, think of $(g(x^i), \ldots, g(x^n))$ as a random restriction (y, \overline{I}) with *-probability ϵ . The best thing C could do is look at $f_{y \to \overline{I}}$ and output the more common value.

Definition 1.1 *The* expected bias of f at ϵ *is*

$$\operatorname{ExpBias}_{\epsilon}(f) = \mathbf{E}[|\widehat{f_{y \to \bar{I}}}(\emptyset)|$$

where the expectation is over random restrictions (y, \overline{I}) with *-probability ϵ .

Theorem 1.2 Let $g : \{-1,1\}^m \to \{-1,1\}$ which is $1 - \epsilon$ -hard for size s, assume g is balanced and let $f : \{-1,1\}^n \to \{-1,1\}$. Let $\gamma > 0$. Then, $f \otimes g$ is $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \text{ExpBias}_{\epsilon}(f) + \gamma$ -hard for circuits of size

$$s'' = \Omega\left(\frac{\gamma^2 \log \frac{1}{\gamma\epsilon}}{n}\right) \cdot s.$$

Proof: [Sketch] We will not prove this result, although the proof is not too difficult. It follows from a hybrid argument. This is why we lose the factor of n in s''. \Box

Since $\operatorname{ExpBias}_{\epsilon}(\chi_{[n]}) = (1 - \epsilon)^n$, we get Yao's XOR Lemma as a corollary at least in the case of balanced g.

Corollary 1.3 (Yao's XOR Lemma for balanced functions)

Remark 1.4 The theorem is essentially tight.

Proposition 1.5

$$\mathbb{S}_{1-\epsilon}(f) \leq \operatorname{ExpBias}_{\epsilon}(f) \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{S}_{1-\epsilon}(f)}$$

Proof:

$$\mathbf{E}_{y,\bar{I}}[\widehat{f_{y\to\bar{I}}}(\emptyset)^2] \leq \mathbf{E}_{y,\bar{I}}[|\widehat{f_{y\to\bar{I}}}(\emptyset)|] \leq \sqrt{\mathbf{E}_{y,\bar{I}}[\widehat{f_{y\to\bar{I}}}(\emptyset)^2]}$$

The following proposition concludes our proof. \Box

Proposition 1.6

$$\mathbf{E}_{y,\bar{I}}[\widehat{f_{y\to\bar{I}}}(\emptyset)^2] = \mathbb{S}_{1-\epsilon}(f)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}_{y,\bar{I}}[\widehat{f_{y\to\bar{I}}}(\emptyset)^2] &= \mathbf{E}_{\bar{I}}[\mathbf{E}_y[F_{\emptyset}(y)^2]] \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{\bar{I}}[\sum_{S\subseteq\bar{I}}\widehat{F_{\emptyset}}(S)^2] \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{\bar{I}}[\sum_{S\subseteq\bar{I}}\widehat{f}(S)^2] \\ &= \sum_{S}\widehat{f}(S)^2 \mathbf{Pr}[S\subseteq\bar{I}] \\ &= \sum_{S}(1-\epsilon)^{|S|}\widehat{f}(S)^2 \end{split}$$

2 Very noise sensitive *monotone* functions

Our goal is now clear. We want to find very noise sensitive monotone functions.

Definition 2.1 The noise sensitivity of f at $\epsilon \in [0, 1/2]$, denoted $NS_{\epsilon}(f)$ is

$$\mathbf{Pr}_{x,y=N_{\epsilon}(x)}[f(x)\neq f(y)]$$

where $y = N_{\epsilon}(x)$ means that y is formed by flipping each bit of x independently with probability ϵ .

Proposition 2.2

$$NS_{\epsilon}(f) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}S_{1-2\epsilon}(f) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{S} (1-2\epsilon)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S)^2$$

Proof:

$$\mathbb{S}_{1-2\epsilon}(f) = \mathop{\mathbf{E}}_{x,y \sim_{1-2\epsilon} x} [f(x)f(y)]$$

That is, x is drawn uniformly at random and y is a $(1 - 2\epsilon)$ -correlated copy of x. But, that is equivalent to choosing $y = N_{\epsilon}(x)$. So,

$$\mathbf{E}_{x,y\sim_{1-2\epsilon}x}[f(x)f(y)] = \mathbf{E}_{x,y=N_{\epsilon}(x)}[1-2\mathbb{H}[f(x)\neq f(y)]] = 1-2\mathrm{NS}_{\epsilon}(f)$$

Theorem 2.3 If $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \to \{-1, 1\}$ is monotone (in NP) and $NS_{n^{-\alpha}}(f) \ge \frac{1}{2} - n^{-\beta}$, then "NP is $1 - \frac{1}{\text{poly}(n)}$ -hard for poly-size circuits" implies "NP is $\approx \frac{1}{2} + n^{-\beta/2}$ -hard for poly-size circuits".

We can picture the following.

Proposition 2.4 NS_{ϵ}(f) is a concave, increasing function of ϵ . It is 0 when $\epsilon = 0$ and it is $\frac{1}{2}$ at $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof: Since $NS_{\epsilon}(f)$ is a concave function of ϵ , 0 at 0, we have $NS_{\epsilon}(f) \leq \epsilon NS'_{0}(f)$. \Box

Therefore, $NS_{\epsilon}(f) \leq O(\epsilon \sqrt{n})$ if f is monotone and $NS_{\epsilon}(f) < \frac{1}{4}$, if $\epsilon < \Omega\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$.

3 Recursive Majority

Theorem 3.1

$$NS_{\epsilon}(Maj_n) \le O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$$

Although, this theorem makes Majority a seemingly bad candidate for our purpose, we still try to recursively construct some good function starting with Majority.

$$p(\epsilon) := NS_{\epsilon}(Maj_3) = \frac{3}{2}\epsilon - \frac{3}{2}\epsilon^2 + \epsilon^3 =$$

Question: What is NS_{ϵ} of $Maj_3(Maj_3(...), Maj_3(...), Maj_3(...))$?

Observation 3.2 If f is balanced, then

$$NS_{\epsilon}(f' \otimes f) = NS_{NS_{\epsilon}(f)}(f').$$

In particular,

- for small ϵ , $p(p(\epsilon)) \approx \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^2 \epsilon$, $p(p(p(\epsilon))) \approx \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^3 \epsilon$.
- for small δ , $p(p(1-\delta)) \approx \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2 \delta$.

So, define

$$\operatorname{Maj}_{3}^{(k)} = \operatorname{Maj}_{3} \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{Maj}_{3}_{k \text{ times}}.$$

We get $NS_{\epsilon}(Maj_3^{(k)}) = p^{(k)}(\epsilon)$. The input length is 3^k .

Fact 3.3 If depth $k \ge (1 + o(1))(\log_{\frac{3}{2}}(\frac{1}{\epsilon}) + \log_{\frac{4}{3}}(\frac{1}{\delta}))$, then $NS_{\epsilon}(Maj_{3}^{(k)}) \ge \frac{1}{7}2 - \delta$.

Write $n = 3^k$ for the input length. We get $NS_e ps(Maj_3^{(k)}) \ge \frac{1}{2} - \delta$, so long as

$$n \gtrsim 3^{\log_{3/2}(1/\epsilon) + \log_{4/3}(1/\delta)} = \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{\log_{3/2} 3} \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^{\log_{4/3} 3} \approx \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{2.71} \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^{3.82}$$

So, if $\epsilon \ge \frac{1}{n^{1/\delta}}$, $\delta \le \frac{1}{n^{1/8}}$, this holds. Finally, you get a monotone function f, computable in polynomial time, with $NS_{n^{-1/\epsilon}}(f) \ge \frac{1}{2} - n^{-1/8}$.

Corollary 3.4 If $\exists L \in \text{NP}$ (balanced) which is $1 - \frac{1}{\text{poly}(n)}$ -hard for size s = poly(n), then $\exists L \in \text{NP}$ which is $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n^{\Omega(1)}}$ -hard (infinitely often) for size poly(n).

Remark 3.5 Improvement (Healy-Vadhan-Viola): If there exists a balanced $L \in NP$, $1 - \frac{1}{\text{poly}(n)}$ -hard for size $2^{\Omega(n)}$, then $\exists L \in NP$ which is $\frac{1}{2} + 2^{-\Omega(\sqrt{n})}$ hard for size $2^{\Omega(n)}$.