Final Exam Review
Reminders

• Homework 9: Learning Paradigms
  – Out: Sun, Nov. 21
  – Due: Wed, Dec. 1 at 11:59pm
  – Can only be submitted up to 2 days late, so we can return grades before final exam

• Exam 3 Practice Problems
  – Out: Wed, Dec. 1 Mon, Nov. 29!

• Mock Exam 3
  – Out: Wed, Dec. 1
  – Due: Sat, Dec. 4 at 11:59pm

• Exam 3
  – Mon, Dec. 6 (9:30am – 11:30am)
EXAM LOGISTICS
Final 3rd Exam

• Time / Location
  – **Time:** Mon, Dec. 6th at 8:30am – 11:30am
  – **Location & Seats:** You have all been split across multiple rooms. Everyone has an assigned seat in one of these room.
  – Please watch Piazza carefully for announcements.

• Logistics
  – Covered material: Lectures 18 – 25
  – Format of questions:
    • Multiple choice
    • True / False (with justification)
    • Derivations
    • Short answers
    • Interpreting figures
    • Implementing algorithms on paper
  – No electronic devices
  – You are allowed to bring one 8½ x 11 sheet of notes (front and back)
Final 3rd Exam

• How to Prepare
  – Attend (or watch) this exam review session
  – Review practice problems
  – Review homework problems
  – Review the poll questions from each lecture
  – Consider whether you have achieved the learning objectives for each lecture / section
  – Write your cheat sheets
Final 3\textsuperscript{rd} Exam

• Advice (for during the exam)
  – Read all the problems and solve the easy ones first (e.g. multiple choice before derivations)
    • if a problem seems extremely complicated, you’re likely missing something
  – Don’t leave any answer blank!
  – If you make an assumption, write it down
  – If you look at a question and don’t know the answer:
    • we probably haven’t told you the answer
    • but we’ve told you enough to work it out
    • imagine arguing for some answer and see if you like it
Topics for Final Exam

• Graphical Models
  – HMMs
  – Learning and Inference
  – Bayesian Networks

• Reinforcement Learning
  – Value Iteration
  – Policy Iteration
  – Q-Learning
  – Deep Q-Learning

• Other Learning Paradigms
  – K-Means
  – PCA
  – Ensemble Methods
  – Recommender Systems
It was all a ruse!
It was all a ruse!
Medical Diagnosis

Interview Transcript
Date: Aug. 15, 2021
Parties: Matt Gormley and Doctor S.
Topic: Medical decision making

• Matt: Welcome. Thanks for interviewing with me today.
• Dr. S: Interviewing...?
• Matt: Yes. For the record, what type of doctor are you?
• Dr. S: Who said I'm a doctor?
• Matt: I thought when we set up this interview you said—
• Dr. S: I'm a preschooler.
• Matt: Good enough. Today, I'd like to learn how you would determine whether or not your little brother is allergic to cats given his symptoms.
• Dr. S: He's not allergic.
• Matt: We haven't started yet. Now, suppose he is sneezing. Does he have allergies to cats?
• Dr. S: Well, we don't even have a cat, so that doesn't make any sense.
• Matt: What if he is itchy; Does he have allergies?
• Dr. S: No, that's just a mosquito.
• [Editor's note: preschoolers unilaterally agree that itchiness is always caused by mosquitoes, regardless of whether mosquitoes were/are present.]
• Matt: What if he’s both sneezing and itchy?
• Dr. S: Then he’s allergic.
• Matt: Got it. What if your little brother is sneezing and itchy, plus he’s a doctor.
• Dr. S: Then, thumbs down, he’s not allergic.
• Matt: How do you know?
• Dr. S: Doctors don’t get allergies.
• Matt: What if he is not sneezing, but is itchy, and he is a fox....
• Matt: ...and the fox is in the bottle where the tweettle beetles battle with their paddles in a puddle on a noodle-eating poodle.
• Dr. S: Then he is must be a tweettle beetle noodle poodle bottled paddled muddled duddled fuddled wuddled fox in socks, sir. That means he’s definitely allergic.
• Matt: Got it. Can I use this conversation in my lecture?
• Dr. S: Yes
dog

cat
Overfitting in Decision Tree Learning

Figure from Tom Mitchell
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Sepal Length</th>
<th>Sepal Width</th>
<th>Petal Length</th>
<th>Petal Width</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Model Selection

• Two very similar definitions:
  – *Def:* model selection is the process by which we choose the “best” model from among a set of candidates
  – *Def:* hyperparameter optimization is the process by which we choose the “best” hyperparameters from among a set of candidates *(could be called a special case of model selection)*

• **Both** assume access to a function capable of measuring the quality of a model

• **Both** are typically done “outside” the main training algorithm --- typically training is treated as a black box
Key idea: Try to learn this hyperplane directly

Directly modeling the hyperplane would use a decision function:

\[ h(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sign}(\theta^T \mathbf{x}) \]

for:
\[ y \in \{-1, +1\} \]

Looking ahead:
- We’ll see a number of commonly used Linear Classifiers
- These include:
  - Perceptron
  - Logistic Regression
  - Naïve Bayes (under certain conditions)
  - Support Vector Machines
**Perceptron Mistake Bound**

**Guarantee:** if some data has margin $\gamma$ and all points lie inside a ball of radius $R$, then the online Perceptron algorithm makes $\leq (R/\gamma)^2$ mistakes.

(Normalized margin: multiplying all points by 100, or dividing all points by 100, doesn’t change the number of mistakes! The algorithm is invariant to scaling.)

**Def:** We say that the (batch) perceptron algorithm has **converged** if it stops making mistakes on the training data (perfectly classifies the training data).

**Main Takeaway:** For **linearly separable** data, if the perceptron algorithm cycles repeatedly through the data, it will **converge** in a finite # of steps.

Slide adapted from Nina Balcan
Optimization Method #0: Random Guessing

1. Pick a random $\theta$
2. Evaluate $J(\theta)$
3. Repeat steps 1 and 2 many times
4. Return $\theta$ that gives smallest $J(\theta)$

$J(\theta) = J(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y^{(i)} - \theta^T x^{(i)})^2$

$h(x; \theta^{(i)}) = y = h^*(x)$ (unknown)

- $\theta^{(0)}$
- $\theta^{(1)}$
- $\theta^{(2)}$
- $\theta^{(3)}$
- $\theta^{(4)}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>$\theta_1$</th>
<th>$\theta_2$</th>
<th>$J(\theta_1, \theta_2)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Topographical Maps
Linear Regression by Gradient Desc.

\[ J(\theta) = J(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y^{(i)} - \theta^T x^{(i)})^2 \]

\[ y = h^*(x) \] (unknown)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>t</th>
<th>( \theta_1 )</th>
<th>( \theta_2 )</th>
<th>( J(\theta_1, \theta_2) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Probabilistic Learning

Function Approximation
Previously, we assumed that our output was generated using a deterministic target function:

\[ x^{(i)} \sim p^* (\cdot) \]
\[ y^{(i)} = c^* (x^{(i)}) \]

Our goal was to learn a hypothesis \( h(x) \) that best approximates \( c^*(x) \)

Probabilistic Learning
Today, we assume that our output is sampled from a conditional probability distribution:

\[ x^{(i)} \sim p^* (\cdot) \]
\[ y^{(i)} \sim p^* (\cdot | x^{(i)}) \]

Our goal is to learn a probability distribution \( p(y|x) \) that best approximates \( p^*(y|x) \)
MLE

Suppose we have data $\mathcal{D} = \{x^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^{N}$

**Principle of Maximum Likelihood Estimation:** Choose the parameters that maximize the likelihood of the data.

$$\theta_{\text{MLE}} = \arg\max_{\theta} \prod_{i=1}^{N} p(x^{(i)} | \theta)$$

Maximum Likelihood Estimate (MLE)
Logistic Regression

**Data:** Inputs are continuous vectors of length $M$. Outputs are discrete.

$$ \mathcal{D} = \{ \mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)} \}_{i=1}^{N} \text{ where } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{M} \text{ and } y \in \{0, 1\} $$

**Model:** Logistic function applied to dot product of parameters with input vector.

$$ p_{\theta}(y = 1|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\theta^T \mathbf{x})} $$

**Learning:** finds the parameters that minimize some objective function.

$$ \theta^* = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\theta} J(\theta) $$

**Prediction:** Output is the most probable class.

$$ \hat{y} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{y \in \{0, 1\}} p_{\theta}(y|x) $$
Where do features come from?

- **Hand-crafted features**: Sun et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2005
- **Word embeddings**: Mikolov et al., 2013; Socher et al., 2011
- **Tree embeddings**: Socher et al., 2013; Hermann & Blunsom, 2013
- **String embeddings**: Socher, 2011; Collobert & Weston, 2008

**Feature Engineering** vs. **Feature Learning**

- **Best of both worlds?**
  - Turian et al., 2010
  - Hermann et al., 2014

**Feature Engineering** refers to the process of manually creating features based on domain knowledge. **Feature Learning** involves learning features from data, often using deep learning models. The diagram illustrates the evolution and integration of these approaches over time.
Example: Linear Regression

**Goal:** Learn $y = w^T f(x) + b$ where $f(.)$ is a polynomial basis function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>x$^9$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>(1.2)$^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>(1.7)$^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>(1.9)$^9$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- With just $N = 10$ points we overfit!
- But with $N = 100$ points, the overfitting (mostly) disappears
- **Takeaway:** more data helps prevent overfitting
**Example: Linear Regression**

**Goal:** Learn $y = w^T f(x) + b$ where $f(.)$ is a polynomial basis function

With just $N = 10$ points we overfit!
- But with $N = 100$ points, the overfitting (mostly) disappears
- **Takeaway:** more data helps prevent overfitting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>$x^9$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>(1.2)$^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>(1.7)$^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>(2.7)$^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>(1.9)$^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>(1.5)$^9$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regularization

- **Given** objective function: \( J(\theta) \)
- **Goal** is to find: \( \hat{\theta} = \arg\min_{\theta} J(\theta) + \lambda r(\theta) \)

- **Key idea**: Define regularizer \( r(\theta) \) s.t. we tradeoff between fitting the data and keeping the model simple

- **Choose form of** \( r(\theta) \):
  - Example: \( q \)-norm (usually \( p \)-norm): \( \|\theta\|_q = \left( \sum_{m=1}^{M} |\theta_m|^{\frac{1}{q}} \right)^q \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( q )</th>
<th>( r(\theta) )</th>
<th>yields parameters that are...</th>
<th>name</th>
<th>optimization notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>( |\theta|_0 = \sum 1(\theta_m \neq 0) )</td>
<td>zero values</td>
<td>L0 reg.</td>
<td>no good computational solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( |\theta|_1 = \sum</td>
<td>\theta_m</td>
<td>)</td>
<td>zero values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( (|\theta|_2)^2 = \sum \theta_m^2 )</td>
<td>small values</td>
<td>L2 reg.</td>
<td>differentiable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Linear Regression

Output

$y = h_\theta(x) = \sigma(\theta^T x)$

where $\sigma(a) = a$
Decision Functions

Perceptron

\[ y = h_\theta(x) = \sigma(\theta^T x) \]

where \( \sigma(a) = \text{sign}(a) \)
Logistic Regression

\[ y = h_\theta(x) = \sigma(\theta^T x) \]

where \( h_\theta(x) \) is the hypothesis function and \( \sigma \) is the sigmoid function.

In-Class Example

- \( \theta_1 \)
- \( \theta_2 \)
- \( \theta_3 \)

Input:
- \( x_1 \)
- \( x_2 \)
- \( x_3 \)

Decision Functions
Neural Network

Decision Functions

Output

Hidden Layer

Input

\[ x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3 \quad \ldots \quad x_M \]

\[ z_1 \quad z_2 \quad \ldots \quad z_D \]

\[ y \]
Error Back-Propagation

Slide from (Stoyanov & Eisner, 2012)
Architecture #2: AlexNet

**CNN for Image Classification**
(Krizhevsky, Sutskever & Hinton, 2012)
15.3% error on ImageNet LSVRC-2012 contest

- **Input image (pixels)**
- **Five convolutional layers (w/max-pooling)**
- **Three fully connected layers**
- **1000-way softmax**
RNN Language Model

**Key Idea:**

1. convert all previous words to a **fixed length vector**
2. define distribution $p(w_t \mid f_\theta(w_{t-1}, \ldots, w_1))$ that conditions on the vector $h_t = f_\theta(w_{t-1}, \ldots, w_1)$
Sampling from an RNN-LM

VIOLA: Why, Salisbury must find his flesh and thought That which I am not aps, not a man and in fire, To show the reining of the raven and the wars To grace my hand reproach within, and not a fair are hand, That Caesar and my goodly father's world; When I was heaven of presence and our fleets, We spare with hours, but cut thy council I am great, Murdered a master's ready there My power to give thee but so much as hell: Some service in the noble bondman here, Would show him to her wine.

KING LEAR: O, if you were a feeble sight, the courtesy of your law, Your sight and several breath, will wear the gods With his heads, and my hands are wonder'd at the deeds, So drop upon your lordship's head, and your opinion Shall be against your honour.

CHARLES: Marry, do I, sir; and I came to acquaint you with a matter. I am given, sir, secretly to understand that your younger brother Orlando hath a disposition to come in disguised against me to try a fall. To-morrow, sir, I wrestle for my credit; and he that escapes me without some broken limb shall acquit him this but young and tender; and, I should be loath to foil him, as I am great, Murdered and by thy master's ready there My power to give thee but so much as hell: Some service in the noble bondman here, Would show him to her wine.

TOUCHSTONE: For my part, I had rather bear with you than bear you; yet I should bear no cross if I did bear you, for I think you have no money in your purse.

Which is the real Shakespeare?!
PAC-MAN Learning

For some hypothesis $h \in \mathcal{H}$:

1. True Error $R(h)$
2. Training Error $\hat{R}(h)$

**Question 1:**
What is the probability that Matt gets a Game Over in PAC-MAN?

A. 90%
B. 50%
C. 10%

**Question 2:**
What is the expected number of PAC-MAN levels Matt will complete before a Game-Over?

A. 1-10
B. 11-20
C. 21-30
Sample Complexity Results

**Definition 0.1.** The **sample complexity** of a learning algorithm is the number of examples required to achieve arbitrarily small error (with respect to the optimal hypothesis) with high probability (i.e. close to 1).

**Four Cases we care about...**

| Finite $|\mathcal{H}|$ | Realizable | Agnostic |
|-------------------------|------------|----------|
| **Thm. 1** $N \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left[ \log(|\mathcal{H}|) + \log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right]$ labeled examples are sufficient so that with probability $(1 - \delta)$ all $h \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\hat{R}(h) = 0$ have $R(h) \leq \epsilon$. |
| **Thm. 2** $N \geq \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} \left[ \log(|\mathcal{H}|) + \log\left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right) \right]$ labeled examples are sufficient so that with probability $(1 - \delta)$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$ we have that $|R(h) - \hat{R}(h)| \leq \epsilon$. |

| Infinite $|\mathcal{H}|$ | Realizable | Agnostic |
|-------------------------|------------|----------|
| **Thm. 3** $N = O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left[ \text{VC}(\mathcal{H}) \log\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) + \log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right] \right)$ labeled examples are sufficient so that with probability $(1 - \delta)$ all $h \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\hat{R}(h) = 0$ have $R(h) \leq \epsilon$. |
| **Thm. 4** $N = O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left[ \text{VC}(\mathcal{H}) + \log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right] \right)$ labeled examples are sufficient so that with probability $(1 - \delta)$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$ we have that $|R(h) - \hat{R}(h)| \leq \epsilon$. |
PAC Learning & Regularization

Model Selection

Q. Is Corr. 4 useful? A: Yes!

Key Idea: tradeoff between low train error and keeping \( H \) simple (low VCDim)

\[
\hat{R}(h) + \frac{1}{2N} [VCH + \ln(\frac{1}{\delta})]
\]

Ex: Lin. Separ. in \( R^m \)

\( VC(H) = M + 1 \)

How to tradeoff?

use a regularizer:

\[
\theta = \arg\min_{\theta} J(\theta) + r(\theta)
\]
Misinformation Detector

**Today’s Goal:** To define a generative model of news articles of two different classes (e.g., real vs. fake news)

Associated Press

**Steelers steady themselves behind linebacker T.J. Watt**

*By WILL GRAVES  October 18, 2021*

PITTSBURGH (AP) — Pittsburgh Steelers linebacker Devin Bush scooped up the loose ball and amid the chaos, immediately started running in the wrong direction before finding his bearings.

How very fitting for a team that’s spent its first six weeks trying to figure things out.

The Onion

**Perfectly Preserved Fourth Watt Brother Discovered Frozen In Wisconsin Beer Cooler**

*Today 12:50PM | Alerts*

WAUKESHA, WI—Hailing the massive specimen as the greatest NFL discovery of the century, league scientists announced Tuesday that they have discovered a perfectly preserved fourth Watt brother frozen in a Wisconsin beer cooler. “This is a historic find for football that could finally be the crucial missing link between J.J. and T.J.,” said lead scientist Robin Grossman, who told reporters...
Model 1: Bernouilli Naïve Bayes

If HEADS, flip each red coin

Flip weighted coin

If TAILS, flip each blue coin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(x_1)</th>
<th>(x_2)</th>
<th>(x_3)</th>
<th>…</th>
<th>(x_M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each red coin corresponds to an \(x_m\)

We can *generate* data in this fashion. Though in practice we never would since our data is *given*.

Instead, this provides an explanation of *how* the data was generated (albeit a terrible one).
Recipe for Closed-form MLE

1. Assume data was generated i.i.d. from some model (i.e. write the generative story)
   \[ x^{(i)} \sim p(x|\theta) \]

2. Write log-likelihood
   \[ \ell(\theta) = \log p(x^{(1)}|\theta) + \ldots + \log p(x^{(N)}|\theta) \]

3. Compute partial derivatives (i.e. gradient)
   \[ \frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \theta_1} = \ldots \]
   \[ \frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \theta_2} = \ldots \]
   \[ \ldots \]
   \[ \frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \theta_M} = \ldots \]

4. Set derivatives to zero and solve for \( \theta \)
   \[ \frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \theta_m} = 0 \text{ for all } m \in \{1, \ldots, M\} \]
   \[ \theta^{\text{MLE}} = \text{solution to system of } M \text{ equations and } M \text{ variables} \]

5. Compute the second derivative and check that \( \ell(\theta) \) is concave down at \( \theta^{\text{MLE}} \)
Recipe for Closed-form MAP Estimation

1. Assume data was generated i.i.d. from some model (i.e. write the generative story)
   \[ \theta \sim p(\theta) \text{ and then for all } i: x^{(i)} \sim p(x|\theta) \]

2. Write log-likelihood
   \[ l_{MAP}(\theta) = \log p(\theta) + \log p(x^{(1)}|\theta) + \ldots + \log p(x^{(N)}|\theta) \]

3. Compute partial derivatives (i.e. gradient)
   \[ \frac{\partial l_{MAP}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_1} = \ldots \]
   \[ \frac{\partial l_{MAP}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_2} = \ldots \]
   \[ \ldots \]
   \[ \frac{\partial l_{MAP}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_M} = \ldots \]

4. Set derivatives to zero and solve for \( \theta \)
   \[ \frac{\partial l_{MAP}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_m} = 0 \text{ for all } m \in \{1, \ldots, M\} \]
   \[ \theta_{MAP} = \text{solution to system of } M \text{ equations and } M \text{ variables} \]

5. Compute the second derivative and check that \( l(\theta) \) is concave down at \( \theta_{MAP} \)
Totoro’s Tunnel
Hidden Markov Model

**HMM Parameters:**

- **Emission matrix, \( A \),** where \( P(X_t = k | Y_t = j) = A_{j,k}, \forall t, k \)
- **Transition matrix, \( B \),** where \( P(Y_t = k | Y_{t-1} = j) = B_{j,k}, \forall t, k \)
- **Initial probs, \( C \),** where \( P(Y_1 = k) = C_k, \forall k \)

![HMM Diagram]

- **Emission Probabilities:**
  - \( O \): 0.8
  - \( S \): 0.1
  - \( C \): 0.1

- **Transition Probabilities:**
  - From \( X_1 \) to \( Y_1 \):
    - \( O \): 0.1
    - \( S \): 0.01
    - \( C \): 0
  - From \( X_2 \) to \( Y_2 \):
    - \( O \): 0.1
    - \( S \): 0.02
    - \( C \): 0
  - From \( X_3 \) to \( Y_3 \):
    - \( O \): 0.1
    - \( S \): 0.03
    - \( C \): 0
  - From \( X_4 \) to \( Y_4 \):
    - \( O \): 0.1
    - \( S \): 0.02
    - \( C \): 0
  - From \( X_5 \) to \( Y_5 \):
    - \( O \): 0.1
    - \( S \): 0.03
    - \( C \): 0
Great Ideas in ML: Message Passing

Count the soldiers

Belief:
Must be
2 + 1 + 3 = 6 of us

there's 1 of me

2 before you

only see my incoming messages

3' behind you

adapted from MacKay (2003) textbook
Forward-Backward Algorithm: Finds Marginals

\[ \alpha_2(n) = \text{total weight of these path prefixes } (a + b + c) \]

\[ \beta_2(n) = \text{total weight of these path suffixes } (x + y + z) \]

Product gives \( ax+ay+az+bx+by+bz+cx+cy+cz \) = total weight of paths
Sample Questions

4 Hidden Markov Models

1. Given the POS tagging data shown, what are the parameter values learned by an HMM?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Noun</th>
<th>Verb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>see</td>
<td>spot</td>
<td>run</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>run</td>
<td>spot</td>
<td>run</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adj.</th>
<th>Adj.</th>
<th>Noun</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>funny</td>
<td>funny</td>
<td>spot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 Hidden Markov Models

1. Given the POS tagging data shown, what are the parameter values learned by an HMM?

2. Suppose you are learning an HMM POS Tagger, how many POS tag sequences of length 23 are there?

3. How does an HMM efficiently search for the most probable tag sequence given a 23-word sentence?
Example: Why is Henry tired?

\[ T = 1 \implies \text{Henry is tired} \]
\[ S = 1 \implies \text{Sunday night football} \]
\[ R = 1 \implies \text{trick-or-treating (eating candy)} \]
\[ H = 1 \implies \text{Halloween was yesterday} \]
\[ C = 1 \implies \text{Henry is a Cowboys fan} \]
\[ W = 1 \implies \text{Henry just watches a lot football} \]
\[ X = 1 \implies \text{Henry is from Texas?} \]

Idea #4: BayesNet (Causality)
The “Burglar Alarm” example

- After you get this phone call, suppose you learn that there was a medium-sized earthquake in your neighborhood. Oh, whew! Probably not a burglar after all.
- Earthquake “explains away” the hypothetical burglar.
- But then it must not be the case that

  \[
  \text{Burglar} \perp \text{Earthquake} \mid \text{Phone Call}
  \]

  even though

  \[
  \text{Burglar} \perp \text{Earthquake}
  \]
Example: Tornado Alarms

1. Imagine that you work at the 911 call center in Dallas.
2. You receive six calls informing you that the Emergency Weather Sirens are going off.
3. What do you conclude?

Figure from https://www.nytimes.com/2017/04/08/us/dallas-emergency-sirens-hacking.html
(a) [2 pts.] Write the expression for the joint distribution.

\[ P(S, R, E, A) = P(S)P(R)P(E | S, R)P(A | E) \]

5 Graphical Models [16 pts.]

We use the following Bayesian network to model the relationship between studying (S), being well-rested (R), doing well on the exam (E), and getting an A grade (A). All nodes are binary, i.e., \( R, S, E, A \in \{0, 1\} \).

![Graphical Model](image)

Figure 5: Directed graphical model for problem 5.
Graphical Models [16 pts.]

We use the following Bayesian network to model the relationship between studying (S), being well-rested (R), doing well on the exam (E), and getting an A grade (A). All nodes are binary, i.e., $R, S, E, A \in \{0, 1\}$.

![Directed graphical model](image)

Figure 5: Directed graphical model for problem 5.
Graphical Models [16 pts.]

We use the following Bayesian network to model the relationship between studying (S), being well-rested (R), doing well on the exam (E), and getting an A grade (A). All nodes are binary, i.e., $R, S, E, A \in \{0, 1\}$.

Figure 5: Directed graphical model for problem 5.

(d) [2 pts.] Is $S$ marginally independent of $R$? Is $S$ conditionally independent of $R$ given $E$? Answer yes or no to each question and provide a brief explanation why.
Question 1

A

B

C
Question 2

A

B

C
Sample Questions

(d) [2 pts.] Is $S$ marginally independent of $R$? Is $S$ conditionally independent of $R$ given $E$? Answer yes or no to each questions and provide a brief explanation why.

No

5 Graphical Models [16 pts.]

We use the following Bayesian network to model the relationship between studying ($S$), being well-rested ($R$), doing well on the exam ($E$), and getting an A grade ($A$). All nodes are binary, i.e., $R, S, E, A \in \{0, 1\}$.

Figure 5: Directed graphical model for problem 5.
Sample Questions

5 Graphical Models

(f) [3 pts.] Give two reasons why the graphical models formalism is convenient when compared to learning a full joint distribution.
A Few Problems for Bayes Nets

Suppose we already have the parameters of a Bayesian Network...

1. How do we compute the probability of a specific assignment to the variables?
P(T=t, H=h, A=a, C=c)

2. How do we draw a sample from the joint distribution?
t,h,a,c ~ P(T, H, A, C)

3. How do we compute marginal probabilities?
P(A) = ...

4. How do we draw samples from a conditional distribution?
t,h,a ~ P(T, H, A | C = c)

5. How do we compute conditional marginal probabilities?
P(H | C = c) = ...

Can we use samples?
Gibbs Sampling

Figure 29.13

\( p(x) \)

\( x_2 \)

\( x_1 \)

\( x^{(t+2)} \)

\( x^{(t+1)} \)

\( x^{(t)} \)

\( p(x_2 | x_1^{(t+1)}) \)
MDP Example: Multi-armed bandit

- Single state: $|S| = 1$

- Three actions: $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$

- Rewards are stochastic
RL: Value Function Example

\[ R(s, a) = \begin{cases} 
-2 & \text{if entering state 0 (safety)} \\
3 & \text{if entering state 5 (field goal)} \\
7 & \text{if entering state 6 (touch down)} \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \]

\[ \gamma = 0.9 \]
Today's lecture is brought to you by the letter Q.

Source: https://vignette1.wikia.nocookie.net/jamesbond/images/9/9a/The_Four_Qs_-_Profile_(2).png/revision/latest?cb=20121102195112
Today’s lecture is brought to you by the letter Q

- Inputs: reward function $R(s, a)$, transition probabilities $p(s'|s, a)$
- Initialize $V(s) = 0 \ \forall \ s \in S$ (or randomly)
- While not converged, do:
  - For $s \in S$
    - For $a \in A$
      - $Q(s, a) = R(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} p(s'|s, a)V(s')$
      - $V(s) \leftarrow \max_{a \in A} Q(s, a)$
  - For $s \in S$
    - $\pi^*(s) \leftarrow \arg\max_{a \in A} R(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} p(s'|s, a)V(s')$
- Return $\pi^*$
Playing Go

- 19-by-19 board
- Players alternate placing black and white stones
- The goal is claim more territory than the opponent

The number of legal Go board states is $\sim 10^{170}$ (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Go_and_mathematics) compared to the number of possible games of chess, $\sim 10^{120}$
7.1 Reinforcement Learning

CQ 3. (1 point) Please select one statement that is true for reinforcement learning and supervised learning.

A = ○ Reinforcement learning is a kind of supervised learning problem because you can treat the reward and next state as the label and each state, action pair as the training data.

B = ○ Reinforcement learning differs from supervised learning because it has a temporal structure in the learning process, whereas, in supervised learning, the prediction of a data point does not affect the data you would see in the future.

C = toxic

4. (1 point) True or False: Value iteration is better at balancing exploration and exploitation compared with policy iteration.

A = ○ True

B = ○ False
Question 3

A

B

C
Question 4

A

B

C
7.1 Reinforcement Learning

3. (1 point) **Please select one statement that is true for reinforcement learning and supervised learning.**

   - Reinforcement learning is a kind of supervised learning problem because you can treat the reward and next state as the label and each state, action pair as the training data.

   - Reinforcement learning differs from supervised learning because it has a temporal structure in the learning process, whereas, in supervised learning, the prediction of a data point does not affect the data you would see in the future.

4. (1 point) **True or False:** Value iteration is better at balancing exploration and exploitation compared with policy iteration.

   - True
   - False
### 7.1 Reinforcement Learning

1. For the $R(s,a)$ values shown on the arrows below, what is the corresponding optimal policy? Assume the discount factor is 0.1

2. For the $R(s,a)$ values shown on the arrows below, which are the corresponding $V^*(s)$ values? Assume the discount factor is 0.1

3. For the $R(s,a)$ values shown on the arrows below, which are the corresponding $Q^*(s,a)$ values? Assume the discount factor is 0.1

4. Could we change $R(s,a)$ such that all the $V^*(s)$ values change but the optimal policy stays the same? If so, show how and if not, briefly explain why not.
PCA section in one slide...

1. Dimensionality reduction:

2. Random Projection:

   1. Randomly sample matrix \( \mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times M} \)
   2. Project down: \( \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)} = \mathbf{V} \hat{x}^{(i)} \)

3. Definition of PCA:

   Choose the matrix \( \mathbf{V} \) that either...
   1. minimizes reconstruction error
   2. consists of the \( K \) eigenvectors with largest eigenvalue

   The above are equivalent definitions.

4. Algorithm for PCA:

   The option we’ll focus on:

   Run Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) to obtain all the eigenvectors.
   Keep just the top-\( K \) to form \( \mathbf{V} \).
   Play some tricks to keep things efficient.

5. An Example
Projecting MNIST digits

Task Setting:
1. Take 25x25 images of digits and project them down to 2 components
2. Plot the 2 dimensional points
4 Principal Component Analysis [16 pts.]

(a) In the following plots, a train set of data points $X$ belonging to two classes on $\mathbb{R}^2$ are given, where the original features are the coordinates $(x, y)$. For each, answer the following questions:

(i) [3 pt.] Draw all the principal components.

(ii) [6 pts.] Can we correctly classify this dataset by using a threshold function after projecting onto one of the principal components? If so, which principal component should we project onto? If not, explain in 1–2 sentences why it is not possible.

Dataset 1: 

Dataset 2:
Example: K-Means
Example: K-Means
2.2 Lloyd’s algorithm

Circle the image which depicts the cluster center positions after 1 iteration of Lloyd’s algorithm.

Figure 2: Initial data and cluster centers
Recommender Systems

![Netflix Prize Leaderboard](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Team Name</th>
<th>Best Test Score</th>
<th>% Improvement</th>
<th>Best Submit Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BellKor’s Pragmatic Chaos</td>
<td>0.8567</td>
<td>10.06</td>
<td>2009-07-26 18:18:28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The Ensemble</td>
<td>0.8567</td>
<td>10.06</td>
<td>2009-07-26 18:38:22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Grand Prize Team</td>
<td>0.8582</td>
<td>9.90</td>
<td>2009-07-10 21:24:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Opera Solutions and Vandelay United</td>
<td>0.8588</td>
<td>9.84</td>
<td>2009-07-10 01:12:31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Vandelay Industries I</td>
<td>0.8591</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>2009-07-10 00:32:20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PragmaticTheory</td>
<td>0.8594</td>
<td>9.77</td>
<td>2009-06-24 12:06:56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>BellKor in BigChaos</td>
<td>0.8601</td>
<td>9.70</td>
<td>2009-05-13 08:14:09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Dace</td>
<td>0.8612</td>
<td>9.59</td>
<td>2009-07-24 17:18:43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Feeds2</td>
<td>0.8622</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>2009-07-12 13:11:51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>BigChaos</td>
<td>0.8623</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>2009-04-07 12:33:59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Opera Solutions</td>
<td>0.8623</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>2009-07-24 00:34:07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>BellKor</td>
<td>0.8624</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>2009-07-26 17:19:11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Weighted Majority Algorithm**

(Littlestone & Warmuth, 1994)

- **Given**: pool $A$ of binary classifiers (that you know nothing about)
- **Data**: stream of examples (i.e. online learning setting)
- **Goal**: design a new learner that uses the predictions of the pool to make new predictions
- **Algorithm**:
  - Initially weight all classifiers equally
  - Receive a training example and predict the (weighted) majority vote of the classifiers in the pool
  - Down-weight classifiers that contribute to a mistake by a factor of $\beta$
Weighted Majority Algorithm

Theorems (Littlestone & Warmuth, 1994)

For the general case where \( WM \) is applied to a pool \( \mathcal{A} \) of algorithms we show the following upper bounds on the number of mistakes made in a given sequence of trials:

1. \( O(\log |\mathcal{A}| + m) \), if one algorithm of \( \mathcal{A} \) makes at most \( m \) mistakes.

2. \( O(\log \frac{|\mathcal{A}|}{k} + m) \), if each of a subpool of \( k \) algorithms of \( \mathcal{A} \) makes at most \( m \) mistakes.

3. \( O(\log \frac{|\mathcal{A}|}{k} + \frac{m}{k}) \), if the total number of mistakes of a subpool of \( k \) algorithms of \( \mathcal{A} \) is at most \( m \).

These are “mistake bounds” of the variety we saw for the Perceptron algorithm.
AdaBoost: Toy Example

\[ H_{\text{final}} = \text{sign} \left( 0.42 + 0.65 + 0.92 \right) \]
Two Types of Collaborative Filtering

2. Latent Factor Methods

- Assume that both movies and users live in some low-dimensional space describing their properties
- **Recommend** a movie based on its proximity to the user in the latent space
- **Example Algorithm:** Matrix Factorization

Figures from Koren et al. (2009)
Example: MF for Netflix Problem

(a) Example of rank-2 matrix factorization

(b) Residual matrix

Figures from Aggarwal (2016)
Question:
Which of the following pieces of information about user behavior could be used to improve a collaborative filtering system?

Select all that apply
A. # of times a user watched a given movie
B. Total # of movies a user has watched
C. How often a user turns on subtitles
D. # of times a user paused a given movie
E. How many accounts a user is associated with
F. # of DVDs a user can rent at a time

= toxic
Question 5

A
B
C
D
E
F
Classification and Regression: The Big Picture

Recipe for Machine Learning

1. Given data \( \mathcal{D} = \{x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^N \)

2. (a) Choose a decision function \( h_\theta(x) = \cdots \) (parameterized by \( \theta \))
   (b) Choose an objective function \( J_D(\theta) = \cdots \) (relies on data)

3. Learn by choosing parameters that optimize the objective \( J_D(\theta) \)
   \[ \hat{\theta} \approx \arg\min_\theta J_D(\theta) \]

4. Predict on new test example \( x_{\text{new}} \) using \( h_\theta(\cdot) \)
   \[ \hat{y} = h_\theta(x_{\text{new}}) \]

Decision Functions

- Perceptron: \( h_\theta(x) = \text{sign}(\theta^T x) \)
- Linear Regression: \( h_\theta(x) = \theta^T x \)
- Discriminative Models: \( h_\theta(x) = \arg\max_y p_\theta(y \mid x) \)
  - Logistic Regression: \( p_\theta(y = 1 \mid x) = \sigma(\theta^T x) \)
  - Neural Net (classification):
    \[ p_\theta(y = 1 \mid x) = \sigma((W^{(2)})^T \sigma((W^{(1)})^T x + b^{(1)}) + b^{(2)}) \]
- Generative Models: \( h_\theta(x) = \arg\max_y p_\theta(x, y) \)
  - Naive Bayes: \( p_\theta(x, y) = p_\theta(y) \prod_{m=1}^M p_\theta(x_m \mid y) \)

Optimization Method

- Gradient Descent: \( \theta \rightarrow \theta - \gamma \nabla_\theta J(\theta) \)
- SGD: \( \theta \rightarrow \theta - \gamma \nabla_\theta J^{(i)}(\theta) \)
  for \( i \sim \text{Uniform}(1, \ldots, N) \)
where \( J(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N J^{(i)}(\theta) \)

- mini-batch SGD
- closed form
  
  1. compute partial derivatives
  2. set equal to zero and solve

Objective Function

- MLE: \( J(\theta) = -\sum_{i=1}^N \log p(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) \)
- MCLE: \( J(\theta) = -\sum_{i=1}^N \log p(y^{(i)} \mid x^{(i)}) \)
- L2 Regularized: \( J'(\theta) = J(\theta) + \lambda \| \theta \|^2_2 \)
  (same as Gaussian prior \( p(\theta) \) over parameters)
- L1 Regularized: \( J'(\theta) = J(\theta) + \lambda \| \theta \|_1 \)
  (same as Laplace prior \( p(\theta) \) over parameters)
# Learning Paradigms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paradigm</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supervised</td>
<td>$\mathcal{D} = {x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}}_{i=1}^N$ $x \sim p^<em>(\cdot)$ and $y = c^</em>(\cdot)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>$y^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classification</td>
<td>$y^{(i)} \in {1, \ldots, K}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binary classification</td>
<td>$y^{(i)} \in {+1, -1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structured Prediction</td>
<td>$y^{(i)}$ is a vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsupervised</td>
<td>$\mathcal{D} = {x^{(i)}}_{i=1}^N$ $x \sim p^*(\cdot)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-supervised</td>
<td>$\mathcal{D} = {x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}}<em>{i=1}^{N_1} \cup {x^{(j)}}</em>{j=1}^{N_2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online</td>
<td>$\mathcal{D} = {(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), (x^{(2)}, y^{(2)}), (x^{(3)}, y^{(3)}), \ldots}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Learning</td>
<td>$\mathcal{D} = {x^{(i)}}_{i=1}^N$ and can query $y^{(i)} = c^*(\cdot)$ at a cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imitation Learning</td>
<td>$\mathcal{D} = {(s^{(1)}, a^{(1)}), (s^{(2)}, a^{(2)}), \ldots}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforcement Learning</td>
<td>$\mathcal{D} = {(s^{(1)}, a^{(1)}, r^{(1)}), (s^{(2)}, a^{(2)}, r^{(2)}), \ldots}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Learning Paradigms:
What data is available and when? What form of prediction?
- supervised learning
- unsupervised learning
- semi-supervised learning
- reinforcement learning
- active learning
- imitation learning
- domain adaptation
- online learning
- density estimation
- recommender systems
- feature learning
- manifold learning
- dimensionality reduction
- ensemble learning
- distant supervision
- hyperparameter optimization

### Problem Formulation:
What is the structure of our output prediction?
- boolean: Binary Classification
- categorical: Multiclass Classification
- ordinal: Ordinal Classification
- real: Regression
- ordering: Ranking
- multiple discrete: Structured Prediction
- multiple continuous: (e.g. dynamical systems)
- both discrete & cont.: (e.g. mixed graphical models)

### Facets of Building ML Systems:
How to build systems that are robust, efficient, adaptive, effective?
1. Data prep
2. Model selection
3. Training (optimization / search)
4. Hyperparameter tuning on validation data
5. (Blind) Assessment on test data

### Theoretical Foundations:
What principles guide learning?
- probabilistic
- information theoretic
- evolutionary search
- ML as optimization

### Big Ideas in ML:
Which are the ideas driving development of the field?
- inductive bias
- generalization / overfitting
- bias-variance decomposition
- generative vs. discriminative
- deep nets, graphical models
- PAC learning
- distant rewards

### Application Areas:
Key challenges?
- NLP
- Speech
- Computer Vision
- Robotics
- Medicine
- Search
Course Level Objectives

You should be able to...

1. Implement and analyze existing learning algorithms, including well-studied methods for classification, regression, structured prediction, clustering, and representation learning

2. Integrate multiple facets of practical machine learning in a single system: data preprocessing, learning, regularization and model selection

3. Describe the the formal properties of models and algorithms for learning and explain the practical implications of those results

4. Compare and contrast different paradigms for learning (supervised, unsupervised, etc.)

5. Design experiments to evaluate and compare different machine learning techniques on real-world problems

6. Employ probability, statistics, calculus, linear algebra, and optimization in order to develop new predictive models or learning methods

7. Given a description of a ML technique, analyze it to identify (1) the expressive power of the formalism; (2) the inductive bias implicit in the algorithm; (3) the size and complexity of the search space; (4) the computational properties of the algorithm: (5) any guarantees (or lack thereof) regarding termination, convergence, correctness, accuracy or generalization power.
SIGNIFICANCE TESTING
Significance Testing

Whiteboard

– Which classifier is better?
– Two sources of variance: (1) randomness in training (2) randomness in test data
– Report system variance
– Significance Testing
  • The paired bootstrap test
  • The paired permutation test
FAIRNESS IN ML
Are Face-Detection Cameras Racist?

By Adam Rose | Friday, Jan. 12, 2013

When Joz Wang and her brother bought their mom a Nikon Coolpix S630 digital camera for Mother's Day last year, they discovered what seemed to be a malfunction. Every time they took a portrait of each other smiling, a message flashed across the screen asking, "Did someone blink?" No one had. "I thought the camera was broken!" Wang, 33, recalls. But when her brother posed with his eyes open so wide that he looked "bug-eyed," the messages stopped.

Wang, a Taiwanese-American strategy consultant who goes by the Web handle "jojjozjoz," thought it was funny that the camera had difficulties figuring out when her family had their eyes open. So she
"A Chinese woman [surname Yan] was offered two refunds from Apple for her new iPhone X... [it] was unable to tell her and her other Chinese colleague apart."

"Thinking that a faulty camera was to blame, the store operator gave [Yan] a refund, which she used to purchase another iPhone X. But the new phone turned out to have the same problem, prompting the store worker to offer her another refund ... It is unclear whether she purchased a third phone"
“As facial recognition systems become more common, Amazon has emerged as a frontrunner in the field, courting customers around the US, including police departments and Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE).”

Gender and racial bias found in Amazon’s facial recognition technology (again)

Research shows that Amazon’s tech has a harder time identifying gender in darker-skinned and female faces

By James Vincent  |  Jan 25, 2019, 9:46am EST

Healthcare risk algorithm had 'significant racial bias'  
It reportedly underestimated health needs for black patients.

“While it [the algorithm] didn't directly consider ethnicity, its emphasis on medical costs as bellwethers for health led to the code routinely underestimating the needs of black patients. A sicker black person would receive the same risk score as a healthier white person simply because of how much they could spend.”

Source: [https://science.sciencemag.org/content/366/6464/447](https://science.sciencemag.org/content/366/6464/447)
Machine Bias

There's software used across the country to predict future criminals. And it's biased against blacks.

by Julia Angwin, Jeff Larson, Surya Mattu and Lauren Kirchner, ProPublica
May 23, 2016

Two Drug Possession Arrests

DYLAN FUGETT
LOW RISK 3

BERNARD PARKER
HIGH RISK 10

Fugett was rated low risk after being arrested with cocaine and marijuana. He was arrested three times on drug charges after that.

Two Drug Possession Arrests

DYLAN FUGETT
Prior Offense
1 attempted burglary

Subsequent Offenses
3 drug possessions

BERNARD PARKER
Prior Offense
1 resisting arrest without violence

Subsequent Offenses
None

Fugett was rated low risk after being arrested with cocaine and marijuana. He was arrested three times on drug charges after that.

Source: https://www.propublica.org/article/machine-bias-risk-assessments-in-criminal-sentencing
Word embeddings and analogies

• https://lamiyowce.github.io/word2viz/
Running Example

• Suppose you’re an admissions officer for CMU, deciding which applicants to admit to your program

• $\mathbf{x}$ are the features of an applicant (e.g., standardized test scores, GPA)

• $a$ is a protected attribute (e.g., gender), usually categorical i.e. $a \in \{a_1, ..., a_C\}$

• $h(\mathbf{x}, a)$ is your model’s prediction, which usually corresponds to some decision or action (e.g., $+1 = \text{admit to CMU}$)

• $y$ is the true, underlying target variable, usually thought of as some latent or hidden state (e.g., $+1 = \text{this applicant would be “successful” at CMU}$)
Three Criteria for Fairness

- **Independence:** $h(\tilde{x}, a) \perp a$
  - Probability of being accepted is the same for all genders

- **Separation:** $h(\tilde{x}, a) \perp a \mid y$
  - All “good” applicants are accepted with the same probability, regardless of gender
  - Same for all “bad” applicants

- **Sufficiency:** $y \perp a \mid h(\tilde{x}, a)$
  - For the purposes of predicting $y$, the information contained in $h(\tilde{x}, a)$ is “sufficient“, $a$ becomes irrelevant
Achieving Fairness

- Pre-processing data
- Additional constraints during training
- Post-processing predictions
Three Criteria for Fairness

• **Independence**: $h(\tilde{x}, a) \perp a$
  - Probability of being accepted is the same for all genders

• **Separation**: $h(\tilde{x}, a) \perp a \mid y$
  - All “good” applicants are accepted with the same probability, regardless of gender
  - Same for all “bad” applicants

• **Sufficiency**: $y \perp a \mid h(\tilde{x}, a)$
  - For the purposes of predicting $y$, the information contained in $h(\tilde{x}, a)$ is “sufficient”, $a$ becomes irrelevant

• Any two of these criteria are mutually exclusive in the general case!
A Fourth Criterion for Fairness

- Causality Bayesian networks to the rescue!
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A Fourth Criterion for Fairness

- Causality Bayesian networks to the rescue!

- Counterfactual fairness: how would an applicant’s probability of acceptance change if they were a different gender?

Source: Counterfactual fairness, Kusner et al., [https://papers.nips.cc/paper/2017/file/a486cd0764ac3d370571622f4f316ec5-Paper.pdf](https://papers.nips.cc/paper/2017/file/a486cd0764ac3d370571622f4f316ec5-Paper.pdf)
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