## PROBLEM SET 2

## Due: Monday, Sept. 24, beginning of class Turn in problems #1-#4, plus either #5 or #6

**Homework policy**: Please work on the homework by yourself; it isn't intended to be too difficult. Questions about the homework or other course material can be asked on Piazza.

- 1. Here are some more linear operators on the vector space of functions  $f: \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ :
  - The *ith expectation operator*  $E_i$ , defined by  $E_i f(x) = \frac{f(x^{(i \mapsto +1)}) + f(x^{(i \mapsto -1)})}{2}$ .
  - The *ith directional Laplacian operator*  $L_i$ , defined by  $L_i f = f E_i f$ .
  - The *Laplacian operator* L, defined by  $Lf = L_1f + L_2f + \cdots + L_nf$ .

Prove the following formulas:

(a) 
$$E_i f(x) = \sum_{S \ni i} \widehat{f}(S) x^S$$
.

(b) 
$$f(x) = E_i f(x) + x_i D_i f(x)$$
.

(c) 
$$L_i f(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(x^{\oplus i})}{2} = \sum_{S \ni i} \hat{f}(S) x^S$$
.

(d) 
$$\langle f, \mathbf{L}_i f \rangle = \langle \mathbf{L}_i f, \mathbf{L}_i f \rangle = \mathbf{Inf}_i[f].$$

(e) 
$$Lf(x) = (n/2)(f(x) - \arg f(x^{\oplus i})) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} |S| \hat{f}(S) x^{S}$$
.

(f) 
$$\langle f, Lf \rangle = \mathbf{I}[f]$$
.

- 2. In 1965, the Nassau County (New York) Board used a weighted majority voting system to make its decisions, with the 6 towns getting differing weights based on their population. Specifically, the board used the voting rule  $f: \{0,1\}^6 \to \{-1,1\}$  defined by  $f(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(-58 + 31x_1 + 31x_2 + 28x_3 + 21x_4 + 2x_5 + 2x_6)$ . Compute  $\operatorname{Inf}_i[f]$  for all  $i \in [6]$ . (PS: John Banzhaf invented the notion of  $\operatorname{Inf}_i$  while suing on behalf of towns #5 and #6.)
- 3. Let  $f: \{-1,1\}^n \to \{-1,1\}$  be unbiased (i.e.,  $\mathbf{E}[f]=0$ ), and let  $\mathbf{MaxInf}[f]$  denote  $\max_{i \in [n]} \{\mathbf{Inf}_i[f]\}$ . Recall that the KKL Theorem implies  $\mathbf{MaxInf}[f] \ge \Omega(\frac{\log n}{n})$ . In 1987, this was still a conjecture; all that was known was the following results, independently observed by Alon and by Chor and Geréb-Graus...
  - (a) Use the Poincaré Inequality to show  $\mathbf{MaxInf}[f] \ge 1/n$ .
  - (b) Prove  $|\hat{f}(i)| \leq \mathbf{Inf}_i[f]$  for all  $i \in [n]$ . (Hint: consider  $\mathbf{E}[|\mathbf{D}_i f|]$ .)
  - (c) Prove that  $\mathbf{I}[f] \ge 2 n\mathbf{MaxInf}[f]^2$ . (Hint: first prove  $\mathbf{I}[f] \ge \mathbf{W}^1[f] + 2(1 \mathbf{W}^1[f])$  and then use the previous exercise.)
  - (d) Deduce that  $\mathbf{MaxInf}[f] \ge \frac{2}{n} \frac{4}{n^2}$ .

(Later in 1987, Chor and Geréb-Graus managed to improve the lower bound to  $\frac{3}{n} - o(1/n)$ .)

4. (Remark: this is really a problem in combinatorics, not Fourier analysis.)

The polarizations of  $f: \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  (also known as compressions, downshifts, or two-point rearrangements) are defined as follows. For  $i \in [n]$ , the *i*-polarization of f is the function  $f^{\sigma_i}: \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f^{\sigma_i}(x) = \begin{cases} \max\{f(x^{(i \mapsto +1)}), f(x^{(i \mapsto -1)})\} & \text{if } x_i = +1, \\ \min\{f(x^{(i \mapsto +1)}), f(x^{(i \mapsto -1)})\} & \text{if } x_i = -1. \end{cases}$$

- (a) Show that  $\mathbf{E}[f^{\sigma_i}] = \mathbf{E}[f]$ .
- (b) Show that  $\mathbf{Inf}_i[f^{\sigma_i}] \leq \mathbf{Inf}_i[f]$  for all  $j \in [n]$ .
- (c) (Optional.) Show that  $\mathbf{Stab}_{\varrho}[f^{\sigma_i}] \geq \mathbf{Stab}_{\varrho}[f]$  for all  $0 \leq \varrho \leq 1$ .
- (d) Show that  $f^{\sigma_i}$  is monotone in the *i*th direction. (We say g is "monotone in the *i*th direction" if  $g(x^{(i\mapsto +1)}) \ge g(x^{(i\mapsto -1)})$  for all x.) Further, show that if f is monotone in the jth direction for some  $j \in [n]$  then  $f^{\sigma_i}$  is still monotone in the jth direction.
- (e) Let  $f^* = f^{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_n}$ . Show that  $f^*$  is monotone,  $\mathbf{E}[f^*] = \mathbf{E}[f]$ ,  $\mathbf{Inf}_j[f^*] \le \mathbf{Inf}_j[f]$  for all  $j \in [n]$ , and  $\mathbf{Stab}_{\varrho}[f^*] \ge \mathbf{Stab}_{\varrho}[f]$  for all  $0 \le \varrho \le 1$  (you may use part (c)).
- 5. (Enflo, 1970.) The Hamming distance  $\mathrm{Dist}(x,y) = \#\{i: x_i \neq y_i\}$  on the discrete cube  $\{-1,1\}^n$  is an example of an  $\ell_1$  metric space. For  $D \geq 1$ , we say that the discrete cube can be *embedded into*  $\ell_2$  with distortion D if there is a mapping  $F: \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that:

$$||F(x) - F(y)||_2 \ge \text{Dist}(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y;$$
 ("no contraction")   
  $||F(x) - F(y)||_2 \le D \cdot \text{Dist}(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y.$  ("expansion at most  $D$ ")

In this problem you will show that the least distortion possible is  $D = \sqrt{n}$ .

(a) Recalling the definition of  $f^{\text{odd}}$  from Homework 1, show that for any  $f: \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\|f^{\text{odd}}\|_2^2 \leq \mathbf{I}[f]$  and hence

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}}[(f(\mathbf{x}) - f(-\mathbf{x}))^{2}] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}} \Big[ \big( f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^{\oplus i}) \big)^{2} \Big].$$

- (b) Suppose  $F: \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ , and write  $F(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_m(x))$  for functions  $f_i: \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ . By summing the above inequality over  $i \in [m]$ , show that any F with no contraction must have expansion at least  $\sqrt{n}$ .
- (c) Show that there is an embedding *F* achieving distortion  $\sqrt{n}$ .
- 6. (Latała–Oleszkiewicz, 1994.) Let V be a vector space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and fix  $w_1,\ldots,w_n\in V$ . Define  $g:\{-1,1\}^n\to\mathbb{R}$  by  $g(x)=\|\sum_{i=1}^nx_iw_i\|$ .
  - (a) Recalling the operator L from Problem 1, show that  $Lg \le g$  pointwise. (Hint: triangle inequality.)
  - (b) Deduce  $2\mathbf{Var}[g] \leq \mathbf{E}[g^2]$  and thus the *Khintchine–Kahane inequality*:

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}}\left[\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{x}_{i}w_{i}\right\|\right] \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}}\left[\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{x}_{i}w_{i}\right\|^{2}\right]^{1/2}.$$

(Hint: first, show that the improved Poincaré inequality  $\mathbf{Var}[f] \leq \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{I}[f]$  holds whenever  $f: \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is even, as defined in Homework 1.)

(c) Show that the constant  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  above is optimal (Hint: take  $V = \mathbb{R}$  and n = 2.)