

# 15–150: Principles of Functional Programming

## *Totality of depth*

Michael Erdmann

Spring 2020

This note proves totality of the function `depth` discussed in lecture.

Here is the relevant code:

```
datatype tree = Empty | Node of tree * int * tree

(* depth : tree -> int
   REQUIRES: true
   ENSURES: depth(T) computes the depth of tree T.
  *)

fun depth (Empty : tree) : int = 0
  | depth (Node(t1, x, t2) : tree) : int = 1 + Int.max(depth t1, depth t2)
```

**Theorem:** `depth` is total.

**Proof:** Establishing totality of `depth` means proving that `depth(T)` reduces to a value of type `int` for all values `T : tree`. We will prove that assertion by structural induction on `T`.

**BASE CASE:** `T = Empty`.

NEED TO SHOW: `depth (Empty)` reduces to a value of type `int`.

SHOWING:  $\text{depth (Empty)}$   
 $\implies 0$  [first clause of `depth`]

0 is a value of type `int`, so we have established the base case.

**INDUCTION STEP:** `T = Node(t1,x,t2)`, for some values `t1:tree`, `x:int`, and `t2:tree`.

INDUCTION HYPOTHESIS: `depth(t1)`  $\hookrightarrow$  `d1` and `depth(t2)`  $\hookrightarrow$  `d2`, for some values `d1` and `d2` of type `int`.

NEED TO SHOW: `depth(Node(t1, x, t2))`  $\hookrightarrow$  `d` for some value `d : int`.

SHOWING:  
 $\text{depth (Node(t}_1, x, t_2))$   
 $\implies 1 + \text{Int.max(depth t}_1, \text{depth t}_2)$  [second clause of `depth`]  
 $\implies 1 + \text{Int.max(d}_1, \text{d}_2)$  [Inductive Hypothesis]  
 $\implies d$  [for some value `d:int`, assuming SML math is correct]

That establishes the induction step.

The base case and the induction step together establish the Theorem, by a principle of structural induction for type `tree`.