TA Evaluation Form
Ph.D. Program

Student Name: Miguel Velez  Course Number/Title: 17-854 Analysis of Software Artifacts
Instructor Name: Christian Kaestner + Jeffrey Gennari  Semester Taught: Spring 2019

This form provides feedback to the student in their role as TA. Please include constructive comments, both positive and negative, about the TA's performance, with an aim toward helping them improve these skills. Please skip any items that are not applicable or where you have insufficient information to evaluate the student.

1. Preparation of Assignments  [x] excellent  [ ] very good  [ ] good  [ ] fair  [ ] poor
   In generating homework or exam problems, was the TA thorough, prompt, creative, etc.? Were the assignments challenging, yet fair? Please indicate the extent to which the students was involved in assignment preparation.
   Miguel was partially involved in the design and revision of assignments and provided useful feedback.

2. Recitations  [x] excellent  [ ] very good  [ ] good  [ ] fair  [ ] poor
   Was the TA well prepared? Did they exhibit good presentation skills? The recitations sufficiently add to the course experience?
   Miguel prepared recitations independently and conducted them well to prepare students for assignments.

3. Grading  [x] excellent  [ ] very good  [ ] good  [ ] fair  [ ] poor
   Was the TA thorough, prompt and fair in grading assignments? Did the TA provide the students with adequate feedback along with grades?
   Miguel graded assignments fairly and in a timely fashion. He gave excellent feedback to students and met with them to discuss the feedback where students had questions.

4. Office Hours  [ ] excellent  [ ] very good  [x] good  [ ] fair  [ ] poor
   Was the TA always available during posted office hours? Did they adequately answer student questions in a clear manner?
   n/a

5. Knowledge of Material  [x] excellent  [ ] very good  [ ] good  [ ] fair  [ ] poor
   In the various capacities (assignments, recitations, office hours), did the TA exhibit adequate understanding of the course material? Did the TA adequately fill in any gaps they might have had before the course began?
   Miguel has a good understanding of the concepts in the class and could contribute in discussions and show this understanding in recitations, guest lectures, and grading.

6. Other  [x] excellent  [ ] very good  [ ] good  [ ] fair  [ ] poor
   Please comment on other aspects of the student's TA experience that may be relevant, such as communication skills, demonstrations of leadership and initiative, help in developing or maintaining software systems needed for the course, etc.
   We very much appreciate Miguel's effort to track participation and his guest lecture on taint analysis in the course.

7. Your overall evaluation  [x] excellent  [ ] very good  [ ] good  [ ] fair  [ ] poor

Instructor Signature __________________________ Date 5/8/19