

## PROBLEM SET 3

Due: Monday, Oct. 1, beginning of class

**Homework policy:** Please work on the homework by yourself; it isn't intended to be too difficult. Questions about the homework or other course material can be asked on Piazza.

1. Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . Given  $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we define its  $p$ -norm to be  $\|f\|_p = \mathbf{E}_x[|f(x)|^p]^{1/p}$  and its Fourier  $p$ -norm to be  $\hat{\|f\|}_p = (\sum_S |\hat{f}(S)|^p)^{1/p}$ . We also define  $\|f\|_\infty = \max_x \{|f(x)|\}$  and  $\hat{\|f\|}_\infty = \max_S \{|\hat{f}(S)|\}$ .
  - (a) For  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ , show that  $\|f\|_p \leq \|f\|_q$ . (Hint: one solution uses the fact that the function  $t \mapsto t^{q/p}$  is convex on  $[0, \infty)$ .) Conversely, show  $\hat{\|f\|}_p \geq \hat{\|f\|}_q$ .
  - (b) Show that  $\hat{\|f\|}_\infty \leq \|f\|_1$  and  $\|f\|_\infty \leq \hat{\|f\|}_1$ .
2. In this exercise you are asked to prove some fancily-named properties of the noise operator  $T_\rho$ .
  - (a) Show that  $T_\rho$  is “positivity-preserving” for all  $\rho \in [-1, 1]$ , meaning  $f \geq 0 \Rightarrow T_\rho f \geq 0$ . Show also that it is “positivity-improving” for all  $\rho \in (-1, 1)$ , meaning  $f \geq 0, f \not\equiv 0 \Rightarrow T_\rho f > 0$ .
  - (b) Show the “semigroup property”:  $T_{\rho_1} \circ T_{\rho_2} = T_{\rho_1 \rho_2}$  for all  $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in [0, 1]$ . (If you like, prove it even for  $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in [-1, 1]$ .)
  - (c) Show that  $T_\rho$  is a “contraction on  $L^p$ ” for all  $p \geq 1$  and  $\rho \in [-1, 1]$ ; i.e.,  $\|T_\rho f\|_p \leq \|f\|_p$ .
3. For functions  $f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , sometimes it is more natural to index the Fourier coefficients not by subsets  $S \subseteq [n]$  but by elements  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ ; here we identify a subset with its indicator vector. In this case we would write the Fourier expansion as

$$f = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \hat{f}(S) \chi_\gamma, \quad \text{where } \chi_\gamma(x) = (-1)^{\gamma \cdot x}$$

and  $\gamma \cdot x$  is the dot-product of  $\gamma$  and  $x$  in the vector space  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Note that for  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  we have  $\chi_\beta \chi_\gamma = \chi_{\beta + \gamma}$ .

- (a) Let  $H$  be a vector subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Let  $H^\perp$  be its “perpendicular subspace”; i.e.,  $H^\perp = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_2^n : \gamma \cdot x = 0 \text{ for all } x \in H\}$ . Show that the indicator function  $1_H : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  of  $H$  has the Fourier expansion  $1_H = \sum_{\gamma \in H^\perp} 2^{-k} \chi_\gamma$ , where  $k = \dim(H^\perp)$ . (Remark:  $k = n - \dim(H)$  is sometimes denoted  $\text{codim}(H)$ .)
  - (b) Given the subspace  $H$  and also  $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ , the set  $H + y = \{h + y : h \in H\}$  is called an “affine subspace” of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Show that the indicator function  $1_{H+y} : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  of this affine subspace has the Fourier expansion  $1_{H+y} = \sum_{\gamma \in H^\perp} 2^{-k} \chi_\gamma(y) \chi_\gamma$ , where again  $k = \dim(H^\perp)$ .
4. Suppose the Fourier spectrum of  $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\epsilon_1$ -concentrated on  $\mathcal{F}$  and that  $g : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $\|f - g\|_2^2 \leq \epsilon_2$ . Show that the Fourier spectrum of  $g$  is  $2(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)$ -concentrated on  $\mathcal{F}$ .
5. Given  $s \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the class of all functions  $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  expressible as  $f(x) = g(h_1(x), \dots, h_s(x))$ , where  $h_1, \dots, h_s : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  are weighted majority functions and  $g : \{-1, 1\}^s \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  is any function. Show that  $\mathcal{C}$  is learnable from random examples to error  $\epsilon$  in time  $n^{O(s^2/\epsilon^2)}$ . You may use Peres’s Theorem, that  $\mathbf{NS}_\delta[h] \leq 2\sqrt{\delta}$  for all  $\delta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and all weighted majorities  $h$ . (Hint: how can you bound  $\mathbf{NS}_\delta[f]$ ?)

6. (a) Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and let  $\mathcal{C} = \{f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\} \mid \deg(f) \leq k\}$ . (In particular,  $\mathcal{C}$  contains all functions computable by depth- $k$  decision trees.) Show that  $\mathcal{C}$  is learnable from random examples with error 0 in time  $n^k \cdot \text{poly}(n, 2^k)$ . You may use the following “Degree/Granularity Fact”: for every  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  and every  $S \subseteq [n]$ , the Fourier coefficient  $\hat{f}(S)$  is an integer multiple of  $2^{1-k}$ .
- (b) (Bonus.) Prove the Degree/Granularity Fact.