Today:

- Learning of control policies
- Markov Decision Processes
- Temporal difference learning
- Q learning

Readings:

- Mitchell, chapter 13
- Kaelbling, et al., *Reinforcement Learning: A Survey*

Slides courtesy: Tom Mitchell
Overview

• Different from ML pbs so far:
  
  • Our decisions influence the next example we see. Decisions we make will be about actions to take (e.g., a robot deciding which way to move next), which will influence what we see next.
  
  • Goal will be not just to predict (say, whether there is a door in front of us or not) but to decide what to do.
  
• Model: Markov Decision Processes.
Reinforcement Learning

Main impact of our actions will not come right away but instead that will only come later.

\[ V^*(s) = E[r_t + \gamma r_{t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{t+2} + \ldots] \]
Reinforcement Learning: Backgammon

Learning task:
• chose move at arbitrary board states

Training signal:
• final win or loss at the end of the game

Training:
• played 300,000 games against itself

Algorithm:
• reinforcement learning + neural network

Result:
• World-class Backgammon player
Outline

• Learning control strategies
  – Credit assignment and delayed reward
  – Discounted rewards

• Markov Decision Processes
  – Solving a known MDP

• Online learning of control strategies
  – When next-state function is known: value function $V^*(s)$
  – When next-state function unknown: learning $Q^*(s,a)$

• Role in modeling reward learning in animals
Agent lives in some environment; in some state:

- Robot: where robot is, what direction it is pointing, etc.
- Backgammon, state of the board (where all pieces are).

Goal: Maximize long term discounted reward. I.e.: want a lot of reward, prefer getting it earlier to getting it later.

Goal: Learn to choose actions that maximize

\[ r_0 + \gamma r_1 + \gamma^2 r_2 + \ldots , \text{ where } 0 \leq \gamma < 1 \]
Markov Decision Process = Reinforcement Learning Setting

- Set of states $S$
- Set of actions $A$
- At each time, agent observes state $s_t \in S$, then chooses action $a_t \in A$
- Then receives reward $r_t$, and state changes to $s_{t+1}$
- Markov assumption: $P(s_{t+1} \mid s_t, a_t, s_{t-1}, a_{t-1}, ...) = P(s_{t+1} \mid s_t, a_t)$
- Also assume reward Markov: $P(r_t \mid s_t, a_t, s_{t-1}, a_{t-1}, ...) = P(r_t \mid s_t, a_t)$

E.g., if tell robot to move forward one meter, maybe it ends up moving forward 1.5 meters by mistake, so where the robot is at time $t+1$ can be a probabilistic function of where it was at time $t$ and the action taken, but shouldn’t depend on how we got to that state.

- The task: learn a policy $\pi: S \rightarrow A$ for choosing actions that maximizes

\[
E[r_t + \gamma r_{t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{t+2} + \ldots] \quad 0 < \gamma \leq 1
\]

for every possible starting state $s_0$
Reinforcement Learning Task for Autonomous Agent

Execute actions in environment, observe results, and

• Learn control policy \( \pi: S \rightarrow A \) that maximizes \( \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t E[r_t] \) from every state \( s \in S \)

Example: Robot grid world, deterministic reward \( r(s,a) \)

- Actions: move up, down, left, and right
  [except when you are in the top-right you stay there, and say any action that bumps you into a wall leaves you where you were]

- reward fns \( r(s,a) \) is deterministic with reward 100 for entering the top-right and 0 everywhere else.

\( r(s, a) \) (immediate reward)
Reinforcement Learning Task for Autonomous Agent

Execute actions in environment, observe results, and

- Learn control policy $\pi: S \rightarrow A$ that maximizes $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t E[r_t]$ from every state $s \in S$

Yikes!!

- Function to be learned is $\pi: S \rightarrow A$
- But training examples are not of the form $<s, a>$
- They are instead of the form $<<s, a>, r>$
Value Function for each Policy

- Given a policy $\pi : S \rightarrow A$, define

$$V^{\pi}(s) = E\left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t\right]$$

assuming action sequence chosen according to $\pi$, starting at state $s$

- Goal: find the *optimal* policy $\pi^*$ where

$$\pi^* = \arg\max_{\pi} V^{\pi}(s), \quad (\forall s)$$

- For any MDP, such a policy exists!
- We’ll abbreviate $V^{\pi^*}(s)$ as $V^*(s)$
- Note if we have $V^*(s)$ and $P(s_{t+1}|s_t, a)$, we can compute $\pi^*(s)$

$$\pi^*(s) = \arg\max_a [r(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} P(s'|s,a)V^*(s')]$$
Value Function – what are the $V^\pi(s)$ values?

$$V^\pi(s) = E\left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t \right]$$

Suppose $\pi$ is shown by circled action from each state.

Suppose $\gamma = 0.9$

$$r(s, a) \text{ (immediate reward)}$$
Value Function – what are the $V^\pi(s)$ values?

$$V^\pi(s) = E\left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t \right]$$

Suppose $\gamma$ is shown by circled action from each state

Suppose $\gamma = 0.9$

$$r(s, a) \text{ (immediate reward)}$$
Value Function – what are the $V^*(s)$ values?

$$V^\pi(s) = E\left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t\right]$$

$r(s, a)$ (immediate reward)
Immediate rewards $r(s,a)$

State values $V^*(s)$

$r(s, a)$ (immediate reward) values

One optimal policy

$V^*(s)$ values
Recursive definition for $V^*(S)$

$$V^*(s) = E\left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t \right]$$

assuming actions are chosen according to the optimal policy, $\pi^*$

$$V^*(s_1) = E[r(s_1, a_1)] + E[\gamma r(s_2, a_2)] + E[\gamma^2 r(s_3, a_3)] + \ldots$$

$$V^*(s_1) = E[r(s_1, a_1)] + \gamma E_{s_2|s_1, a_1}[V^*(s_2)]$$

Value $V^*(s_1)$ of performing optimal policy from $s_1$, is expected reward of the first action $a_1$ taken plus $\gamma$ times the expected value, over states $s_2$ reached by performing action $a_1$ from $s_1$, of the value $V^*(s_2)$ of performing the optimal policy from then on.

$$V^*(s) = E[r(s, \pi^*(s))] + \gamma E_{s'|s, \pi^*(s)}[V^*(s')]$$

optimal value of any state $s$ is the expected reward of performing $\pi^*(s)$ from $s$ plus $\gamma$ times the expected value, over states $s'$ reached by performing that action from state $s$, of the optimal value of $s'$. 
Value Iteration for learning $V^*$: assumes $P(S_{t+1}|S_t, A)$ known

Initialize $V(s)$ to 0  
[optimal value can get in zero steps]

For $t=1, 2, \ldots$ [Loop until policy good enough]

Loop for $s$ in $S$
    Loop for $a$ in $A$
      $Q(s, a) \leftarrow r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} P(s'|s,a)V(s')$

$V(s) \leftarrow \max_a Q(s, a)$

End loop
End loop

Optimal expected discounted reward can get by taking an action and then being optimal for $t-1$ steps = optimal expected discounted reward can get in $t$ steps.

$V(s)$ converges to $V^*(s)$

Dynamic programming
Value Iteration for learning $V^*$: assumes $P(S_{t+1}|S_t, A)$ known

Initialize $V(s)$ to 0  [optimal value can get in zero steps]

For $t=1, 2, \ldots$  [Loop until policy good enough]

Loop for $s$ in $S$

Loop for $a$ in $A$

\[
Q(s, a) \leftarrow r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} P(s'|s, a)V(s')
\]

$V(s) \leftarrow \max_a Q(s, a)$

End loop

End loop

$V(s)$ converges to $V^*(s)$

Dynamic programming
Value Iteration for learning $V^*$: assumes $P(S_{t+1}|S_t, A)$ known

Initialize $V(s)$ to 0  \[\text{[optimal value can get in zero steps]}\]

For $t=1, 2, \ldots$ [Loop until policy good enough]

Loop for $s$ in $S$

   Loop for $a$ in $A$

      \[
      Q(s, a) \leftarrow r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} P(s'|s, a)V(s')
      \]

      \[
      V(s) \leftarrow \max_a Q(s, a)
      \]

    End loop

End loop

- Round $t=0$ we have $V(s)=0$ for all $s$.
- After round $t=1$, a top-row of 0, 100, 0 and a bottom-row of 0, 0, 100.
- After the next round ($t=2$), a top row of 90, 100, 0 and a bottom row of 0, 90, 100.
- After the next round ($t=3$) we will have a top-row of 90, 100, 0 and a bottom row of 81, 90, 100, and it will then stay there forever.
Value Iteration

So far, in our DP, each round we cycled through each state exactly once. Interestingly, value iteration works even if we randomly traverse the environment instead of looping through each state and action methodically

- but we must still visit each state infinitely often on an infinite run
- For details: [Bertsekas 1989]
- Implications: online learning as agent randomly roams

If for our DP, \( \max \) (over states) difference between two successive value function estimates is less than \( \varepsilon \), then the value of the greedy policy differs from the optimal policy by no more than

\[
2\varepsilon \gamma / (1 - \gamma)
\]
So far: learning optimal policy when we know $P(s_t \mid s_{t-1}, a_{t-1})$

What if we don’t?
Q learning

Define new function, closely related to $V^*$

$$V^*(s) = E[r(s, \pi^*(s))] + \gamma E_{s' \mid \pi^*(s)}[V^*(s')]$$

$V^*(s)$ is the expected discounted reward of following the optimal policy from time 0 onward.

$$Q(s, a) = E[r(s, a)] + \gamma E_{s' \mid a}[V^*(s')]$$

$Q(s, a)$ is the expected discounted reward of first doing action $a$ and then following the optimal policy from the next step onward.

If agent knows $Q(s, a)$, it can choose optimal action without knowing $P(s_{t+1} \mid s_t, a)$!

$$\pi^*(s) = \arg \max_a Q(s, a) \quad V^*(s) = \max_a Q(s, a)$$

Just chose the action that maximizes the $Q$ value.

And, it can learn $Q$ without knowing $P(s_{t+1} \mid s_t, a)$ using something very much like the dynamic programming algorithm we used to compute $V^*$. 
Immediate rewards $r(s,a)$

State values $V^*(s)$

State-action values $Q^*(s,a)$

$$V^*(s) = E[r(s, \pi^*(s))] + \gamma E_{s'|s, \pi^*(s)}[V^*(s')]$$

$$(s, a)$ (immediate reward) values

Bellman equation.

$$Q(s, a) = E[r(s, a)] + \gamma E_{s'|a}[V^*(s')]$$

Consider first the case where $P(s'| s, a)$ is deterministic
Training Rule to Learn $Q$

[simplicity assume the transitions and rewards are deterministic.]

Note $Q$ and $V^*$ closely related:

$$V^*(s) = \max_{a'} Q(s, a')$$

Which allows us to write $Q$ recursively as

$$Q(s_t, a_t) = r(s_t, a_t) + \gamma V^*(\delta(s_t, a_t))$$
$$= r(s_t, a_t) + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s_{t+1}, a')$$

Nice! Let $\hat{Q}$ denote learner’s current approximation to $Q$. Consider training rule

$$\hat{Q}(s, a) \leftarrow r + \gamma \max_{a'} \hat{Q}(s', a')$$

where $s'$ is the state resulting from applying action $a$ in state $s$
Q Learning for Deterministic Worlds

For each $s, a$ initialize table entry $\hat{Q}(s, a) \leftarrow 0$

Observe current state $s$

Do forever:

- Select an action $a$ and execute it
- Receive immediate reward $r$
- Observe the new state $s'$
- Update the table entry for $\hat{Q}(s, a)$ as follows:
  \[
  \hat{Q}(s, a) \leftarrow r + \gamma \max_{a'} \hat{Q}(s', a')
  \]
- $s \leftarrow s'$
Updating $\hat{Q}$

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{Q}(s_1, a_{right}) & \leftarrow r + \gamma \max_{a'} \hat{Q}(s_2, a') \\
& \leftarrow 0 + 0.9 \ max\{63, 81, 100\} \\
& \leftarrow 90
\end{align*}
\]

notice if rewards non-negative, then

\[
(\forall s, a, n) \quad \hat{Q}_{n+1}(s, a) \geq \hat{Q}_n(s, a)
\]

and

\[
(\forall s, a, n) \quad 0 \leq \hat{Q}_n(s, a) \leq Q(s, a)
\]
\( \hat{Q} \) converges to \( Q \). Consider case of deterministic world where see each \( \langle s, a \rangle \) visited infinitely often.

**Proof:** Define a full interval to be an interval during which each \( \langle s, a \rangle \) is visited. During each full interval the largest error in \( \hat{Q} \) table is reduced by factor of \( \gamma \)

Let \( \hat{Q}_n \) be table after \( n \) updates, and \( \Delta_n \) be the maximum error in \( \hat{Q}_n \); that is

\[
\Delta_n = \max_{s,a} |\hat{Q}_n(s, a) - Q(s, a)|
\]

For any table entry \( \hat{Q}_n(s, a) \) updated on iteration \( n + 1 \), the error in the revised estimate \( \hat{Q}_{n+1}(s, a) \) is

\[
|\hat{Q}_{n+1}(s, a) - Q(s, a)| = |(r + \gamma \max_{a'} \hat{Q}_n(s', a'))
- (r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a'))| \\
= \gamma |\max_{a'} \hat{Q}_n(s', a') - \max_{a'} Q(s', a')| \\
\leq \gamma \max_{a'} |\hat{Q}_n(s', a') - Q(s', a')| \\
\leq \gamma \max_{s'',a'} |\hat{Q}_n(s'', a') - Q(s'', a')| \\
|\hat{Q}_{n+1}(s, a) - Q(s, a)| \leq \gamma \Delta_n
\]

Use general fact:

\[
| \max_a f_1(a) - \max_a f_2(a) | \leq \max_a |f_1(a) - f_2(a) |
\]
Nondeterministic Case

\( Q \) learning generalizes to nondeterministic worlds

Alter training rule to

\[
\hat{Q}_n(s, a) \leftarrow (1 - \alpha_n) \hat{Q}_{n-1}(s, a) + \alpha_n [r + \max_{a'} \hat{Q}_{n-1}(s', a')] 
\]

where

\[
\alpha_n = \frac{1}{1 + \text{visits}_n(s, a)}
\]

Can still prove convergence of \( \hat{Q} \) to \( Q \) [Watkins and Dayan, 1992]

Rather than replacing the old estimate with the new estimate, you want to compute a weighted average of them: \((1 - \alpha_n)\) times your old estimate plus \(\alpha_n\) times your new estimate. This way you average out the probabilistic fluctuations, and one can show that this still converges.
MDP’s and RL: What You Should Know

• Learning to choose optimal actions $A$
• From *delayed reward*
• By learning evaluation functions like $V(S)$, $Q(S,A)$

Key ideas:

• If next state function $S_t \times A_t \rightarrow S_{t+1}$ is known
  – can use dynamic programming to learn $V(S)$
  – once learned, choose action $A_t$ that maximizes $V(S_{t+1})$
• If next state function $S_t \times A_t \rightarrow S_{t+1}$ unknown
  – learn $Q(S_t,A_t) = E[V(S_{t+1})]$?
  – to learn, sample $S_t \times A_t \rightarrow S_{t+1}$ in actual world
  – once learned, choose action $A_t$ that maximizes $Q(S_t,A_t)$