

# Modules

15-150 Lec 2, Frank Pfenning

Lecture 16

Thursday, March 19, 2020

# Learning Objectives

# Learning Objectives

- What is a module?

# Learning Objectives

- What is a module?
- Which properties may a module possess or not?

# Learning Objectives

- What is a module?
- Which properties may a module possess or not?
- What are the consequences for the programmer?

# Learning Objectives

- What is a module?
- Which properties may a module possess or not?
- What are the consequences for the programmer?
- **signatures** and **structures** in SML

# Learning Objectives

- What is a module?
- Which properties may a module possess or not?
- What are the consequences for the programmer?
  
- **signatures** and **structures** in SML
- Implementing data structures

# Learning Objectives

- What is a module?
- Which properties may a module possess or not?
- What are the consequences for the programmer?
  
- **signatures** and **structures** in SML
- Implementing data structures



# Learning Objectives

- What is a module?
- Which properties may a module possess or not?
- What are the consequences for the programmer?
  
- **signatures** and **structures** in SML
- Implementing data structures
  
- Next lecture: **functors**

# Outline

# Outline

- Module concepts
  - Transparent and opaque signature ascription
  - Name space management
  - Data abstraction / representation independence
  - SML Standard Basis Library

# Outline

- Module concepts
  - Transparent and opaque signature ascription
  - Name space management
  - Data abstraction / representation independence
  - SML Standard Basis Library
- Data structures
  - Representation invariants
  - Persistent vs. ephemeral data structures
  - Queues, Binary search trees

# Units of Code

# Units of Code

- Expression (command)

# Units of Code

- Expression (command)
- Function (procedure)

# Units of Code



- Expression (command)
- Function (procedure)
- Structure (module, library)



# Units of Code

- Expression (command) ← **Type** as **interface** to compiler/runtime system
- Function (procedure)
- Structure (module, library)

# Units of Code

- Expression (command)  Type as **interface** to compiler/runtime system
- Function (procedure)  Type as **interface** to function
- Structure (module, library)

# Units of Code

- Expression (command) ← **Type** as **interface** to compiler/runtime system
- Function (procedure) ← **Type** as **interface** to function
- Structure (module, library) ← **Signature** as **interface** to structure

# Units of Code

- Expression (command) ← **Type** as **interface** to compiler/runtime system
- Function (procedure) ← **Type** as **interface** to function
- Structure (module, library) ← **Signature** as **interface** to structure

Typing at each level accomplishes different things but bigger units rely on properties established for smaller units

# Name Space Management

# Name Space Management

- `structure <struct> : <sig> = struct ... end`

# Name Space Management

- `structure <struct> : <sig> = struct ... end`
- Only types and values in signature can be mentioned
  - `<struct>.<type>` or `<struct>.<value>`

# Name Space Management

- `structure <struct> : <sig> = struct ... end`
- Only types and values in signature can be mentioned
  - `<struct>.<type>` or `<struct>.<value>`
- But type definitions are **transparent**
  - Lack of data abstraction
  - Only name space management



# Name Space Management

- `structure <struct> : <sig> = struct ... end`
- Only types and values in signature can be mentioned
  - `<struct>.<type>` or `<struct>.<value>`
- But type definitions are **transparent**
  - Lack of data abstraction
  - Only name space management

I **never** use transparent ascription for structures (too easy to get tripped up because of the illusion of abstraction)

# Name Space Management

- `structure <struct> : <sig> = struct ... end`
- Only types and values in signature can be mentioned
  - `<struct>.<type>` or `<struct>.<value>`
- But type definitions are **transparent**
  - Lack of data abstraction
  - Only name space management

Need to reconsider in  
next lecture for **functors**

I **never** use transparent ascription for  
structures (too easy to get tripped up  
because of the illusion of abstraction)

# Data Structure Persistence

# Data Structure Persistence

- Pure data structures are **persistent**
  - “Old generations” of a data structure may remain accessible
  - “Garbage collection” deallocates

# Data Structure Persistence

- Pure data structures are **persistent**
  - “Old generations” of a data structure may remain accessible
  - “Garbage collection” deallocates
- Mutable data structures are **ephemeral**
  - Old versions are no longer accessible
  - Need to track state and state changes globally
  - May need to deallocate manually

# Data Structure Persistence

- Pure data structures are **persistent**
  - “Old generations” of a data structure may remain accessible
  - “Garbage collection” deallocates
- Mutable data structures are **ephemeral**
  - Old versions are no longer accessible
  - Need to track state and state changes globally
  - May need to deallocate manually
- Ephemeral data structures are a significant source of bugs

# Data Abstraction

# Data Abstraction

- Client cannot tell or exploit representation



# Data Abstraction

- Client cannot tell or exploit representation
- Does this remind you of anything?

# Data Abstraction

- Client cannot tell or exploit representation
- Does this remind you of anything?

Yes! It lifts **parametric polymorphism** at the level of functions to structures

# Data Abstraction

- Client cannot tell or exploit representation
- Does this remind you of anything?

Yes! It lifts **parametric polymorphism** at the level of functions to structures

- For example,  $? : 'a \rightarrow 'a \rightarrow 'a$

# Data Abstraction

- Client cannot tell or exploit representation
- Does this remind you of anything?

Yes! It lifts **parametric polymorphism** at the level of functions to structures

- For example,  $? : 'a \rightarrow 'a \rightarrow 'a$
- Important consequence
  - We can replace an implementation with a better one!
  - As long as that is (also) correct, the client will continue to work
  - Very few languages support this form of guarantee

# Summary

# Summary

- Signatures are interfaces to structures
  - Contain concrete and abstract types
  - Contain declarations of types for values (including functions, of course)

# Summary

- Signatures are interfaces to structures
  - Contain concrete and abstract types
  - Contain declarations of types for values (including functions, of course)
- Transparent signature ascription provides name space management

# Summary

- Signatures are interfaces to structures
  - Contain concrete and abstract types
  - Contain declarations of types for values (including functions, of course)
- Transparent signature ascription provides name space management
- Opaque signature ascription provides data abstraction
  - Reap the full benefits of a well-designed language and type system
  - Guarantees for every program, automatically, rather than conventions



# Summary

- Signatures are interfaces to structures
  - Contain concrete and abstract types
  - Contain declarations of types for values (including functions, of course)
- Transparent signature ascription provides name space management
- Opaque signature ascription provides data abstraction
  - Reap the full benefits of a well-designed language and type system
  - Guarantees for every program, automatically, rather than conventions
- Only data abstraction/representation independence makes programming truly modular