Language and Statistics II

Lecture 10: Parsing (Treebanks, Algorithms)

Noah Smith

PCFGs and HMMs

PCFG:

- Alphabet Σ
- Nonterminal set N
- Start nonterminal S
- Rules $X \rightarrow^{p} \alpha$

HMM (special case):

- Alphabet Σ
- State set N
- Start state S₀
- Rules
 - X →η(s | X) s X'
 - $X' \rightarrow \gamma(Y \mid X) Y$
 - X' $\rightarrow \gamma(\text{stop} \mid X) \in$

PCFGs and Log-Linear Models

Log-linear model:

- Set of inputs X
- Set of outputs ¥
- Set of feature functions $f_i : (X, Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
- Set of weights θ_i
 corresponding to f_i

PCFG:

• \(\Sigma\)*

- Derivable productions given the rules
- Counts of rules
- Logarithms of rule probabilities

Major Research Questions

✓ What's the right representation?✓ What's the right model?

(We've talked about one representation and one model.)

- How to learn to parse **empirically**?
- How to make parsers **fast**?
- How to incorporate structure **downstream**?

Learning from Data

- 1. Where do the **rules** come from?
- 2. Where do the rule **probabilities** come from?

First answer: Look at a huge collection of trees (a treebank).

 $X \rightarrow \alpha$ is in the grammar iff it's in the treebank. p($\alpha \mid X$) is proportional to the count of $X \rightarrow \alpha$.

Penn Treebank (Marcus et al., 1993)

- A million words (40K sentences) of Wall Street Journal text (late 1980s).
- Parsed by experts; consensus parse for each sentence was published.
- The structure is basically what you'd expect from a PCFG.
 - Tends to be "flat" where there's controversy.
 - Some "traces" for extraposed elements.

Example Tree

```
( (S
    (NP-SBJ
      (NP (NNP Pierre) (NNP Vinken) )
      (, ,)
      (ADJP
        (NP (CD 61) (NNS years) )
        (JJ old) )
      (, ,) )
    (VP (MD will)
      (VP (VB join)
        (NP (DT the) (NN board) )
        (PP-CLR (IN as)
          (NP (DT a) (JJ nonexecutive) (NN director) ))
        (NP-TMP (NNP Nov.) (CD 29) )))
    (. .) ))
```

```
( (S
    (NP-SBJ-1
      (NP (NNP Rudolph) (NNP Agnew) )
      (, ,)
      (UCP
        (ADJP
          (NP (CD 55) (NNS years) )
         (JJ old) )
        (CC and)
        (NP
          (NP (JJ former) (NN chairman) )
          (PP (IN of)
            (NP (NNP Consolidated) (NNP Gold) (NNP Fields) (NNP PLC) ))))
      (, ,) )
    (VP (VBD was)
      (VP (VBN named)
        (S
          (NP-SBJ (-NONE- *-1))
          (NP-PRD
             (NP (DT a) (JJ nonexecutive) (NN director) )
            (PP (IN of)
               (NP (DT this) (JJ British) (JJ industrial) (NN conglomerate)
   ))
))))
    (. .) ))
```

Evaluating Parsers

- Take a sentence from the test set.
- Use your parser to propose a hypothesis parse.
- Treebank gives you the **correct** parse.
- How to compare?
 - {unlabeled, labeled} × {precision, recall}
 - crossing brackets statistics
 - -evalb (http://nlp.cs.nyu.edu/evalb)
- Significance testing ...

The Dark Side

- This is the way to train and test an English parser.
- There are some inconsistencies.
- Other treebank builders haven't always been as diligent; often tag labels, nonterminal labels, conventions are assumed to **port** to other languages.
- Better way of handling disagreement: publish different annotators' trees (not consensus)?

Training Parsers In Practice

- Transformations on trees
 - Some of these are generally taken to be crucial
 - Some are widely debated
 - Lately, people have started **learning** these transformations
- Smoothing (crucial)
- We will come back to this as we explore some current state-of-the art parsers.
 - Collins (1999; 2003)
 - Charniak (2000)
 - Klein and Manning (2003)
 - McDonald, Pereira, Ribarov, and Hajic (2005)

Decoding Algorithms

- Suppose I have a PCFG and a sentence.
- What might I want to do?
 - Find the most likely tree (if it exists).
 - Find the *k* most likely trees.
 - Gather statistics on the **distribution** over trees.
- Should remind you of FS models!

Probabilistic CKY

Input: PCFG $G = (\Sigma, \mathbf{N}, S, \mathbf{R})$ in CNF and sequence $\mathbf{w} \in \Sigma^*$

Output: most likely tree for **w**, if it exists, and its probability.

$$C(X,i,i) = \left\langle p(X \to w_i), \text{null} \right\rangle$$

$$C(X,i,k) = \left\langle \max_{\substack{Y,Z \in \mathbb{N}, j \in [i+1,k-2] \\ Y,Z \in \mathbb{N}, j \in [i+1,k-2]}} C(Y,i,j) \cdot C(Z,j+1,k) \cdot p(X \to Y,Z), \right\rangle$$

 $goal = C(S, 1, |\mathbf{w}|)$

Resist This Temptation!

- CKY is not "building a tree" bottom-up.
- It is scoring partial hypotheses bottom-up.
- You can assume nothing about the tree until you get to the end!



















Input: PCFG $G = (\Sigma, \mathbf{N}, S, \mathbf{R})$ and sequence $\mathbf{w} \in \Sigma^*$

Output: most likely tree for **w**, if it exists, and its probability.

$$C(X/\alpha, i, i) = \left\langle p(X \to \alpha), \text{null} \right\rangle$$

if $\left((\exists Z, h : C(Z/X, h, i) > 0) \lor (X = S \land i = 0) \right)$
$$C(X/\alpha, i, j + 1) = \left\langle C(X/w_j\alpha, i, j), \& C(X/w_j\alpha, i, j) \right\rangle$$

$$C(X/\alpha, i, k) = \left\langle \max_{\substack{j \in [i+1,k-2], Y \in \mathbb{N} \\ \& \text{argmax}...}} C(X/Y\alpha, i, j) \cdot C(Y/\emptyset, j + 1, k) \right\rangle$$

$$\left\langle \text{goal} = C(S/\emptyset, 0, |\mathbf{w}|) \right\rangle$$

predict $C(X/\alpha, i, i) = \langle p(X \rightarrow \alpha), \text{null} \rangle$ if $((\exists Z, h: C(Z/X, h, i) > 0) \lor (X = S \land i = 0))$ $C(X/\alpha, i, j+1) = \langle C(X/w_j\alpha, i, j), \& C(X/w_j\alpha, i, j) \rangle$ $C(X/\alpha, i, k) = \begin{pmatrix} \max_{j \in [i+1, k-2], Y \in \mathbb{N}} C(X/Y\alpha, i, j) \cdot C(Y/\emptyset, j+1, k) \\ \& \text{argmax...} \end{pmatrix}$ $\text{goal} = C(S / \emptyset, 0, |\mathbf{w}|)$

$$C(X/\alpha, i, i) = \left\langle p(X \to \alpha), \text{null} \right\rangle$$
scan
if $\left((\exists Z, h : C(Z/X, h, i) > 0) \lor (X = S \land i = 0) \right)$

$$C(X/\alpha, i, j + 1) = \left\langle C(X/w_j\alpha, i, j), \& C(X/w_j\alpha, i, j) \right\rangle$$

$$C(X/\alpha, i, k) = \left\langle \max_{\substack{j \in [i+1,k-2], Y \in \mathbb{N} \\ \& \text{argmax...}}} C(X/Y\alpha, i, j) \cdot C(Y/\emptyset, j + 1, k) \right\rangle$$

$$goal = C(S/\emptyset, 0, |\mathbf{w}|)$$

$$C(X/\alpha, i, i) = \left\langle p(X \to \alpha), \text{null} \right\rangle$$

$$\text{if } \left(\left(\exists Z, h : C(Z/X, h, i) > 0 \right) \lor \left(X = S \land i = 0 \right) \right)$$

$$C(X/\alpha, i, j + 1) = \left\langle C(X/w_j \alpha, i, j), \& C(X/w_j \alpha, i, j) \right\rangle$$

$$\text{complete}$$

$$C(X/\alpha, i, k) = \left\langle \max_{j \in [i+1, k-2], Y \in \mathbb{N}} C(X/Y\alpha, i, j) \cdot C(Y/\emptyset, j + 1, k) \right\rangle$$

$$\& \text{argmax...}$$

 $goal = C(S / \emptyset, 0, |\mathbf{w}|)$

Probabilistic Earley's (Corrected!)

$$C(X / \alpha, i, i) = \left\langle p(X \to \alpha), \text{null} \right\rangle$$

$$\text{if} \left(\left(\exists Z, h : C(Z / X, h, i) > 0 \right) \lor \left(X = S \land i = 0 \right) \right)$$

$$C(X / \alpha, i, k) = \left\langle \max \begin{pmatrix} \max_{j \in [i+1,k-2], Y \in \mathbb{N}} C(X / Y \alpha, i, j) \cdot C(Y / \emptyset, j+1, k), \\ C(X / w_k \alpha, i, k-1) \\ \& \text{argmax...} \end{pmatrix} \right|$$

$$\text{goal} = C(S / \emptyset, 0, |\mathbf{w}|)$$

Visualizing Probabilistic Earley's



CKY vs. Earley's

- Both $O(n^3)$ runtime, $O(n^2)$ space
- Earley's doesn't require the grammar to be in CNF
- Earley's usually moves left-to-right; CKY usually moves bottom-to-top.
- Earley's ≈ on-the-fly binarization + CKY
- Thought question: Does either remind you of Viterbi?

CKY and Earley's vs. The World

- Tomita parsing shift and reduce operations, with a stack - inspired by search in AI.
 - Can make it probabilistic.
 - No polynomial guarantees (could be exponential if lots of stack splitting).
 - In practice usually fast.
- CKY and Earley's algorithms **can** be generalized to use an agenda, rather than filling in all cells.
 - "Best-first" tricks; sometimes optimality is not sacrificed!
- Remember the Forward algorithm?
 - We'll come back to "inside" algorithms in a couple of weeks.